

DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING

Dr. Robert L. Yeager Health Center
50 Sanatorium Road, Building T
Pomona, New York 10970
Phone: (845) 364-3434 Fax: (845) 364-3435

Douglas J. Schuetz
Acting Commissioner

Arlene Miller
Deputy Commissioner

July 2, 2020

Spring Valley Zoning Board of Appeals
200 N. Main Street
Spring Valley, NY 10977

Tax Data: 57.53-1-34

Re: GENERAL MUNICIPAL LAW REVIEW: Section 239 L and M

Map Date: 3/3/2020

Date Review Received: 6/24/2020

Item: **25 SOUTH COLE AVENUE (SV-977A)**

A variance application to allow the construction of a two-family dwelling on a corner lot with 0.26 acres in the R-2 zoning district. Variances are requested for lot width (South Cole Avenue) and front yards (NYS Route 59 and South Cole Avenue).

The southwestern corner of the intersection of South Cole Avenue and NYS Route 59

Reason for Referral:

NYS Route 59

The County of Rockland Department of Planning has reviewed the above item. Acting under the terms of the above GML powers and those vested by the County of Rockland Charter, I, the Commissioner of Planning, hereby:

****Recommend the following modifications***

- 1 Permitting development that does not comply with the applicable bulk standards can set an undesirable land use precedent and result in the overutilization of individual sites. The lot width along the South Cole Avenue frontage is deficient by 22%. The NYS Route 59 front yard is deficient by 40%. The ability of the existing infrastructure to accommodate increased residential density on undersized parcels is a countywide concern and must be evaluated. This evaluation must consider whether local roads will become more congested and the sewer system, stormwater management systems and the public water supply will be overburdened. The Village must consider the cumulative and regional impacts of permitting such development.
- 2 A review must be completed by the Rockland County Department of Health to ensure compliance with Article XIX (Mosquito Control) of the Rockland County Sanitary Code.
- 3 A review must be completed by the County of Rockland Sewer District No. 1 and all required permits obtained from them.
- 4 A review shall be completed by the New York State Department of Transportation and all required permits obtained.

25 SOUTH COLE AVENUE (SV-977A)

5 A variance application for the southerly adjacent property (27 South Cole Avenue, parcel 57.53-1-35) was forwarded to this department for review. The site plan provided for this review indicates that a 10-foot wide access and parking easement is proposed along the southern property line of 25 South Cole Avenue, with parking spaces 3 and 4 to be used by residents of 27 South Cole Avenue. The site plan for 25 South Cole Avenue does not include this easement. The applicant must clarify their intentions in regard to this parking and access easement. If parking spaces for 27 South Cole Avenue are to be provided on 25 South Cole Avenue, the site plan for 25 South Cole Avenue must be amended to include this easement prior to the granting of approvals for either property.

6 The use of tandem parking spaces prevents egress for vehicles blocked by other vehicles and creates an inconvenient situation for residents, which will be exacerbated by minimal size of the tightly-packed spaces. This layout will encourage residents to park vehicles off-site instead of in their designated spaces and negates the purpose of on-site parking requirements. The tandem parking spaces must be reconfigured to allow independent access for all parking spaces.

7 The site plan shall contain map notes, including district information. In addition, the vicinity map is not centered on the parcel and does not display any information regarding streets or properties east of the site. The vicinity map must be amended to center the parcel and display all streets and parcels within the general area.

8 Section 255.22.C of the village zoning regulations exempts open porches and decks from yard and coverage requirements. The village must confirm that all proposed decks and entries comply with this section and do not include any enclosed spaces. In addition, no distance is provided for the proposed decks along the Route 59 frontage. Section 255.22.C requires all decks to be a minimum of five feet from all property lines. The site plan must be amended to indicate that the decks along the Route 59 frontage will comply with this section and must provide a proposed distance from the property line.

9 The application form indicates the property receives water service from United Water. The form must be corrected to Suez.

10 The site plan includes a line that indicates the allowed building envelope. The building envelope line is only 23 feet from the front (Route 59) property line, not 25 feet. The site plan must be amended to indicate the correct building envelope.

11 The site plan indicates that the proposed retaining wall will have a height of 10 feet. To minimize the visual impact, the site plan must be amended to use multiple, tiered walls with a minimum of five feet between walls and landscaping provided between tiers.

12 Retaining walls that are over four (4) feet in vertical height shall be designed by a licensed New York State Professional Engineer and be in compliance with the NYS Fire Prevention and Building Code. Design plans shall be signed and sealed by the licensed NYS Professional Engineer.

13 The NYS Department of State has determined that the Village is not administering or enforcing the State Uniform Fire Prevention and Building Code in accordance with minimum standards set forth in 19 NYCRR part 1203. Given the concerns about the Village's administration and enforcement of the State Uniform Fire Prevention and Building Code initially raised in the Executive Deputy Secretary of State's letter of July 15, 2016, and subsequently again in December 18, 2017, the proposed residential building must be held to the requisite minimum standards and comply with all requirements of this code.

14 Pursuant to General Municipal Law (GML) Section 239-m and 239-n, if any of the conditions of this GML review are overridden by the board, then the local land use board must file a report with the County Commissioner of Planning of the final action taken. If the final action is contrary to the recommendation of the Commissioner, the local land use board must state the reasons for such action.

25 SOUTH COLE AVENUE (SV-977A)

15 In addition, pursuant to Executive Order 01-2017 signed by County Executive Day on May 22, 2017, County departments are prohibited from issuing a County permit, license, or approval until the report is filed with the County Commissioner of Planning. The applicant must provide to any County agency which has jurisdiction of the project: 1) a copy of the Commissioner report approving the proposed action; or 2) a copy of the Commissioner of Planning recommendations to modify or disapprove the proposed action, and a certified copy of the land use board statement overriding the recommendations to modify or disapprove, and the stated reasons for the land use board's override.



Douglas J. Schuetz
Acting Commissioner of Planning

cc: Mayor Alan Simon, Spring Valley
New York State Department of State
New York State Department of Transportation
Rockland County Department of Health
Rockland County Sewer District #1

Anthony R. Celentano P.E.

**NYS General Municipal Law Section 239 requires a vote of a 'majority plus one' of your agency to act contrary to the above findings. The review undertaken by the Rockland County Planning Department is pursuant to, and follows the mandates of Article 12-B of the New York General Municipal Law. Under Article 12-B the County of Rockland does not render opinions, nor does it make determinations, whether the item reviewed implicates the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act. The Rockland County Planning Department defers to the municipality forwarding the item reviewed to render such opinions and make such determinations if appropriate under the circumstances.*

In this respect, municipalities are advised that under the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act, the preemptive force of any provision of the Act may be avoided (1) by changing a policy or practice that may result in a substantial burden on religious exercise, (2) by retaining a policy or practice and exempting the substantially burdened religious exercise, (3) by providing exemptions from a policy or practice for applications that substantially burden religious exercise, or (4) by any other means that eliminates the substantial burden.

Proponents of projects are advised to apply for variances, special permits or exceptions, hardship approval or other relief.

Pursuant to New York State General Municipal Law §239-m(6), the referring body shall file a report of final action it has taken with the Rockland County Department of Planning within thirty (30) days after final action. A referring body which acts contrary to a recommendation of modification or disapproval of a proposed action shall set forth the reasons for the contrary action in such report.