

DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING

Dr. Robert L. Yeager Health Center
50 Sanatorium Road, Building T
Pomona, New York 10970
Phone: (845) 364-3434 Fax: (845) 364-3435

Douglas J. Schuetz
Acting Commissioner

Arlene R. Miller
Deputy Commissioner

April 27, 2016

Piermont Planning Board
Village Hall
478 Piermont Avenue
Piermont, NY 10968

Tax Data: 75.54-1-57 75.54-1-1

Re: GENERAL MUNICIPAL LAW REVIEW: Section 239 N

Map Date: 4/1/2016

Date Review Received: 4/4/2016

Item: *ADAMTHWAITE (P-133B)*

Three-lot subdivision of two parcels that together comprise 3.6 acres in the R-20 zoning district. An existing dwelling will remain on one lot, and two new dwellings are proposed.

East side of Tweed Boulevard, approximately 630 feet north and east of US Route 9W

Reason for Referral:

Tweed Boulevard (CR 5), US Route 9W, Town of Orangetown, Long Path

The County of Rockland Department of Planning has reviewed the above item. Acting under the terms of the above GML powers and those vested by the County of Rockland Charter, I, the Commissioner of Planning, hereby:

****Recommend the following modifications***

- 1 As required by the Rockland County Stream Control Act, the subdivision plan must be reviewed and signed by the Chairman of the Rockland County Drainage Agency before the County Clerk can accept the plan to be filed.
- 2 An updated review must be completed by the County of Rockland Department of Highways and all required permits obtained.
- 3 As indicated in the February 24, 2016 letter from the Rockland County Department of Health, an application must be made to them to ensure compliance with the County Mosquito Code.
- 4 For installation of a sanitary sewer system, engineering plans and specifications shall be reviewed and approved by the Rockland County Department of Health prior to construction.
- 5 Permits issued by the Rockland County Department of Health are required for the installation of the proposed wells.

ADAMTHWAITE (P-133B)

6 The comments in the April 11, 2016 letter from the New York State Department of Transportation must be met.

7 The Town of Orangetown is one of the reasons this proposal was referred to this department for review. The municipal boundary is along Tweed Boulevard, directly adjacent to the site. New York State General Municipal Law states that the purposes of Sections 239-l, 239-m and 239-n shall be to bring pertinent inter-community and countywide planning, zoning, site plan and subdivision considerations to the attention of neighboring municipalities and agencies having jurisdiction. Such review may include inter-community and county-wide considerations in respect to the compatibility of various land uses with one another; traffic generating characteristics of various land uses in relation to the effect of such traffic on other land uses and to the adequacy of existing and proposed thoroughfare facilities; and the protection of community character as regards predominant land uses, population density, and the relation between residential and nonresidential areas. In addition, Section 239-nn was enacted to encourage the coordination of land use development and regulation among adjacent municipalities, and as a result development occurs in a manner that is supportive of the goals and objectives of the general area.

The Town of Orangetown must be given the opportunity to review the proposal and its impact on community character, traffic, water quantity and quality, drainage, stormwater runoff and sanitary sewer service. The areas of countywide concern noted above that directly impact the Town of Orangetown must be considered and satisfactorily addressed, as well as any additional concerns about the proposal.

8 A stormwater pollution prevention plan (SWPPP) was not provided. The SWPPP, if required, shall conform to the current regulations, including the New York State Stormwater Management and Design Manual (January 2015) and local ordinances.

9 Prior to the start of construction or grading, a soil and erosion control plan shall be developed and in place for the entire site that meets the latest edition of the New York State Guidelines for Urban Erosion and Sediment Control.

10 There shall be no net increase in the peak rate of discharge from the site at all design points.



Douglas J. Schuetz
Acting Commissioner of Planning

cc: Mayor Christopher Sanders, Piermont
Rockland County Department of Highways
Rockland County Department of Health
Rockland County Drainage Agency
New York State Department of Transportation
New York - New Jersey Trail Conference

Leonard Jackson Associates
Town of Orangetown

**NYS General Municipal Law Section 239 requires a vote of a 'majority plus one' of your agency to act contrary to the above findings.*

ADAMTHWAITE (P-133B)

The review undertaken by the Rockland County Planning Department is pursuant to, and follows the mandates of Article 12-B of the New York General Municipal Law. Under Article 12-B the County of Rockland does not render opinions, nor does it make determinations, whether the item reviewed implicates the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act. The Rockland County Planning Department defers to the municipality forwarding the item reviewed to render such opinions and make such determinations if appropriate under the circumstances.

In this respect, municipalities are advised that under the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act, the preemptive force of any provision of the Act may be avoided (1) by changing a policy or practice that may result in a substantial burden on religious exercise, (2) by retaining a policy or practice and exempting the substantially burdened religious exercise, (3) by providing exemptions from a policy or practice for applications that substantially burden religious exercise, or (4) by any other means that eliminates the substantial burden.

Proponents of projects are advised to apply for variances, special permits or exceptions, hardship approval or other relief.

Pursuant to New York State General Municipal Law §239-m(6), the referring body shall file a report of final action it has taken with the Rockland County Department of Planning within thirty (30) days after final action. A referring body which acts contrary to a recommendation of modification or disapproval of a proposed action shall set forth the reasons for the contrary action in such report.

