

DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING

Dr. Robert L. Yeager Health Center
50 Sanatorium Road, Building T
Pomona, New York 10970
Phone: (845) 364-3434 Fax: (845) 364-3435

Douglas J. Schuetz
Acting Commissioner

Arlene R. Miller
Deputy Commissioner

October 24, 2018

Spring Valley Zoning Board of Appeals
200 N. Main Street
Spring Valley, NY 10977

Tax Data: 50.70-1-17

Re: GENERAL MUNICIPAL LAW REVIEW: Section 239 L and M

Map Date: 5/13/2018

Date Review Received: 8/30/2018

Item: *11 KING TERRACE (SV-841C)*

A variance application to allow the construction of a two-family residence on 0.16 acres in the R-1A zoning district and within the FEMA floodplain. Variances are requested for lot area, lot width, street frontage, side yard, total side yard, floor area ratio, and parking in the front yard. This is a re-approval of the application, as the initial review was not submitted to the Rockland County Department of Planning, as required under New York State General Municipal Law, Section 239L.

The northern side of King Terrace, approximately 325 feet east of Union Road.

Reason for Referral:

Pascack Brook, Town of Ramapo

The County of Rockland Department of Planning has reviewed the above item. Acting under the terms of the above GML powers and those vested by the County of Rockland Charter, I, the Commissioner of Planning, hereby:

****Recommend the following modifications***

1 Permitting development that does not comply with the applicable bulk standards can set an undesirable land use precedent and result in the overutilization of individual sites. The proposed adjusted lot area and width are 81% of the required minimum. The side and total side yards are deficient by 33%. The ability of the existing infrastructure to accommodate increased residential density on undersized parcels is a countywide concern and must be evaluated. This evaluation must consider whether local roads will become more congested and the sewer system, stormwater management systems and the public water supply will be overburdened. The Village must consider the cumulative and regional impacts of permitting such development.

11 KING TERRACE (SV-841C)

2 The Town of Ramapo is one of the reasons this proposal was referred to this department for review. The municipal boundary is approximately 140 feet east of the parcel. New York State General Municipal Law states that the purposes of Sections 239-l, 239-m and 239-n shall be to bring pertinent inter-community and countywide planning, zoning, site plan and subdivision considerations to the attention of neighboring municipalities and agencies having jurisdiction. Such review may include inter-community and county-wide considerations in respect to the compatibility of various land uses with one another; traffic generating characteristics of various land uses in relation to the effect of such traffic on other land uses and to the adequacy of existing and proposed thoroughfare facilities; and the protection of community character as regards predominant land uses, population density, and the relation between residential and nonresidential areas. In addition, Section 239-nn was enacted to encourage the coordination of land use development and regulation among adjacent municipalities, and as a result development occurs in a manner that is supportive of the goals and objectives of the general area.

The Town of Ramapo must be given the opportunity to review the proposal and its impact on community character, traffic, water quantity and quality, drainage, stormwater runoff and sanitary sewer service. The areas of countywide concern noted above that directly impact the Town of Ramapo must be considered and satisfactorily addressed, as well as any additional concerns about the proposal.

3 The applicant must comply with all comments made by the Rockland County Drainage Agency in their letter of September 10, 2018.

4 The applicant must comply with all comments made by the Rockland County Sewer District No. 1 in their letter of September 24, 2018.

5 A review must be completed by the Rockland County Department of Health to ensure compliance with Article XIX (Mosquito Control) of the Rockland County Sanitary Code.

6 The engineer of record shall certify to the floodplain administrator for the Village of Spring Valley that the proposed construction is in compliance with the floodplain regulations of the Village and the Federal Emergency Management Agency.

7 We have repeatedly brought to the Village's Zoning Board of Appeals a significant discrepancy of the floor area ratio (FAR) provided on the site plan, and that which is roughly calculated given the information provided. To date, we have not yet received any amended plans with revised FAR calculations or the request to review the more realistic FAR number. Once again, in the absence of accurate architectural plans or detailed calculations, we are alerting the Zoning Board of Appeals to what we discern to be a noteworthy discrepancy with the FAR.

The site plan indicates the proposed structure will have three stories and a FAR of 0.68. However, the site plan shows a building footprint of approximately 2,420 square feet. Assuming each story will have a gross floor area equal to the footprint, the proposed structure will have an overall gross floor area of approximately 7,260 square feet. This would result in a FAR of 1.05. Although this is an estimate, a FAR of 1.05 is 55% greater than the proposed FAR of 0.68. The magnitude of this discrepancy requires further attention. The applicant must positively demonstrate that the proposed structure will conform to the Village's FAR requirement; a FAR calculation must be provided on the site plan. If the FAR exceeds the proposed 0.68, the variance application must be amended and the public hearing notice must be reissued. Any application that is revised due to an increase in FAR must be sent to this department for review.

8 The use of tandem parking spaces prevents egress for vehicles blocked by other vehicles and creates an inconvenient situation for residents. This layout will encourage residents to park vehicles off-site instead of in their designated spaces and negates the purpose of on-site parking requirements. The tandem parking spaces must be reconfigured to allow independent access for all parking spaces.

9 The application form indicates the property receives water service from United Water. The form must be corrected to Suez.

11 KING TERRACE (SV-841C)

10 Section 255.22.C of the village zoning regulations exempts open porches and decks from yard and coverage requirements. The village must confirm that the proposed rear decks comply with this section and do not include any enclosed spaces.

11 The bulk table indicates that the proposed rear yard will be 20 feet. However, the distance from the rear property to the structure is shown as 27 feet, and the distance to the rear deck is 17 feet. The bulk table must be corrected.

12 The proposed residential building must comply with all requirements of the New York State Uniform Fire Prevention and Building Code. All sidewalks, stairs, entries, and window wells must be shown on the site plan to ensure that there is sufficient access to the building for firefighting purposes.

13 The NYS Department of State has determined that the Village is not administering or enforcing the State Uniform Fire Prevention and Building Code in accordance with minimum standards set forth in 19 NYCRR part 1203. Given the concerns about the Village's administration and enforcement of the State Uniform Fire Prevention and Building Code initially raised in the Executive Deputy Secretary of State's letter of July 15, 2016, and subsequently again in December 18, 2017, the proposed residential building must be held to the requisite minimum standards and comply with all requirements of this code.

14 Pursuant to General Municipal Law (GML) Section 239-m and 239-n, if any of the conditions of this GML review are overridden by the board, then the local land use board must file a report with the County Commissioner of Planning of the final action taken. If the final action is contrary to the recommendation of the Commissioner, the local land use board must state the reasons for such action.

15 In addition, pursuant to Executive Order 01-2017 signed by County Executive Day on May 22, 2017, County departments are prohibited from issuing a County permit, license, or approval until the report is filed with the County Commissioner of Planning. The applicant must provide to any County agency which has jurisdiction of the project: 1) a copy of the Commissioner report approving the proposed action; or 2) a copy of the Commissioner of Planning recommendations to modify or disapprove the proposed action, and a certified copy of the land use board statement overriding the recommendations to modify or disapprove, and the stated reasons for the land use board's override.



Douglas J. Schuetz
Acting Commissioner of Planning

cc: Mayor Alan Simon, Spring Valley
Rockland County Department of Health
Rockland County Drainage Agency
Rockland County Sewer District #1
New York State Department of State
Federal Emergency Management Agency

Anthony R. Celentano P.E.
Town of Ramapo Planning Board
Construction Expediting

**NYS General Municipal Law Section 239 requires a vote of a 'majority plus one' of your agency to act contrary to the above findings. The review undertaken by the Rockland County Planning Department is pursuant to, and follows the mandates of Article 12-B of the New York General Municipal Law. Under Article 12-B the County of Rockland does not render opinions, nor does it make determinations, whether the item reviewed implicates the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act. The Rockland County Planning Department defers to the municipality forwarding the item reviewed to render such opinions and make such determinations if appropriate under the circumstances.*

11 KING TERRACE (SV-841C)

In this respect, municipalities are advised that under the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act, the preemptive force of any provision of the Act may be avoided (1) by changing a policy or practice that may result in a substantial burden on religious exercise, (2) by retaining a policy or practice and exempting the substantially burdened religious exercise, (3) by providing exemptions from a policy or practice for applications that substantially burden religious exercise, or (4) by any other means that eliminates the substantial burden.

Proponents of projects are advised to apply for variances, special permits or exceptions, hardship approval or other relief.

Pursuant to New York State General Municipal Law §239-m(6), the referring body shall file a report of final action it has taken with the Rockland County Department of Planning within thirty (30) days after final action. A referring body which acts contrary to a recommendation of modification or disapproval of a proposed action shall set forth the reasons for the contrary action in such report.