



Rockland County

Ed Day, Rockland County Executive

DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING

Dr. Robert L. Yeager Health Center
50 Sanatorium Road, Building T
Pomona, New York 10970
Phone: (845) 364-3434 Fax: (845) 364-3435

Douglas J. Schuetz
Acting Commissioner

Arlene R. Miller
Deputy Commissioner

May 29, 2019

Chestnut Ridge Zoning Board of Appeals
277 Old Nyack Turnpike
Chestnut Ridge, NY 10977

Tax Data: 63.09-1-1

Re: GENERAL MUNICIPAL LAW REVIEW: Section 239 L and M

Map Date: 3/22/2019

Date Review Received: 5/6/2019

Item: *FOX DEVELOPMENT - 640 CHESTNUT RIDGE ROAD (CR-193F)*

A variance application to allow the construction of a 42,589 square foot addition to an existing office/manufacturing building on a corner lot with 4.01 acres in the PI zoning district. An existing single-family residence is to be removed. Variances are requested for front yard (Scotland Road and Chestnut Ridge Road), front setback (Scotland Road), rear yard, rear setback, floor area ratio, maximum building dimension, a loading berth within 300' of a residential district, and a loading berth in the rear yard.

The northeastern corner of the intersection of Scotland Road and Chestnut Ridge Road.

Reason for Referral:

Chestnut Ridge Road (NYS Route 45)

The County of Rockland Department of Planning has reviewed the above item. Acting under the terms of the above GML powers and those vested by the County of Rockland Charter, I, the Commissioner of Planning, hereby:

****Disapprove***

1 The eastern property line is adjacent to R-25 zoning district, which allows low-density, single-family residences. Although the required 50-foot buffer is to remain, the proposed rear setback of ten feet is only 13% of the required minimum of 75 feet. The combined distance of the buffer area and the rear setback is only 60 feet, which is 15 feet less than the minimum rear setback alone. Considering the incompatibility of the proposed use with the residential properties adjacent to the rear of the site, the application must be denied since the proposed addition does not allow for adequate separation and protection to neighboring residents.

FOX DEVELOPMENT - 640 CHESTNUT RIDGE ROAD (CR-193F)

2 The proposal requires several bulk variances. The front yards along Chestnut Ridge Road and Scotland Road are deficient by 24% and 4%, respectively. The rear yard is deficient by 67%. Variances are required due to the length of the building and the proximity of the loading berth to a residential district. Most substantially, the proposed floor area ratio exceeds the maximum requirement by 63%. The front (Scotland Road) and rear setbacks are deficient by 38% and 87%, respectively. The large number and scope of the requested variances suggest a general overdevelopment of the site. In order to keep the property within its capacity to be developed, the application must be denied.

The following comments address our additional concerns about this proposal.

3 The applicant must comply with all comments made by the Rockland County Sewer District No. 1 in their letter of April 22, 2019.

4 As per the May 2, 2019 letter from the Rockland County Department of Health, an application is to be made to them for review of the storm water management system for compliance with the County Mosquito Code.

5 A review must be completed by the Rockland County Office of Fire and Emergency Services, the Village of Chestnut Ridge Fire Inspector, or the South Spring Valley Fire Department to ensure that there is sufficient maneuverability on site for fire trucks, in the event an emergency arises.

6 A review shall be completed by the New York State Department of Transportation and any required permits obtained.

7 A turn-radius analysis must be provided that demonstrates adequate access to the proposed loading berths.

8 The site plan indicates that the required number of parking spaces is not proposed to change. The applicant must verify that no additional office space is proposed and that the number of employees is not going to increase. If not, the then required parking calculation must be amended.

9 The narrative provided indicates that a variance of Article XVII, Section 2 is required. This section discusses the use of petitions to amend the Village's zoning regulations. The narrative must be amended to cite the correct section of the regulations. The public hearing notice must be reviewed and, if it contains inaccurate information, re-issued.

10 The significance cross-hatched area along the southern façade must be clarified. In addition, the purpose of the nearby overhead door, and how it will be accessed, must be identified.

11 This department received a site plan application on April 26, 2019, and received this variance application on May 6, 2019. Both applications contained site plans with a revised date of March 22, 2019. However, the plans received on April 26, 2019 had an incorrect parcel identification number in the title box. All materials must be consistent and accurate. The site plan, application, and public hearing notice must be reviewed and, if they contain the incorrect parcel number, amended or re-issued.



Douglas J. Schuetz
Acting Commissioner of Planning

cc: Mayor Rosario Presti, Jr., Chestnut Ridge
Rockland County Department of Health
Rockland County Sewer District #1
Rockland County Office of Fire and Emergency Services
South Spring Valley Fire District
New York State Department of Transportation

Civil Tec

FOX DEVELOPMENT - 640 CHESTNUT RIDGE ROAD (CR-193F)

Rockland County Planning Board Members

**NYS General Municipal Law Section 239 requires a vote of a 'majority plus one' of your agency to act contrary to the above findings.*

The review undertaken by the Rockland County Planning Department is pursuant to, and follows the mandates of Article 12-B of the New York General Municipal Law. Under Article 12-B the County of Rockland does not render opinions, nor does it make determinations, whether the item reviewed implicates the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act. The Rockland County Planning Department defers to the municipality forwarding the item reviewed to render such opinions and make such determinations if appropriate under the circumstances.

In this respect, municipalities are advised that under the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act, the preemptive force of any provision of the Act may be avoided (1) by changing a policy or practice that may result in a substantial burden on religious exercise, (2) by retaining a policy or practice and exempting the substantially burdened religious exercise, (3) by providing exemptions from a policy or practice for applications that substantially burden religious exercise, or (4) by any other means that eliminates the substantial burden.

Proponents of projects are advised to apply for variances, special permits or exceptions, hardship approval or other relief.

Pursuant to New York State General Municipal Law §239-m(6), the referring body shall file a report of final action it has taken with the Rockland County Department of Planning within thirty (30) days after final action. A referring body which acts contrary to a recommendation of modification or disapproval of a proposed action shall set forth the reasons for the contrary action in such report.

