



**PROJECT SYCAMORE DATA CENTER SITE PLAN (O-2064K)**

- 6 There are still no areas designated for snow removal shown on the amended site plan. These areas must be clearly delineated on the site plan so that the plow drivers will know where to place the snow piles. This will help to provide specific locations on the site for the snow piles so that the number of parking spaces meant for the employees will not be impacted.
- 7 The Town fire inspector and/or the Rockland County Office of Fire and Emergency Services must review the site plan to ensure that adequate circulation is provided in the event an emergency arises. This review should include whether the proposed emergency access can accommodate fire equipment, a process to access the gates if the emergency access is needed to be used, and whether there is adequate water pressure/volume for firefighting purposes.
- 8 Data centers are generally large consumers of water. A review must be completed by Suez to ensure that there is adequate water supply for the proposed use.
- 9 The bulk table should have a footnote indicating that a fence height variance is required. This footnote should be updated to reflect the decision of the Orangetown Zoning Board of Appeals' final action.
- 10 Prior to the start of construction or grading, a soil and erosion control plan shall be developed and in place for the entire site that meets the latest edition of the New York State Guidelines for Urban Erosion and Sediment Control.
- 11 There shall be no net increase in the peak rate of discharge from the site at all design points.



---

Douglas J. Schuetz  
Acting Commissioner of Planning

cc: Supervisor Chris Day, Orangetown  
Rockland County Department of Highways  
Rockland County Department of Health  
Rockland County Office of Fire and Emergency Services  
Rockland County Drainage Agency  
Rockland County Planning Board  
New York State Department of Environmental Conservation  
SUEZ  
  
CH2M  
Dewberry  
Brian Quinn, Esq. - Montalbano, Condon & Frank P.C

*\*NYS General Municipal Law Section 239 requires a vote of a 'majority plus one' of your agency to act contrary to the above findings.*

*The review undertaken by the Rockland County Planning Department is pursuant to, and follows the mandates of Article 12-B of the New York General Municipal Law. Under Article 12-B the County of Rockland does not render opinions, nor does it make determinations, whether the item reviewed implicates the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act. The Rockland County Planning Department defers to the municipality forwarding the item reviewed to render such opinions and make such determinations if appropriate under the circumstances.*

*In this respect, municipalities are advised that under the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act, the preemptive force of any provision of the Act may be avoided (1) by changing a policy or practice that may result in a substantial burden on religious exercise, (2) by retaining a policy or practice and exempting the substantially burdened religious exercise, (3) by providing exemptions from a policy or practice for applications that substantially burden religious exercise, or (4) by any other means that eliminates the substantial burden.*

*Proponents of projects are advised to apply for variances, special permits or exceptions, hardship approval or other relief.*

*Pursuant to New York State General Municipal Law §239-m(6), the referring body shall file a report of final action it has taken with the Rockland County Department of Planning within thirty (30) days after final action. A referring body which acts contrary to a recommendation of modification or disapproval of a proposed action shall set forth the reasons for the contrary action in such report.*