



# Rockland County

Ed Day, Rockland County Executive

---

## DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING

Dr. Robert L. Yeager Health Center  
50 Sanatorium Road, Building T  
Pomona, New York 10970

Phone: (845) 364-3434 Fax: (845) 364-3435

**Douglas J. Schuetz**  
*Acting Commissioner*

**Arlene Miller**  
*Deputy Commissioner*

July 2, 2020

Spring Valley Zoning Board of Appeals  
200 N. Main Street  
Spring Valley, NY 10977

**Tax Data:** 57.53-1-35

**Re: GENERAL MUNICIPAL LAW REVIEW:** Section 239 L and M

**Map Date:** 3/3/2020

**Date Review Received:** 6/24/2020

**Item:** **27 SOUTH COLE AVENUE (SV-997)**

A variance application to allow the construction of a two-family dwelling on 0.16 acres in the R-2 zoning district. Variances are requested for lot area, lot width, front yard, side yard, total side yard, rear yard, and street frontage.

The western side of South Cole Avenue, approximately 85 feet south of NYS Route 59

### Reason for Referral:

NYS Route 59

The County of Rockland Department of Planning has reviewed the above item. Acting under the terms of the above GML powers and those vested by the County of Rockland Charter, I, the Commissioner of Planning, hereby:

***\*Disapprove***

1 The subject site does not meet the minimum lot area standard of 8,500 square feet required for a single-family residence, and provides less than three-quarters of the lot area required for a two-family dwelling. The lot itself is non-conforming for width, as well. The proposed two-family residence will require substantial yard variances to accommodate an oversized residential building on an undersized parcel. Two of the four required parking spaces are proposed to be located on the northerly adjacent property through the use of an access easement, which further demonstrates the parcel's unsuitability for use as a two-family. The surrounding neighborhood is characterized by similarly-sized parcels. Granting these bulk variances will set a precedent that may result in nearby property owners seeking the same relief. A doubling of the residential density in this neighborhood of non-conforming parcels will negatively impact its community character and infrastructure capacity. Additional residents will generate more traffic on the local streets, leading to congestion and traffic conflicts. While two-family residences are permitted as of right in the R-2 zoning district, they are subject to stricter bulk requirements. This site is particularly deficient in meeting these more stringent standards. We recommend that the required variances be denied, and that only a single-family residence be permitted.

## **27 SOUTH COLE AVENUE (SV-997)**

2 Permitting development that does not comply with the applicable bulk standards can set an undesirable land use precedent and result in the overutilization of individual sites. The proposed lot area is 71% of the required minimum. The lot width is deficient by 52%. Street frontage is 68% of the required minimum. The side and total side yards are deficient by 33%. The front and rear yards are deficient by 20% and 25%, respectively. The ability of the existing infrastructure to accommodate increased residential density on undersized parcels is a countywide concern and must be evaluated. This evaluation must consider whether local roads will become more congested and the sewer system, stormwater management systems and the public water supply will be overburdened. The Village must consider the cumulative and regional impacts of permitting such development. As indicated above, only a single-family dwelling can be constructed to maintain the integrity of the zoning ordinance.

The following comments address our additional concerns about this proposal.

3 A review must be completed by the Rockland County Department of Health to ensure compliance with Article XIX (Mosquito Control) of the Rockland County Sanitary Code.

4 A review must be completed by the County of Rockland Sewer District No. 1 and all required permits obtained from them.

5 The application form indicates the property receives water service from United Water. The form must be corrected to Suez.

6 The site plan shall contain map notes, including district information. In addition, the vicinity map is not centered on the parcel and does not display any information regarding streets or properties east of the site. The vicinity map must be amended to center the parcel and display all streets and parcels within the general area.

7 Section 255.22.C of the village zoning regulations exempts open porches and decks from yard and coverage requirements. The village must confirm that the proposed decks comply with this section and do not include any enclosed spaces.

8 The bulk table indicates that the lot provides 222.67 feet of frontage. However, the metes and bounds of the site plan indicate that street frontage of the site is 47.33 feet. The bulk table must be corrected and indicate that a variance for street frontage is required. The public hearing notice must be reviewed and, if it does not include all required variances, re-issued.

9 Two of the required four parking spaces are proposed to be located on the northerly adjacent property. Deed restrictions must be filed prior to the granting of building permits. In addition, the site plan submitted as part of a variance application for 25 South Cole Avenue must be amended to include the parking and access easement.

10 The use of tandem parking spaces prevents egress for vehicles blocked by other vehicles and creates an inconvenient situation for residents, which will be exacerbated by the minimal size and tight arrangement of the spaces. This layout will encourage residents to park vehicles off-site instead of in their designated spaces and negates the purpose of on-site parking requirements. The tandem parking spaces must be reconfigured to allow independent access for all parking spaces.

11 The NYS Department of State has determined that the Village is not administering or enforcing the State Uniform Fire Prevention and Building Code in accordance with minimum standards set forth in 19 NYCRR part 1203. Given the concerns about the Village's administration and enforcement of the State Uniform Fire Prevention and Building Code initially raised in the Executive Deputy Secretary of State's letter of July 15, 2016, and subsequently again in December 18, 2017, the proposed residential building must be held to the requisite minimum standards and comply with all requirements of this code.

**27 SOUTH COLE AVENUE (SV-997)**

12 Pursuant to General Municipal Law (GML) Section 239-m and 239-n, if any of the conditions of this GML review are overridden by the board, then the local land use board must file a report with the County Commissioner of Planning of the final action taken. If the final action is contrary to the recommendation of the Commissioner, the local land use board must state the reasons for such action.

13 In addition, pursuant to Executive Order 01-2017 signed by County Executive Day on May 22, 2017, County departments are prohibited from issuing a County permit, license, or approval until the report is filed with the County Commissioner of Planning. The applicant must provide to any County agency which has jurisdiction of the project: 1) a copy of the Commissioner report approving the proposed action; or 2) a copy of the Commissioner of Planning recommendations to modify or disapprove the proposed action, and a certified copy of the land use board statement overriding the recommendations to modify or disapprove, and the stated reasons for the land use board's override.



---

Douglas J. Schuetz  
Acting Commissioner of Planning

cc: Mayor Alan Simon, Spring Valley  
New York State Department of State  
Rockland County Department of Health  
Rockland County Sewer District #1

Anthony R. Celentano P.E.

Rockland County Planning Board Members

*\*NYS General Municipal Law Section 239 requires a vote of a 'majority plus one' of your agency to act contrary to the above findings.*

*The review undertaken by the Rockland County Planning Department is pursuant to, and follows the mandates of Article 12-B of the New York General Municipal Law. Under Article 12-B the County of Rockland does not render opinions, nor does it make determinations, whether the item reviewed implicates the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act. The Rockland County Planning Department defers to the municipality forwarding the item reviewed to render such opinions and make such determinations if appropriate under the circumstances.*

*In this respect, municipalities are advised that under the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act, the preemptive force of any provision of the Act may be avoided (1) by changing a policy or practice that may result in a substantial burden on religious exercise, (2) by retaining a policy or practice and exempting the substantially burdened religious exercise, (3) by providing exemptions from a policy or practice for applications that substantially burden religious exercise, or (4) by any other means that eliminates the substantial burden.*

*Proponents of projects are advised to apply for variances, special permits or exceptions, hardship approval or other relief.*

*Pursuant to New York State General Municipal Law §239-m(6), the referring body shall file a report of final action it has taken with the Rockland County Department of Planning within thirty (30) days after final action. A referring body which acts contrary to a recommendation of modification or disapproval of a proposed action shall set forth the reasons for the contrary action in such report.*