



COUNTY OF ROCKLAND
DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING

Building T
Pomona, NY 10970
(845) 364-3434
Fax. (845) 364-3435

EDWIN J. DAY
County Executive

DOUGLAS J. SCHUETZ
Acting Commissioner

ARLENE R. MILLER
Deputy Commissioner

April 20, 2015

Spring Valley Village Board
200 N. Main Street
Spring Valley, NY 10977

Tax Data: 50.69-1-42

Re: GENERAL MUNICIPAL LAW REVIEW: Section 239 L and M

Map Date: 1/23/2015

Date Review Received: 3/19/2015

Item: **ADLER (SV-819A)**

Special permit to allow a proposed addition and a change of use for an existing single-family residence to a two-family residence located on .19 acres in the R-1A zoning and Floodplain Overlay districts.
Northwest corner of Francis Place and Morris Road

Reason for Referral:

Town of Ramapo, Pascack Brook

The County of Rockland Department of Planning has reviewed the above item. Acting under the terms of the above GML powers and those vested by the County of Rockland Charter, I, the Commissioner of Planning, hereby:

****Recommend the following modifications***

1 The Town of Ramapo is one of the reasons this proposal was referred to this department for review. The municipal boundary is approximately 170 feet southwest of the site. New York State General Municipal Law states that the purposes of Sections 239-l, 239-m and 239-n shall be to bring pertinent inter-community and countywide planning, zoning, site plan and subdivision considerations to the attention of neighboring municipalities and agencies having jurisdiction. Such review may include inter-community and county-wide considerations in respect to the compatibility of various land uses with one another; traffic generating characteristics of various land uses in relation to the effect of such traffic on other land uses and to the adequacy of existing and proposed thoroughfare facilities; and the protection of community character as regards predominant land uses, population density, and the relation between residential and nonresidential areas. In addition, Section 239-nn was enacted to encourage the coordination of land use development and regulation among adjacent municipalities, and as a result development occurs in a manner that is supportive of the goals and objectives of the general area.

The Town of Ramapo must be given the opportunity to review the proposal and its impact on community character, traffic, water quantity and quality, drainage, stormwater runoff and sanitary sewer service. The areas of countywide concern noted above that directly impact the Town of

ADLER (SV-819A)

Ramapo must be considered and satisfactorily addressed, as well as any additional concerns about the proposal.

- 2 A review must be completed by the County of Rockland Drainage Agency and all required permits obtained.
- 3 Permitting development that does not comply with the applicable bulk standards can set an undesirable land use precedent and result in the overutilization of individual sites. The ability of the existing infrastructure to accommodate increased residential density on non-conforming, undersized lots is a countywide concern and must be evaluated. This evaluation must consider whether local roads will become more congested and the sewer system, stormwater management systems and the public water supply will be overburdened. The Village must consider the cumulative and regional impacts of permitting such development.
- 4 The stacked parking arrangement is unacceptable. Vehicles must be able to exit the site without the need for other vehicles to be moved first. The present configuration creates a dangerous situation. The parking areas must be reconfigured so that the four required on-site parking spaces are fully accessible. The building footprint will have to be reduced to accomplish this.
- 5 The proposed residential building must comply with all requirements of the New York State Uniform Fire Prevention and Building Code.
- 6 The applicant must comply with the conditions of the Rockland County Sewer District No. 1's letter of April 3, 2015.
- 7 The subject site is located in the R-1A zoning district. The site plan includes the correct zoning district information but the front page of the application form indicates that the zoning designation is R-2. This is incorrect. All application materials must be consistent.
- 8 An outdated, one-sided version of the Short Environmental Assessment Form is included with this application. The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation revised its SEQRA forms in 2013. The applicant must use the current form and provide all pages.
- 9 The floodplain Administrator for the Village of Spring Valley shall certify that the proposed construction is in compliance with the floodplain regulations of the Village and the Federal Emergency Management Agency.



Douglas J. Schuetz
Acting Commissioner of Planning

cc: Mayor Demeza Delhomme, Spring Valley
Rockland County Drainage Agency
Rockland County Department of Health
Rockland County Sewer District #1
Anthony R. Celentano P.L.S.
Town of Ramapo
New York State Department of State,
Division of Code Enforcement and Administration

**NYS General Municipal Law Section 239 requires a vote of a 'majority plus one' of your agency to act contrary to the above findings.*

ADLER (SV-819A)

The review undertaken by the Rockland County Planning Department is pursuant to, and follows the mandates of Article 12-B of the New York General Municipal Law. Under Article 12-B the County of Rockland does not render opinions, nor does it make determinations, whether the item reviewed implicates the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act. The Rockland County Planning Department defers to the municipality forwarding the item reviewed to render such opinions and make such determinations if appropriate under the circumstances.

In this respect, municipalities are advised that under the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act, the preemptive force of any provision of the Act may be avoided (1) by changing a policy or practice that may result in a substantial burden on religious exercise, (2) by retaining a policy or practice and exempting the substantially burdened religious exercise, (3) by providing exemptions from a policy or practice for applications that substantially burden religious exercise, or (4) by any other means that eliminates the substantial burden.

Proponents of projects are advised to apply for variances, special permits or exceptions, hardship approval or other relief.

