Rockland County

Ed Day, Rockland County Executive

DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING
Dr. Robert L. Yeager Health Center
50 Sanatorium Road, Building T
Pomona, New York 10970
Phone: (845) 364-3434 Fax: (845) 364-3435

Douglas J. Schuetz
Acting Commissioner

Arlene R. Miller
Deputy Commissioner

August 7, 2017

Piermont Planning Board
Village Hall
478 Piermont Avenue
Piermont, NY 10968

Tax Data: 75.70-1-27

Re: GENERAL MUNICIPAL LAW REVIEW: Section 239 L and M
Map Date: 5/29/2017 **Date Review Received:** 7/12/2017

Item: ***THOMAS & MARY HUGHES - ADDITION TO SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENCE (P-146)***

A site plan application to allow a second-story addition and renovations to an existing single-family residence on 0.87 acres in the R-10 zoning district.
The eastern side of Ferdon Avenue, approximately 270 feet south of Bridge Street.

Reason for Referral:

Long Path Hiking Trail, Sparkill Creek, Tallman Mountain State Park, the Town of Orangetown.

The County of Rockland Department of Planning has reviewed the above item. Acting under the terms of the above GML powers and those vested by the County of Rockland Charter, I, the Commissioner of Planning, hereby:

***Recommend the following modifications**

1 A review must be completed by the County of Rockland Drainage Agency and all required permits obtained from them.

2 A review must be completed by the New York - New Jersey Trail Conference and any concerns addressed.

3 A review must be completed by the Rockland County Department of Health to ensure compliance with Article XIX (Mosquito Control) of the Rockland County Sanitary Code.

4 A review must be completed by the Palisades Interstate Park Commission and their comments considered.

THOMAS & MARY HUGHES - ADDITION TO SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENCE (P-146)

5 The Town of Orangetown is one of the reasons this proposal was referred to this department for review. The municipal boundary is adjacent to the eastern property line of the site. As required under Section 239nn of the State General Municipal Law, the Town of Orangetown must be given the opportunity to review the proposed site plan and provide any concerns related to the project to the Village of Piermont.

Douglas J. Schuetz
Acting Commissioner of Planning

cc: Mayor Christopher Sanders, Piermont
New York - New Jersey Trail Conference
Rockland County Drainage Agency
Palisades Interstate Park Commission
Rockland County Department of Health

Robert E. Sorace, PLS
Town of Orangetown Planning Board

**NYS General Municipal Law Section 239 requires a vote of a 'majority plus one' of your agency to act contrary to the above findings.*

The review undertaken by the Rockland County Planning Department is pursuant to, and follows the mandates of Article 12-B of the New York General Municipal Law. Under Article 12-B the County of Rockland does not render opinions, nor does it make determinations, whether the item reviewed implicates the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act. The Rockland County Planning Department defers to the municipality forwarding the item reviewed to render such opinions and make such determinations if appropriate under the circumstances.

In this respect, municipalities are advised that under the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act, the preemptive force of any provision of the Act may be avoided (1) by changing a policy or practice that may result in a substantial burden on religious exercise, (2) by retaining a policy or practice and exempting the substantially burdened religious exercise, (3) by providing exemptions from a policy or practice for applications that substantially burden religious exercise, or (4) by any other means that eliminates the substantial burden.

Proponents of projects are advised to apply for variances, special permits or exceptions, hardship approval or other relief.

Pursuant to New York State General Municipal Law §239-m(6), the referring body shall file a report of final action it has taken with the Rockland County Department of Planning within thirty (30) days after final action. A referring body which acts contrary to a recommendation of modification or disapproval of a proposed action shall set forth the reasons for the contrary action in such report.