



Rockland County

Ed Day, Rockland County Executive

DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING

Dr. Robert L. Yeager Health Center
50 Sanatorium Road, Building T
Pomona, New York 10970

Phone: (845) 364-3434 Fax: (845) 364-3435

Douglas J. Schuetz
Acting Commissioner

Arlene R. Miller
Deputy Commissioner

June 4, 2019

Ramapo Zoning Board of Appeals
237 Route 59
Suffern, NY 10901

Tax Data: 49.10-1-3.2

49.10-1-3.1

Re: GENERAL MUNICIPAL LAW REVIEW: Section 239 L and M

Map Date: 1/22/2019

Date Review Received: 5/2/2019

Item: *TEACHER MOMMY DAYCARE (R-2431C)*

Variations for lot area, front setback front yard, side setback, total side setback, rear setback and development coverage to permit a daycare center on 1.3 acres in an RR-50 zoning district. Two partially constructed residential dwellings on two separate tax parcels are to be altered for the daycare use. The lots will be combined. A variance is also necessary to permit two principal buildings on one lot. South side of Viola Road, opposite Quince Lane

Reason for Referral:

Viola Road (CR 74), Rockland Community College

The County of Rockland Department of Planning has reviewed the above item. Acting under the terms of the above GML powers and those vested by the County of Rockland Charter, I, the Commissioner of Planning, hereby:

****Disapprove***

1 In our February 5, 2019 GML review of the site plan for this proposal, we noted that the bulk table specifies that a variance is required to permit two principal buildings on a single lot. Our review of the Table of General Use Requirements (Section 376-31) in the Zoning Law indicates that this is true of residential buildings in most residential zones. The only other reference to multiple principal buildings is in Section 376-62 (Spacing) of the Supplementary Regulations. We believe this spacing requirement is for more than one principal building on a lot in a commercial, industrial or multi-family zoning district. The permitted number of principal buildings is not addressed in the Table of Bulk Requirements (Section 376-41). Given that a limitation on the number of principal buildings is not considered in the bulk requirements, we believe that a use variance is required to permit both structures to be used as daycare centers on the combined lots. We requested clarification on this matter in our earlier review.

The April 15, 2019 project narrative submitted with the current application includes a footnote that states, in part, "An earlier determination by the Building Department that a use variance was needed to allow the two principal buildings that are proposed has been withdrawn." The Building Department's determination has not been shared with this department despite the request for clarification on this matter. The supporting documentation must be submitted for our review as we continue to maintain that a use variance is required. An applicant must

TEACHER MOMMY DAYCARE (R-2431C)

demonstrate that an unnecessary hardship exists for a use variance to be granted. Use variances are also subject to a review by this department as mandated under the New York State General Municipal Law.

2 Several significant bulk variances are required to implement this site plan proposal. The lot area of the two parcels is only 65 percent of the required minimum; the maximum permitted development coverage is exceeded by 75 percent. The front yard and front setback are deficient by 50 percent. The side setback and total side setback require 70 percent variances. Permitting development that does not comply with the applicable bulk standards can set an undesirable land use precedent and result in the overutilization of individual sites. The ability of the existing infrastructure to accommodate more intensive land uses on undersized parcels in low-density residential neighborhoods is a countywide concern and must be evaluated. This evaluation must consider whether local roads will become more congested and the sewer system, stormwater management systems and the public water supply will be overburdened. The Town must consider the cumulative and regional impacts of permitting such development. The day care center use shall not be permitted as it is deficient in meeting many bulk standards.

The following comments address our additional concerns about this proposal.

3 Day care centers are allowed as a principal use in the RR-50 zoning district by special permit. As such, they are subject to a higher standard of review. It is unclear whether the Planning Board has determined if this proposal complies with the special permit standards outlined in Article XII. This must be addressed.

4 The applicant must comply with the conditions of the Rockland County Highway Department's letter of March 13, 2019.

5 The applicant must comply with the conditions of the Rockland County Health Department's letter of March 29, 2019.

6 The applicant must comply with the conditions of the Rockland County Sewer District #1's letters of March 26, 2019 and May 28, 2019.

7 The daycare buildings must comply with all requirements of the New York State Uniform Fire Prevention and Building Code.

8 An updated review of the January 22, 2019 site plan shall be completed by the Town of Ramapo Fire Inspector. In addition, the applicant must satisfactorily address the comments in the Fire Inspector's letter of August 31, 2018.

9 The applicant must satisfactorily address the concerns raised in the Tallman Fire Department's letter of March 25, 2019.

10 A review must be completed by the Facilities Management Division of the Rockland County Department of General Services, and any comments or concerns satisfactorily addressed.

11 A review must be completed by Rockland Community College, and any comments or concerns satisfactorily addressed.

12 The project narrative indicates that the daycare center will have up to 18 employees. Since only 14 parking spaces are proposed, we are concerned about the adequacy of the on-site parking for a facility located on a County road. Four handicapped parking spaces are depicted on the site plan. If there are no handicapped staff members, the onsite parking deficiency is effectively eight spaces. Inadequate on-site parking will negatively affect the flow of traffic along Viola Road. The applicant must pursue off-site parking arrangements in the event that the proposed parking proves insufficient. The Town must monitor the adequacy of the on-site parking should this proposal move forward. Under no circumstances is parking permitted along Viola Road.

TEACHER MOMMY DAYCARE (R-2431C)

- 13 It is unclear whether the Planning Board determined that fourteen parking spaces are sufficient for this use as mandated in the Table of General Use Requirements. This must be clarified.
- 14 A drop off area is inappropriate given the age range of the children to be served. Infants and toddlers will have to be escorted into the daycare building. There is insufficient room for vehicles to stack and/or park in the drop off area. Since this is likely also a fire lane, parking is not permitted. Parking spots must be designated for short-term parking to allow parents to bring infants and toddlers to and from their classrooms.
- 15 A dumpster enclosure must be shown on the site plan and easily accessible to sanitation workers. Parked vehicles must not block access.
- 16 Areas designated for snow removal must be clearly delineated on the site plan so that the plow drivers will know where to place the snow piles. This will help to protect the proposed landscaping from damage due to the weight of the snow and salt intrusion. In addition, providing specific locations on the site for the snow piles will reduce the loss of limited parking spaces meant to be used by staff and parents.
- 17 Given the proposed daycare center use, fencing must be provided around the stormwater detention pond to ensure the safety of the children.
- 18 The use of pervious pavers shall be considered to reduce the extent of the development coverage variance.
- 19 A net lot area calculation must be provided, as approximately 4,690 SF of the lot area is within the designated street line.
- 20 The bulk table must be corrected to reference the total side setback standard rather than total side yard.
- 21 Map Note 7 must be corrected to reflect Section 239 L and M of the General Municipal Law, as this is an application for variances not a subdivision.
- 22 Map Note 12 must be corrected to indicate the Tallman Fire District rather than Monsey.
- 23 Map Note 14 must be corrected to indicate that SUEZ is the water supplier rather than United Water.
- 24 It is unclear if any of the map notes must be revised due to the proposed change in use. This must be clarified.
- 25 Pursuant to General Municipal Law (GML) Section 239-m and 239-n, if any of the conditions of this GML review are overridden by the board, then the local land use board must file a report with the County Commissioner of Planning of the final action taken. If the final action is contrary to the recommendation of the Commissioner, the local land use board must state the reasons for such action.
- 26 In addition, pursuant to Executive Order 01-2017 signed by County Executive Day on May 22, 2017, County departments are prohibited from issuing a County permit, license, or approval until the report is filed with the County Commissioner of Planning. The applicant must provide to any County agency which has jurisdiction of the project: 1) a copy of the Commissioner report approving the proposed action; or 2) a copy of the Commissioner of Planning recommendations to modify or disapprove the proposed action, and a certified copy of the land use board statement overriding the recommendations to modify or disapprove, and the stated reasons for the land use board's override.



Douglas J. Schuetz
Acting Commissioner of Planning

cc: Supervisor Michael B. Specht, Ramapo
Rockland County Department of Highways

TEACHER MOMMY DAYCARE (R-2431C)

Rockland Community College
Rockland County Department of General Services
Rockland County Drainage Agency
Rockland County Department of Health
Rockland County Sewer District #1
New York State Department of State
Rockland County Office of Fire and Emergency Services
Tallman Fire District
Fusco Engineering & Land Surveying, P.C.

Teacher Mommy Daycare

Mona Montal, Chief of Staff
Rockland County Planning Board Members

**NYS General Municipal Law Section 239 requires a vote of a 'majority plus one' of your agency to act contrary to the above findings.*

The review undertaken by the Rockland County Planning Department is pursuant to, and follows the mandates of Article 12-B of the New York General Municipal Law. Under Article 12-B the County of Rockland does not render opinions, nor does it make determinations, whether the item reviewed implicates the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act. The Rockland County Planning Department defers to the municipality forwarding the item reviewed to render such opinions and make such determinations if appropriate under the circumstances.

In this respect, municipalities are advised that under the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act, the preemptive force of any provision of the Act may be avoided (1) by changing a policy or practice that may result in a substantial burden on religious exercise, (2) by retaining a policy or practice and exempting the substantially burdened religious exercise, (3) by providing exemptions from a policy or practice for applications that substantially burden religious exercise, or (4) by any other means that eliminates the substantial burden.

Proponents of projects are advised to apply for variances, special permits or exceptions, hardship approval or other relief.

Pursuant to New York State General Municipal Law §239-m(6), the referring body shall file a report of final action it has taken with the Rockland County Department of Planning within thirty (30) days after final action. A referring body which acts contrary to a recommendation of modification or disapproval of a proposed action shall set forth the reasons for the contrary action in such report.