

DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING

Dr. Robert L. Yeager Health Center
50 Sanatorium Road, Building T
Pomona, New York 10970

Phone: (845) 364-3434 Fax: (845) 364-3435

Douglas J. Schuetz
Acting Commissioner

Arlene R. Miller
Deputy Commissioner

August 28, 2018

Spring Valley Zoning Board of Appeals
200 N. Main Street
Spring Valley, NY 10977

Tax Data: 50.45-1-24

Re: GENERAL MUNICIPAL LAW REVIEW: Section 239 L and M

Map Date: 4/4/2016

Date Review Received: 7/20/2018

Item: *37 NORTH REGAUD ROAD (SV-924)*

Lot area variance to permit the proposed demolition of an existing residence, and construction of a new two-family dwelling for a parcel located in the R-1A zoning district on .188 acres.

North side of North Rigaud Road, at the dead end, approximately 23 feet east of Nancy Lane

Reason for Referral:

Viola Road (CR 74), Town of Ramapo, Village of New Hempstead

The County of Rockland Department of Planning has reviewed the above item. Acting under the terms of the above GML powers and those vested by the County of Rockland Charter, I, the Commissioner of Planning, hereby:

****Recommend the following modifications***

- 1 The comments in the July 24, 2018 letter from the Rockland County Highway Department must be met.
- 2 As indicated in the August 7, 2018 letter from the Rockland County Department of Health, an application must be made to them for review of the stormwater management system to ensure compliance with the County Mosquito Code.
- 3 A review must be completed by the County of Rockland Sewer District #1, any comments or concerns addressed, and all required permits obtained.

37 NORTH REGAUD ROAD (SV-924)

4 We have repeatedly brought to the Village's Zoning Board of Appeals a significant discrepancy of the floor area ratio (FAR) provided on the site plan, and that which is roughly calculated given the information provided. To date, we have not yet received any amended plans with revised FAR calculations or the request to review the more realistic FAR number. Once again, we are alerting the Zoning Board of Appeals to what we discern to be a noteworthy discrepancy with the FAR.

The application and site plan indicate the proposed structure will have three stories and a FAR of 0.65. However, the site plan shows a building footprint of approximately 2,850 square feet. Assuming each story will have a gross floor area equal to the footprint, the proposed structure will have an overall gross floor area of approximately 8,550 square feet. This would result in a FAR of .96. Although this is an estimate, a FAR of .96 is over 47% greater than the allowed maximum FAR of 0.65. The magnitude of this discrepancy requires further attention. The applicant must positively demonstrate that the proposed structure will conform to the Village's FAR requirement; a FAR calculation must be provided on the site plan. If the FAR exceeds the allowable 0.65, the variance application must be amended and the public hearing notice must be reissued. Any application that is revised due to an increase in FAR must be sent to this department for review.

5 The Town of Ramapo and the Village of New Hempstead are two of the reasons this proposal was referred to this department for review. The municipal boundary for the Town of Ramapo is approximately 300 feet north of the site, and the municipal boundary for the Village of New Hempstead is approximately 363 feet north of the parcel. New York State General Municipal Law states that the purposes of Sections 239-l, 239-m and 239-n shall be to bring pertinent inter-community and countywide planning, zoning, site plan and subdivision considerations to the attention of neighboring municipalities and agencies having jurisdiction. Such review may include inter-community and county-wide considerations in respect to the compatibility of various land uses with one another; traffic generating characteristics of various land uses in relation to the effect of such traffic on other land uses and to the adequacy of existing and proposed thoroughfare facilities; and the protection of community character as regards predominant land uses, population density, and the relation between residential and nonresidential areas. In addition, Section 239-nn was enacted to encourage the coordination of land use development and regulation among adjacent municipalities, and as a result development occurs in a manner that is supportive of the goals and objectives of the general area.

The Town of Ramapo and the Village of New Hempstead must be given the opportunity to review the proposal and its impact on community character, traffic, water quantity and quality, drainage, stormwater runoff and sanitary sewer service. The areas of countywide concern noted above that directly impact the Town of Ramapo and the Village of New Hempstead must be considered and satisfactorily addressed, as well as any additional concerns about the proposal.

6 The use of tandem parking spaces prevents egress for vehicles blocked by other vehicles and creates an inconvenient situation for residents. This layout will encourage residents to park vehicles off-site instead of in their designated spaces and negates the purpose of on-site parking requirements. The tandem parking spaces must be reconfigured to allow independent access for all parking spaces.

7 The application form indicates the property receives water service from United Water. The form must be corrected to Suez.

8 The vicinity map must center the parcel on the map, so that the surrounding area to the north can be depicted.

9 The NYS Department of State has determined that the Village is not administering or enforcing the State Uniform Fire Prevention and Building Code in accordance with minimum standards set forth in 19 NYCRR part 1203. Given the concerns about the Village's administration and enforcement of the State Uniform Fire Prevention and Building Code initially raised in the Executive Deputy Secretary of State's letter of July 15, 2016, and subsequently again in December 18, 2017, the proposed residential building must be held to the requisite minimum standards and comply with all requirements of this code.

37 NORTH REGAUD ROAD (SV-924)

10 Pursuant to General Municipal Law (GML) Section 239-m and 239-n, if any of the conditions of this GML review are overridden by the board, then the local land use board must file a report with the County Commissioner of Planning of the final action taken. If the final action is contrary to the recommendation of the Commissioner, the local land use board must state the reasons for such action.

11 In addition, pursuant to Executive Order 01-2017 signed by County Executive Day on May 22, 2017, County departments are prohibited from issuing a County permit, license, or approval until the report is filed with the County Commissioner of Planning. The applicant must provide to any County agency which has jurisdiction of the project: 1) a copy of the Commissioner report approving the proposed action; or 2) a copy of the Commissioner of Planning recommendations to modify or disapprove the proposed action; and a certified copy of the land use board statement overriding the recommendations to modify or disapprove, and the stated reasons for the land use board's override.



Douglas J. Schuetz
Acting Commissioner of Planning

cc: Mayor Alan Simon, Spring Valley
Rockland County Department of Highways
Rockland County Department of Health
Rockland County Sewer District #1

Anthony R. Celentano P.L.S.
Town of Ramapo; Village of New Hempstead

**NYS General Municipal Law Section 239 requires a vote of a 'majority plus one' of your agency to act contrary to the above findings.*

The review undertaken by the Rockland County Planning Department is pursuant to, and follows the mandates of Article 12-B of the New York General Municipal Law. Under Article 12-B the County of Rockland does not render opinions, nor does it make determinations, whether the item reviewed implicates the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act. The Rockland County Planning Department defers to the municipality forwarding the item reviewed to render such opinions and make such determinations if appropriate under the circumstances.

In this respect, municipalities are advised that under the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act, the preemptive force of any provision of the Act may be avoided (1) by changing a policy or practice that may result in a substantial burden on religious exercise, (2) by retaining a policy or practice and exempting the substantially burdened religious exercise, (3) by providing exemptions from a policy or practice for applications that substantially burden religious exercise, or (4) by any other means that eliminates the substantial burden.

Proponents of projects are advised to apply for variances, special permits or exceptions, hardship approval or other relief.

Pursuant to New York State General Municipal Law §239-m(6), the referring body shall file a report of final action it has taken with the Rockland County Department of Planning within thirty (30) days after final action. A referring body which acts contrary to a recommendation of modification or disapproval of a proposed action shall set forth the reasons for the contrary action in such report.

