

DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING

Dr. Robert L. Yeager Health Center
50 Sanatorium Road, Building T
Pomona, New York 10970
Phone: (845) 364-3434 Fax: (845) 364-3435

Douglas J. Schuetz
Acting Commissioner

Arlene R. Miller
Deputy Commissioner

March 30, 2016

Spring Valley Planning Board
200 N. Main Street
Spring Valley, NY 10977

Tax Data: 50.70-1-17

Re: GENERAL MUNICIPAL LAW REVIEW: Section 239 L and M

Map Date: 1/8/2016

Date Review Received: 3/2/2016

Item: 11 KING TERRACE (SV-841A)

Site plan for a detached, two-family residence on .1648 acres in an R-1A zoning district with a Floodplain Overlay.

North side of King Terrace at the intersection of Anthony Drive

Reason for Referral:

Pascack Brook, Town of Ramapo

The County of Rockland Department of Planning has reviewed the above item. Acting under the terms of the above GML powers and those vested by the County of Rockland Charter, I, the Commissioner of Planning, hereby:

****Recommend the following modifications***

- 1 A review must be completed by the County of Rockland Drainage Agency and all required permits obtained.
- 2 A review must be completed by the County of Rockland Department of Health and all required permits obtained.
- 3 A review must be completed by the County of Rockland Sewer District #1 and all required permits obtained.
- 4 The Floodplain Administrator for the Village of Spring Valley shall certify that the proposed construction is in compliance with the floodplain regulations of the Village and the Federal Emergency Management Agency.
- 5 The proposed residential building must comply with all requirements of the New York State Uniform Fire Prevention and Building Code. Exits, walkways, stairs and balconies are not permitted within 10 feet of the property line as per NYS Fire Code 1024.3.

11 KING TERRACE (SV-841A)

6 The Town of Ramapo is one of the reasons this proposal was referred to this department for review. The municipal boundary is 140 feet northeast of the site. New York State General Municipal Law states that the purposes of Sections 239-l, 239-m and 239-n shall be to bring pertinent inter-community and countywide planning, zoning, site plan and subdivision considerations to the attention of neighboring municipalities and agencies having jurisdiction. Such review may include inter-community and county-wide considerations in respect to the compatibility of various land uses with one another; traffic generating characteristics of various land uses in relation to the effect of such traffic on other land uses and to the adequacy of existing and proposed thoroughfare facilities; and the protection of community character as regards predominant land uses, population density, and the relation between residential and nonresidential areas. In addition, Section 239-nn was enacted to encourage the coordination of land use development and regulation among adjacent municipalities, and as a result development occurs in a manner that is supportive of the goals and objectives of the general area.

The Town of Ramapo must be given the opportunity to review the proposal and its impact on community character, traffic, water quantity and quality, drainage, stormwater runoff and sanitary sewer service. The areas of countywide concern noted above that directly impact the Town of Ramapo must be considered and satisfactorily addressed, as well as any additional concerns about the proposal.

7 Permitting development that does not comply with the applicable bulk standards can set an undesirable land use precedent and result in the overutilization of individual sites. The applicant is seeking a lot area variance of almost 20 percent. The ability of the existing infrastructure to accommodate increased residential density on non-conforming parcels is a countywide concern and must be evaluated. This evaluation must consider whether local roads will become more congested and the sewer system, stormwater management systems and the public water supply will be overburdened. The Village must consider the cumulative and regional impacts of permitting such development.

8 Water is a scarce resource in Rockland County; thus proper planning and phasing of this project are critical to supplying the current and future residents of the Villages, Towns, and County with an adequate supply of water. The water system must be evaluated to determine if the additional water supply demands of the proposed development can be met. Domestic and fire demands of the project must be determined by a Licensed Professional Engineer and provided to the supplier of water for analysis. Demand calculations and results of the analysis must be provided to the Rockland County Department of Health for review.

9 It will be difficult for vehicles parked in spaces 1 and 3 to exit without several turning maneuvers. A parking turnaround area must be provided.

10 There shall be no net increase in the peak rate of discharge from the site at all design points.

11 Prior to the start of construction or grading, a soil and erosion control plan shall be developed and in place for the entire site that meets the latest edition of the New York State Guidelines for Urban Erosion and Sediment Control.

12 The site plan must include map notes.



Douglas J. Schuetz
Acting Commissioner of Planning

cc: Mayor Demeza Delhomme, Spring Valley
Rockland County Drainage Agency

11 KING TERRACE (SV-841A)

Rockland County Department of Health
Rockland County Sewer District #1
New York State Department of State,
Division of Code Enforcement & Administration
Spring Valley Fire District

Anthony R. Celentano P.L.S.
Town of Ramapo

Isaac Herskovitz

**NYS General Municipal Law Section 239 requires a vote of a 'majority plus one' of your agency to act contrary to the above findings.*

The review undertaken by the Rockland County Planning Department is pursuant to, and follows the mandates of Article 12-B of the New York General Municipal Law. Under Article 12-B the County of Rockland does not render opinions, nor does it make determinations, whether the item reviewed implicates the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act. The Rockland County Planning Department defers to the municipality forwarding the item reviewed to render such opinions and make such determinations if appropriate under the circumstances.

In this respect, municipalities are advised that under the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act, the preemptive force of any provision of the Act may be avoided (1) by changing a policy or practice that may result in a substantial burden on religious exercise, (2) by retaining a policy or practice and exempting the substantially burdened religious exercise, (3) by providing exemptions from a policy or practice for applications that substantially burden religious exercise, or (4) by any other means that eliminates the substantial burden.

Proponents of projects are advised to apply for variances, special permits or exceptions, hardship approval or other relief.

Pursuant to New York State General Municipal Law §239-m(6), the referring body shall file a report of final action it has taken with the Rockland County Department of Planning within thirty (30) days after final action. A referring body which acts contrary to a recommendation of modification or disapproval of a proposed action shall set forth the reasons for the contrary action in such report.

