



**COUNTY OF ROCKLAND**  
**DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING**

Building T  
Pomona, NY 10970  
(845) 364-3434  
Fax. (845) 364-3435

C. SCOTT VANDERHOEF  
County Executive

THOMAS B. VANDERBEEK, P.E.  
Commissioner

October 23, 2013

ARLENE R. MILLER  
Deputy Commissioner

Ramapo Zoning Board of Appeals  
237 Route 59  
Suffern, NY 10901

**Tax Data:** 50.17-1-31

**Re: GENERAL MUNICIPAL LAW REVIEW:** Section 239 L and M

**Map Date:** 8/15/2013

**Date Review Received:** 9/20/2013

**Item:** *POLATSECK (R-2416)*

Variances for side setback, total side setback, rear setback, maximum development coverage and deck rear setback to allow the construction, maintenance and use of a three-family residence with three accessory apartments on .2399 acres in an R-15C zoning district.  
North side of Fanley Avenue, 100 feet west of Neil Road

**Reason for Referral:**

Village of Spring Valley

The County of Rockland Department of Planning has reviewed the above item. Acting under the terms of the above GML powers and those vested by the County of Rockland Charter, I, the Commissioner of Planning, hereby:

***\*Recommend the following modifications***

1 The Village of Spring Valley is the reason this proposal was referred to this department for review. The municipal boundary is 300 feet east of the site. This area of the Village is zoned R-2, a medium-density residential zone characterized by one- and two-family residences. The maximum residential density permitted in this zone ranges from 4.84 to 8.71 units per acre. The applicant is proposing a residential density of 25.01 units per acre. New York State General Municipal Law states that the purposes of Sections 239-l, 239-m and 239-n shall be to bring pertinent inter-community and countywide planning, zoning, site plan and subdivision considerations to the attention of neighboring municipalities and agencies having jurisdiction. Such review may include inter-community and county-wide considerations in respect to the compatibility of various land uses with one another; traffic generating characteristics of various land uses in relation to the effect of such traffic on other land uses and to the adequacy of existing and proposed thoroughfare facilities; and the protection of community character as regards predominant land uses, population density, and the relation between residential and nonresidential areas. In addition, Section 239-nn was enacted to encourage the coordination of land use development and regulation among adjacent municipalities, and as a result development occurs in a manner that is supportive of the goals and objectives of the general area.

**POLATSECK (R-2416)**

The Village of Spring Valley must be given the opportunity to review the proposal and its impact on community character, traffic, water quantity and quality, drainage, stormwater runoff and sanitary sewer service. The areas of countywide concern noted above that directly impact the Village of Spring Valley must be considered and satisfactorily addressed, as well as any additional concerns about the proposal.

2 Permitting development that does not comply with the applicable bulk standards can set an undesirable land use precedent and result in the overutilization of individual sites. The applicant is seeking a 60 percent increase over the maximum permitted development coverage. The ability of the existing infrastructure to accommodate increased residential density on non-conforming lots is a countywide concern and must be evaluated. This evaluation must consider whether local roads will become more congested and the sewer system, stormwater management systems and the public water supply will be overburdened. The Town must consider the cumulative and regional impacts of permitting such development.

3 The proposed residential building must comply with all requirements of the New York State Uniform Fire Prevention and Building Code.

4 It will not be possible for sanitation workers to access the dumpster enclosure if vehicles are parked in spaces 2 and 3. The dumpster enclosure must be moved to a more accessible location.

5 A five-foot wide shade tree easement is not indicated on the site plan. The Town must confirm whether Section 258-3 (the shade tree easement requirement) applies to this proposal. If Section 258-3 is applicable, it appears that parking spaces 3 and 6, and the dumpster enclosure, are partially located within the five-foot shade tree easement area. The Director of Public Works shall determine if it is still possible to plant one tree for every 40 linear feet of shade tree easement as required in Section 258-3 of the Town Code.



---

Thomas B. Vanderbeek, P.E.  
Commissioner of Planning

cc: Supervisor Christopher St. Lawrence, Ramapo  
Rockland County Department of Health  
Rockland County Drainage Agency  
Rockland County Sewer District #1  
Anthony R. Celentano P.L.S.  
Village of Spring Valley  
New York State Department of State,  
Division of Code Enforcement and Administration  
David Polatseck

*\*NYS General Municipal Law Section 239 requires a vote of a 'majority plus one' of your agency to act contrary to the above findings.*

**POLATSECK (R-2416)**

*The review undertaken by the Rockland County Planning Department is pursuant to, and follows the mandates of Article 12-B of the New York General Municipal Law. Under Article 12-B the County of Rockland does not render opinions, nor does it make determinations, whether the item reviewed implicates the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act. The Rockland County Planning Department defers to the municipality forwarding the item reviewed to render such opinions and make such determinations if appropriate under the circumstances.*

*In this respect, municipalities are advised that under the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act, the preemptive force of any provision of the Act may be avoided (1) by changing a policy or practice that may result in a substantial burden on religious exercise, (2) by retaining a policy or practice and exempting the substantially burdened religious exercise, (3) by providing exemptions from a policy or practice for applications that substantially burden religious exercise, or (4) by any other means that eliminates the substantial burden.*

*Proponents of projects are advised to apply for variances, special permits or exceptions, hardship approval or other relief.*

