

**DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING**

Dr. Robert L. Yeager Health Center  
50 Sanatorium Road, Building T  
Pomona, New York 10970  
Phone: (845) 364-3434 Fax: (845) 364-3435

**Douglas J. Schuetz**  
*Acting Commissioner*

**Arlene R. Miller**  
*Deputy Commissioner*

April 14, 2016

Orangetown Planning Board  
21 Greenbush Road  
Orangeburg, NY 10962

**Tax Data:** 73.15-1-18      73.19-1-1

**Re: GENERAL MUNICIPAL LAW REVIEW:** Section 239 L and M

**Map Date:** 3/14/2016

**Date Review Received:** 3/17/2016

**Item:** *155 CORPORATE DRIVE BUILDING EXPANSION & PARKING LOT (O-1169LLLLLL)*

Site plan for the proposed construction of a one-story, 11, 629 sq. ft. building expansion to an existing 131,867 sq. ft. data center located on 15.37 acres in the LIO zoning district. The parking lot located on the opposite side of Corporate Drive is also proposed to be expanded by 35 parking spaces.

North and south sides of Corporate Drive, approximately 860 feet west of Olympic Drive

**Reason for Referral:**

Borough of Old Tappan, NJ

The County of Rockland Department of Planning has reviewed the above item. Acting under the terms of the above GML powers and those vested by the County of Rockland Charter, I, the Commissioner of Planning, hereby:

***\*Recommend the following modifications***

- 1 The Borough of Old Tappan, NJ is the reason this proposal was referred to this department for review. The municipal boundary is located directly south of the site. As required under Section 239nn of the State General Municipal Law, the Borough of Old Tappan, NJ must be given the opportunity to review the proposed site plan and provide any concerns related to the project to the Town of Orangetown.
- 2 In order to ensure that the landscaping, located in the islands, is not damaged by the weight of the snow or salt intrusion during snow removal, areas must be designated on the site plan for the storage of snow piles.
- 3 Prior to the start of construction or grading, a soil and erosion control plan shall be developed and in place for the entire site that meets the latest edition of the New York State Guidelines for Urban Erosion and Sediment Control.

**155 CORPORATE DRIVE BUILDING EXPANSION & PARKING LOT (O-1169LLLLLL)**

4 There shall be no net increase in the peak rate of discharge from the site at all design points.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Douglas J. Schuetz  
Acting Commissioner of Planning

cc: Supervisor Andrew Stewart, Orangetown  
Rockland County Department of Health

Russo Development, LLC  
Borough of Old Tappan, NJ

*\*NYS General Municipal Law Section 239 requires a vote of a 'majority plus one' of your agency to act contrary to the above findings.*

*The review undertaken by the Rockland County Planning Department is pursuant to, and follows the mandates of Article 12-B of the New York General Municipal Law. Under Article 12-B the County of Rockland does not render opinions, nor does it make determinations, whether the item reviewed implicates the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act. The Rockland County Planning Department defers to the municipality forwarding the item reviewed to render such opinions and make such determinations if appropriate under the circumstances.*

*In this respect, municipalities are advised that under the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act, the preemptive force of any provision of the Act may be avoided (1) by changing a policy or practice that may result in a substantial burden on religious exercise, (2) by retaining a policy or practice and exempting the substantially burdened religious exercise, (3) by providing exemptions from a policy or practice for applications that substantially burden religious exercise, or (4) by any other means that eliminates the substantial burden.*

*Proponents of projects are advised to apply for variances, special permits or exceptions, hardship approval or other relief.*

*Pursuant to New York State General Municipal Law §239-m(6), the referring body shall file a report of final action it has taken with the Rockland County Department of Planning within thirty (30) days after final action. A referring body which acts contrary to a recommendation of modification or disapproval of a proposed action shall set forth the reasons for the contrary action in such report.*