

NOTICE OF MEETING

Notice is hereby given that the Legislature of Rockland County will meet in its Chambers in the Allison-Parris Office Building, New City, New York on Thursday, December 4, 2014 at 7:00 P.M., pursuant to the adjournment of the November 18, 2014 meeting.

Very truly yours,

Laurence O. Toole
Clerk to the Legislature

Dated at New City, New York
This 1st day of December 2014

The Legislature of Rockland County convened in regular session pursuant to adjournment of the November 18, 2014 meeting.

A Roll Call being taken at 7:00 p.m., the following Legislators were present and answered to their names:

- Christopher J. Carey
- Harriet D. Cornell
- Toney L. Earl
- Michael M. Grant
- Douglas J. Jobson
- Barry S. Kantrowitz
- Nancy Low-Hogan
- Joseph L. Meyers
- Patrick J. Moroney
- John A. Murphy
- Aney Paul
- Ilan S. Schoenberger
- Philip Soskin
- Frank P. Sparaco
- Aron B. Wieder
- Jay Hood, Jr., Vice Chairman.
- Alden H. Wolfe, Chairman

Honorable Philip Soskin, Legislator, District 7, led in the Salute to the Flag delivered the invocation.

**RESOLUTION NO. 500 OF 2014
ADOPTION OF MINUTES OF LEGISLATIVE MEETING
OF NOVEMBER 18, 2014**

Ms. Jobson offered the following resolution, which was seconded by Mr. Grant and unanimously adopted:

Resolved, that the transcribed minutes of the Legislative meeting November 18, 2014, as recorded by the Clerk and presented to the Legislature, be and they are hereby adopted.

Mr. Meyers - Point of Order

I note that on November 3rd we passed a resolution calling for a public hearing tonight on the tax levy cap. I do not see the public hearing on the agenda for this evening. Can you explain?

Chairman Wolfe

The public hearing was cancelled.

Mr. Meyers

How could the public hearing be cancelled?

Chairman Wolfe

The sponsor withdrew it.

Mr. Meyers

It was passed by this body. A sponsor cannot withdraw a resolution passed by this body.

Chairman Wolfe

You asked for an explanation and I responded.

Mr. Meyers

That is not an explanation. Can we have the attorney explain how that can possibly happen. That is a non-explanation. It's illegal.

Chairman Wolfe

A determination was made. Thank you for your opinion Mr. Meyers.

Mr. Meyers

I would like to hear the attorney's opinion. I want an answer.

Chairman Wolfe

I am answering you, but you are not accepting my answer. You made a Point of Order, you asked for an explanation and I responded. Thank you for sharing your thoughts. If you wish to go forward with a Local Law that waives the tax cap that is your business. Thank you Mr. Meyers.

Introduced by:

Referral No. 9209

Hon. Ilan S. Schoenberger, Sponsor
Hon. Alden H. Wolfe, Sponsor
Hon. Michael M. Grant, Sponsor
Hon. Douglas J. Jobson, Sponsor
Hon. Aney Paul, Sponsor
Hon. Harriet D. Cornell, Sponsor
Hon. Jay Hood, Jr., Sponsor
Hon. Philip Soskin, Sponsor

**RESOLUTION NO. 501 OF 2014
APPROVING PURCHASES IN EXCESS OF \$100,000
FROM DELL MARKETING LP
UNDER NYS OGS CONTRACT NO. PT65340
FOR DELL MICROCOMPUTER SYSTEMS
BY FORMAL PURCHASE ORDER
IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$109,600 CAPITAL PROJECT NO. 1474
[DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES – DIVISION OF PURCHASING]
(\$109,600)**

Mr. Grant offered the following resolution, which was seconded by Mr. Earl and unanimously adopted:

WHEREAS, The County departments presently use Dell business-grade desktop computers; and

WHEREAS, The State of New York through its Office of General Services ("NYS OGS") has a centralized contract for the acquisition of Dell microcomputer systems hardware, related software and related services; and

WHEREAS, Dell 22" Monitors are available from Dell Marketing, LLP to the County under New York State OGS Contract No. PT65340; and

WHEREAS, Purchases of new Dell 22" Monitors may be made by the County from Dell Marketing LP through NYS OGS Contract #PT65340; and

WHEREAS, The Director of Purchasing recommends that the County Executive and the Legislature of Rockland County approve the purchase of approximately 800 Dell 22" Monitors from Dell Marketing, LP, 1 Dell Way, Round Rock, Texas 78682, under NYS OGS Contract No. PT65340, each at a cost of \$137.00 in a total amount not to exceed \$109,600; and

WHEREAS, The pricing reflects the 2014 PC Aggregate Buy Initiative pricing based on overall volume for State and Local, K-12 and Higher Education for the State of NY and is the "Best Deal" pricing that Dell can offer under this IT Initiative; and

WHEREAS, All purchases shall be made by formal purchase order; and

WHEREAS, Sufficient funding for these purchases is provided for in the 2014 Adopted Capital Budget, Capital Project No. 1474 - County-Wide Personal Computer Replacement; and

WHEREAS, Local Law No. 18 of 1996 provides for the Legislature to approve "execution of all contracts in excess of \$100,000 entered into by the County," and

WHEREAS, The Planning & Public Works and Budget and Finance Committees of the Legislature have met, considered and unanimously approved this resolution, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Legislature of Rockland County approves the purchases in excess of \$100,000, with Dell Marketing, LP, 1 Dell Way, Round Rock, Texas 78682, under NYS OGS Contract No. PT65340 for Dell 22" Monitors in a total amount not to exceed \$109,600, by formal purchase order, subject to the approval of the Director of Purchasing; and be it further

RESOLVED, That sufficient funding for these purchases is provided for in the 2014 Adopted Capital Budget, Capital Project No. 1474 - County-Wide Personal Computer Replacement.

Introduced by:

Referral No. 9252

Hon. Ilan S. Schoenberger, Sponsor
Hon. Alden H. Wolfe, Sponsor
Hon. Michael M. Grant, Sponsor
Hon. Douglas J. Jobson, Sponsor
Hon. Aney Paul, Sponsor
Hon. Harriet D. Cornell, Sponsor
Hon. Jay Hood, Jr., Sponsor
Hon. Philip Soskin, Sponsor

**RESOLUTION NO. 502 OF 2014
AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE
CLERK TO THE LEGISLATURE TO ADVERTISE FOR BIDS
FOR THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE
REDUNDANT BACKUP PUBLIC SAFETY RADIO
COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY CAPITAL PROJECT NO. 1479
[DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES – FACILITIES MANAGEMENT]**

Mr. Grant offered the following resolution, which was seconded by Mr. Sparaco and unanimously adopted:

WHEREAS, By Resolutions No. 262 of 2014, the Legislature of Rockland County authorized capital project funds for the design and construction of the Redundant Backup Public Safety Communications Facility under Capital Project No. 1479; and

WHEREAS, Plans and specifications for the construction of the Redundant Backup Public Safety Radio Communications Facility have been prepared by the Degenshein Architects, OLA Consulting Engineers and Brooker Engineering; and

WHEREAS, The Director of Facilities Management recommends to the County Executive and the Legislature of Rockland County that the Clerk to the Legislature be authorized and directed to advertise for bids for the construction of this facility: and

WHEREAS, Capital Project No. 1479 has been authorized in the 2014 Adopted Capital Budget for this facility; and

WHEREAS, The Planning & Public Works and Budget and Finance Committees of the Legislature have met, considered and unanimously approved this resolution, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Legislature of Rockland County hereby authorizes and directs the Clerk to the Legislature to advertise for bids for the construction of the Redundant Backup Public Safety Communications Facility, subject to the approval of the County Attorney; and be it further

RESOLVED, Capital Project No. 1479 has been authorized in the 2014 Adopted Capital Budget for the Redundant Backup Public Safety Radio Communications Facility.

Introduced by:

Referral No. 9252

- Hon. Jay Hood, Jr., Sponsor
- Hon. Christopher J. Carey, Sponsor
- Hon. Douglas J. Jobson, Sponsor
- Hon Barry Kantrowitz, Sponsor
- Hon. Philip Soskin, Sponsor
- Hon. Michael M. Grant, Sponsor
- Hon. Alden H. Wolfe, Sponsor
- Hon. Ilan S. Schoenberger, Sponsor
- Hon. Harriet D. Cornell, Sponsor

**RESOLUTION NO. 503 OF 2014
 ACCEPTANCE OF GRANT FUNDS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$122,627
 (NCTD) FROM THE NEW YORK STATE DIVISION OF
 HOMELAND SECURITY AND EMERGENCY SERVICES
 TO HELP OFFSET BUDGETED FIRE & EMERGENCY SERVICES
 PERSONNEL FUNDS SPENT IN SUPPORT OF AUTHORIZED
 EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT OPERATIONS
 FOR THE PERIOD OCTOBER 1, 2013 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30, 2015
 AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF ALL NECESSARY
 GRANT DOCUMENTS BY THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE
 [OFFICE OF FIRE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES]
 (\$122,627)**

Mr. Grant offered the following resolution, which was seconded by Mr. Carey and unanimously adopted:

WHEREAS, The Rockland County Office of Fire and Emergency Services has advised the County Executive and the Legislature of Rockland County that they have been awarded grant funds in the amount of \$122,627 from the New York State Division of Homeland Security and Emergency Services to help offset budgeted Fire & Emergency Services personnel funds spent in support of authorized emergency management operations for the period October 1, 2013 through September 30,2015; and

WHEREAS, Since these grant funds will be used to cover personnel costs appropriated in the budget of Fire & Emergency Services, no funding clause is required; and

WHEREAS, The adoption of this resolution does not involve the expenditure of any County funds; and

WHEREAS, The Public Safety and Budget and Finance Committees of the Legislature have met, considered and unanimously approved this resolution, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Legislature of Rockland County hereby approves the acceptance of a grant award in the amount of \$122,627 from the New York State Division of Homeland Security and Emergency Services to help offset budgeted Fire & Emergency Services personnel funds spent in support of authorized emergency management operations for the period October 1, 2013 through September 30, 2015, and authorizes the execution of all necessary grant documents by the County Executive, subject to the approval of the County Attorney; and be it further

RESOLVED, That since these grant funds will be used to cover personnel costs appropriated in the budget of Fire & Emergency Services, no funding clause is required.

Introduced by:

Referral No. 9232

- Hon. Ilan S. Schoenberger, Sponsor
- Hon. Alden H. Wolfe, Sponsor
- Hon. Michael M. Grant, Sponsor
- Hon. Douglas J. Jobson, Sponsor
- Hon. Aney Paul, Sponsor
- Hon. Harriet D. Cornell, Sponsor
- Hon. Jay Hood, Jr., Sponsor
- Hon. Philip Soskin, Sponsor

**RESOLUTION NO. 504 OF 2014
 APPROVING PURCHASES IN EXCESS OF \$100,000
 FROM CIPHER TECHS, INC. TO PURCHASE CHECKPOINT SOFTWARE
 AND APPLIANCE UPGRADE FOR THE SECURITY/FIREWALL OF THE
 COUNTY NETWORK UNDER NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF GENERAL SERVICES
 CONTRACT NO. PT61429 IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$175,048.50 AND
 AUTHORIZING ALL PURCHASES TO BE MADE BY FORMAL PURCHASE ORDER
 [DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES – DIVISION OF PURCHASING]
 (\$175,048.50)**

Mr. Grant offered the following resolution, which was seconded by Mr. Murphy and unanimously adopted:

WHEREAS, The Director of Purchasing is requesting that the County Executive and Legislature of Rockland County approve purchases in excess of \$100,000 from Cipher Techs, Inc., One Exchange Plaza, 55 Broadway, 11th Floor, New York, New York 10006 for the purchase of Checkpoint Software and Appliance Upgrade in an amount not to exceed \$175,048.50 after credits are applied for the return of the current appliances; and

WHEREAS, Said purchases are necessary for the security/firewall of the County network computer system; and

WHEREAS, In accordance with General Municipal Law §104, the County of Rockland is authorized to purchase the Checkpoint Software and Appliance Upgrade through New York State Office of General Services ("NYS OGS") Contract No. PT61429; and

WHEREAS, Cipher Techs, Inc., One Exchange Plaza, 55 Broadway, 11th Floor, New York, New York 10006 is a Value Added Reseller under the NYS OGS Contract No. PT61429 and has offered the County lower than the state contract price at volume discounted pricing for the entire State of New York; and

WHEREAS, All purchases shall be made by formal purchase order, subject to the approval of the Director of Purchasing; and

WHEREAS, Sufficient funding for these purchases exists in the 2014 Adopted Capital Budget, Capital Project No. 1443 (\$167,140.70) and the 2014 Budget of the Department of Public Transportation, DOT-5630-E3290 (\$7,907.80); and

WHEREAS, Local Law No. 18 of 1996 provides for the Legislature to "approve the execution of all contracts in excess of \$100,000 entered into by the County"; and

WHEREAS, The Planning & Public Works and Budget and Finance Committees of the Legislature have met, considered and unanimously approved this resolution, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Legislature of Rockland County approves purchases in excess of \$100,000 from Cipher Techs, Inc., One Exchange Plaza, 55 Broadway, 11th Floor, New York, New York 10006 for the purchase of Checkpoint Software and Appliance Upgrade in accordance with NYS OGS Contract No. PT61429 in an amount not to exceed \$175,048.50 by formal purchase order, subject to the approval of the Director of Purchasing; and be it further

RESOLVED, That sufficient funding for these purchases exists in the 2014 Adopted Capital Budget, Capital Project No. 1443 (\$167,140.70) and the 2014 Budget of the Department of Public Transportation, A DOT 5630 E3290 (\$7,907.80).

Introduced by:

Referral No. 7300

- Hon. Ilan S. Schoenberger, Sponsor
- Hon. Alden H. Wolfe, Sponsor
- Hon. Michael M. Grant, Sponsor
- Hon. Douglas J. Jobson, Sponsor
- Hon. Aney Paul, Sponsor
- Hon. Harriet D. Cornell, Sponsor
- Hon. Jay Hood, Jr., Sponsor
- Hon. Philip Soskin, Sponsor

**RESOLUTION NO. 505 OF 2014
 APPROVING ADDITIONAL PURCHASES IN EXCESS OF \$100,000
 WITH ALARM SPECIALISTS, INC. FOR ALARM SYSTEM INSPECTION, TESTING,
 MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR SERVICES IN THE ADDITIONAL AMOUNT OF \$125,000 AND
 TO EXTEND THE TERM FROM AUGUST 1, 2014 THROUGH JULY 31, 2015
 FOR A TOTAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$219,159.67
 FOR THE PERIOD FROM AUGUST 1, 2013 THROUGH JULY 31, 2015
 WITH ALL PURCHASES TO BE MADE BY FORMAL PURCHASE ORDER
 UNDER RFB-RC-2013-071
 [DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES - DIVISION OF PURCHASING]
 (\$219,159.67)**

Mr. Grant offered the following resolution, which was seconded by Mr. Meyers and unanimously adopted:

WHEREAS, The Director of Purchasing requested bids for alarm system inspection, testing, maintenance and repair for the period of one (1) year from the date of the award with the option to renew for one (1) additional one (1) year terms under RFB-RC-2013-071 (the "RFB"); and

WHEREAS, One hundred thirty-eight (138) vendors were notified of the RFB and six (6) vendors submitted a bid; and

WHEREAS, The Director of Purchasing determined that Alarm Specialists, Inc., 333 Old Tarrytown Road, White Plains, New York 10601 was the lowest responsible bidder that met all of the requirements of the RFB; and

WHEREAS, The Director of Purchasing awarded a contract to Alarm Specialists, Inc., 333 Old Tarrytown Road, White Plains, New York 10601 under the Bid in an amount not to exceed \$100,000 for the period from August 1, 2013 through July 31, 2014; and

WHEREAS, The County expended approximately \$94,159.67 during the first year of the RFB and the Department of General Services-Facilities Management anticipates that approximately \$125,000 will be necessary for the first year option term from August 1, 2014 through July 31, 2015; and

WHEREAS, The Director of Purchasing recommends that the County Executive and the Legislature of Rockland County approve additional purchases in excess of \$100,000 with Alarm Specialists, Inc. of alarm system inspection, testing, maintenance and repair under the RFB in the additional amount of \$125,000 and to exercise the first option term from August 1, 2014 through July 31, 2015 for a total amount not to exceed \$219,159.67 during the period from August 1, 2013 through July 31, 2015; and

WHEREAS, All purchases will be initiated by formal purchase order, subject to the approval of the Director of Purchasing; and

WHEREAS, Sufficient funding for these purchases is provided for in the 2014 Budget of the Department of General Services and is contingent upon 2015 budget appropriations; and

WHEREAS, Local Law No. 18 of 1996 provides for the Legislature to approve the "execution of all contracts in excess of \$100,000 entered into by the County"; and

WHEREAS, The Planning & Public Works and Budget and Finance Committees of the Legislature have met, considered and unanimously approved this resolution, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Legislature of Rockland County hereby approves additional purchases in excess of \$100,000 with Alarm Specialists, Inc., 333 Old Tarrytown Road, White Plains, New York 10601 for alarm system inspection, testing, maintenance and repair under RFB-RC-2013-071 in the additional amount of \$125,000 and to exercise the first option term from August 1, 2014 through July 31, 2015 for a total amount not to exceed \$219,159.67 for the period from August 1, 2013 through July 31, 2015; be it further

RESOLVED, That sufficient funding for these purchases is provided for in the 2014 Budget of the Department of General Services and is contingent upon 2015 budget appropriations.

Introduced by:

Referral No. 9361

- Hon. Jay Hood, Jr., Sponsor
- Hon. Christopher J. Carey, Sponsor
- Hon. Douglas J. Jobson, Sponsor
- Hon Barry Kantrowitz, Sponsor
- Hon. Philip Soskin, Sponsor
- Hon. Michael M. Grant, Sponsor
- Hon. Alden H. Wolfe, Sponsor
- Hon. Ilan S. Schoenberger, Sponsor
- Hon. Harriet D. Cornell, Sponsor

**RESOLUTION NO. 506 OF 2014
 ACCEPTANCE OF GRANT FUNDS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$11,950 (NCTD)
 FROM THE NEW YORK STATE DIVISION OF
 HOMELAND SECURITY AND EMERGENCY SERVICES
 (GRANT #WM14839849)
 FOR THE SHERIFF'S CANINE EXPLOSIVE DETECTION UNIT
 FOR THE PERIOD OCTOBER 2, 2014 THROUGH AUGUST 31, 2016
 AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF ALL NECESSARY
 GRANT DOCUMENTS BY THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE
 [OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF]
 (\$11,950)**

Mr. Grant offered the following resolution, which was seconded by Mrs. Cornell and unanimously adopted:

WHEREAS, The Office of the Sheriff has informed the County Executive and the Legislature of Rockland County that they have been awarded a grant (#WM14839849) in the amount of \$11,950 from the New York State Division of Homeland Security and Emergency Services for the period October 2, 2014 through August 31, 2016; and

WHEREAS, These grant funds will be used to purchase items and services for the Sheriff's Canine Explosive Detection Unit; and

WHEREAS, This grant is federally funded but administered by New York State; and

WHEREAS, No County tax dollars (NCTD) are required to accept said grant; and

WHEREAS, It is necessary to appropriate these funds to the proper accounts; and

WHEREAS, The Public Safety and Budget and Finance Committees of the Legislature have met, considered and unanimously approved this resolution, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Legislature of Rockland County hereby approves the acceptance of a grant (#WM14839849) in the amount of \$11,950 from the New York State Division of Homeland Security and Emergency Services for the period October 2, 2014 through August 31, 2016, and authorizes execution of all necessary grant documents by the County Executive, subject to the approval of the County Attorney; and be it further

RESOLVED, That these grant funds will be used to purchase items and services for the Sheriff's Canine Explosive Detection Unit; and be it further

RESOLVED, That this grant is federally funded but administered by New York State; and be it further

RESOLVED, That no County tax dollars (NCTD) are required to accept said grant; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Commissioner of Finance is hereby authorized to increase the following accounts in the amounts indicated:

GENERAL FUND - 2014

<u>Increase Approp. Acct. (Credit):</u>		
A -SHF -3107 -GS49	-E4090 Fees for Services, Non-Employee	9,550
	-E5060 Program Costs	2,400
<u>Increase Est. Rev. Acct. (Debit):</u>		
A -SHF -3107 -GS49	-R4380 Public Safety Grant(s)	11,950

Introduced by:

Referral No. 8894

- Hon. Philip Soskin, Sponsor
- Hon. Aney Paul, Sponsor
- Hon Barry Kantrowitz, Sponsor
- Hon. Douglas J. Jobson, Sponsor
- Hon. Patrick J. Moroney, Sponsor
- Hon. Michael M. Grant, Sponsor
- Hon. Alden H. Wolfe, Sponsor
- Hon. Ilan S. Schoenberger, Sponsor
- Hon. Harriet D. Cornell, Sponsor
- Hon. Jay Hood, Jr., Sponsor

**RESOLUTION NO. 507 OF 2014
 APPROVING THE ACCEPTANCE OF A CONTINUATION GRANT
 FROM THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
 FOR THE EARLY INTERVENTION (EI) ADMINISTRATION PROGRAM
 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$131,433 [NCTD]
 FOR THE PERIOD FROM OCTOBER 1, 2014 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30, 2015
 AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE
 ALL NECESSARY DOCUMENTS INCLUDING THE AGREEMENT
 WITH THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
 (DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH)
 (\$131,433)**

Mr. Grant offered the following resolution, which was seconded by Mrs. Paul and unanimously adopted:

WHEREAS, The Commissioner of Health has advised the County Executive and the Legislature of Rockland County that the New York State Department of Health has awarded the Rockland County Department of Health a continuation grant in the amount of \$131,433 to continue the Early Intervention (EI) Administration Program for the period from October 1, 2014 through September 30, 2015; and

WHEREAS, The grant covers salaries and fringe benefits for employees who will administer the mandated EI Administration Program, which were already appropriated in the 2014 Adopted Budget and are already budgeted in the 2015 Proposed Budget, and therefore no funding clause is required; and

WHEREAS, The grant is federally funded but administered by New York State; and

WHEREAS, No County tax dollars [NCTD] are required to accept the grant funds; and

WHEREAS, Local Law No. 18 of 1996 provides for the Legislature to approve the "execution of all contracts in excess of \$100,000 entered into by the County"; and

WHEREAS, The Multi-Services and Budget and Finance Committees of this Legislature have met, considered and unanimously approved this resolution, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Legislature of Rockland County hereby approves the acceptance of the continuation grant from the New York State Department of Health for the Early Intervention (EI) Administration Program in the amount of \$131,433 for the period from October 1, 2014 through September 30, 2015, and hereby authorizes the County Executive to execute all necessary documents including the agreement with the New York State Department of Health, subject to the approval of the County Attorney; and be it further

RESOLVED, That no County tax dollars [NCTD] are required to accept the grant funds; and be it further

RESOLVED, That should outside funding be reduced and/or eliminated, any position(s) previously created under this grant shall automatically terminate without further action of the Legislature.



Introduced by:

Referral No. 2973

Hon. Philip Soskin, Sponsor
 Hon. Aney Paul, Sponsor
 Hon Barry Kantrowitz, Sponsor
 Hon. Douglas J. Jobson, Sponsor
 Hon. Patrick J. Moroney, Sponsor
 Hon. Michael M. Grant, Sponsor
 Hon. Alden H. Wolfe, Sponsor
 Hon. Ilan S. Schoenberger, Sponsor
 Hon. Harriet D. Cornell, Sponsor
 Hon. Jay Hood, Jr., Sponsor

**RESOLUTION NO. 508 OF 2014
 APPROVING THE EXECUTION OF AN INTERMUNICIPAL AGREEMENT
 BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF ROCKLAND, ON BEHALF OF ITS DEPARTMENT
 OF MENTAL HEALTH, AND THE VILLAGE OF HAVERSTRAW
 TO PROVIDE BEHAVIORAL HEALTH SERVICES
 TO INDIVIDUALS IN THE VILLAGE OF HAVERSTRAW
 FOR A PERIOD OF ONE (1) YEAR FROM THE DATE OF EXECUTION
 WITH AUTOMATIC ONE (1) YEAR RENEWALS
 UNLESS TERMINATED BY EITHER PARTY
 AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE
 TO EXECUTE THE INTERMUNICIPAL AGREEMENT
 [DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH]**

Mr. Grant offered the following resolution, which was seconded by Mr. Hood, Jr. and unanimously adopted:

WHEREAS, The Acting Commissioner of Mental Health requests that the County Executive and the Legislature of Rockland County approve the intermunicipal agreement between the County of Rockland, on behalf of its Department of Mental Health (DMH), and the Village of Haverstraw to work collaboratively to provide behavioral health services to individuals in the Village of Haverstraw for a period of one (1) year from the date of execution with automatic one (1) year renewals unless terminated by either party; and

WHEREAS, Pursuant to the agreement, DMH will provide staffing for outpatient behavioral health treatment, the Village will provide office space at no charge to the County and the individuals themselves will be responsible for all outpatient charges; and

WHEREAS, Both the County and the Village are "municipal corporation[s]" as defined in New York General Municipal Law section 119-n(a); and

WHEREAS, General Municipal Law section 119-o(1) provides, in relevant part, that "municipal corporations . . . have [the] power to enter into . . . agreements for the performance among themselves or one for the other of their respective functions, powers and duties on a . . . contract basis," provided that such agreements are "approved by each participating municipal corporation . . . by a majority vote of the voting strength of its governing body"; and

WHEREAS, The residents of the County and the Village will benefit from the intermunicipal agreement; and

WHEREAS, On August 11, 2014, the Village of Haverstraw approved the intermunicipal agreement with the County; and

WHEREAS, The Multi-Services and Budget and Finance Committees of this Legislature have met, considered and unanimously approved this resolution, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Legislature of Rockland County hereby approves, pursuant to New York General Municipal Law sections 119-n(a) and 119-o(1), the intermunicipal agreement between the County of Rockland, on behalf of its Department of Mental Health (DMH), and the Village of Haverstraw, to work collaboratively to provide behavioral health services to individuals in the Village for a period of one (1) year from the date of execution with automatic one (1) year renewals unless terminated by either party, which agreement was approved by the Village of Haverstraw on August 11, 2014, and hereby authorizes the County Executive to execute the intermunicipal agreement on behalf of the County, subject to the approval of the County Attorney.

Introduced by:

Referral No. 7498/9372

Hon. Philip Soskin, Sponsor
 Hon. Aney Paul, Sponsor
 Hon Barry Kantrowitz, Sponsor
 Hon. Douglas J. Jobson, Sponsor
 Hon. Patrick J. Moroney, Sponsor
 Hon. Michael M. Grant, Sponsor
 Hon. Alden H. Wolfe, Sponsor
 Hon. Ilan S. Schoenberger, Sponsor
 Hon. Harriet D. Cornell, Sponsor
 Hon. Jay Hood, Jr., Sponsor

**RESOLUTION NO. 509 OF 2014
 APPROVING THE FURTHER EXTENSION OF AND AMENDMENT TO
 THE AGREEMENT IN EXCESS OF \$100,000 WITH BIO-REFERENCE LABORATORIES INC.
 FOR THE PURCHASE OF REFERENCE LABORATORY TESTING SERVICES FOR THE
 DEPARTMENTS OF HEALTH, HOSPITALS AND MENTAL HEALTH
 UNDER RFB-RC-2010-082 EXERCISING THE THIRD AND FINAL YEAR OPTION TERM OF
 THE AGREEMENT FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2014 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2015
 AND INCREASING THE AMOUNT OF THE AGREEMENT BY \$50,000
 FOR THE PERIOD FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014
 AND BY \$445,000 FOR THE PERIOD FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2014
 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2015 FOR A TOTAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$1,071,000
 FOR THE FULL PERIOD FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2010 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2015
 AND AUTHORIZING ITS EXECUTION BY THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE
 [DEPARTMENT OF HOSPITALS]
 (\$1,071,000)**

Mr. Grant offered the following resolution, which was seconded by Mr. Soskin and unanimously adopted:

WHEREAS, By Resolution No. 615 of 2010, the Legislature of Rockland County approved the agreement in excess of \$100,000 with Bio-Reference Laboratories Inc. ("Bio-Reference"), 481 Edward H. Ross Drive, Elmwood Park, New Jersey 07407, for reference laboratory testing services under RFB-RC-2010-082 (the "RFB") for the Departments of Health, Hospitals and Mental Health in the amount of \$240,000 for the period from November 1, 2010 through October 31, 2012, with the option to renew for one (1) additional one (1) year option term; and

WHEREAS, By Resolution No. 356 of 2012, the Legislature approved the amendment to the agreement with Bio-Reference in the additional amount of \$25,000 and in a total amount not to exceed \$265,000 for the period from November 1, 2010 through October 31, 2012; and

WHEREAS, By Resolution No. 451 of 2012, the Legislature approved the exercise of the first year option term of and the amendment to the agreement with Bio-Reference, extending the term of the agreement from November 1, 2012 through October 31, 2013, and increasing the amount of the agreement by \$135,000 for the period from November 1, 2012 through October 31, 2013, for a total amount not to exceed \$400,000 for the full period from November 1, 2010 through October 31, 2013; and

WHEREAS, By Resolution No. 356 of 2013, the Legislature approved the amendment to the agreement with Bio-Reference, increasing the amount of the agreement by \$20,500 for the period from November 1, 2012 through October 31, 2013, for a total amount not to exceed \$420,500 for the full period from November 1, 2010 through October 31, 2013; and

WHEREAS, By Resolution No. 580 of 2013, the Legislature approved the exercise of the second year option term of and the amendment to the agreement with Bio-Reference, extending the term of the agreement from November 1, 2013 through October 31, 2014, and increasing the amount of the agreement by \$155,500 for the period from November 1, 2013 through October 31, 2014, for a total amount not to exceed \$576,000 for the full period from November 1, 2010 through October 31, 2014; and

WHEREAS, By this resolution, the Director of Purchasing recommends to the County Executive and the Legislature that the County further extend and amend the agreement with Bio-Reference, exercising the third and final year option term from November 1, 2014 through October 31, 2015, and increasing the amount of the agreement by \$50,000 for the period from November 1, 2013 through October 31, 2014 and by \$445,000 for the period from November 1, 2014 through October 31, 2015, for a total amount not to exceed \$1,071,000 for the full period from November 1, 2010 through October 31, 2015; and

WHEREAS, Additional funds are being requested for the current and final year terms due to the inability of the Department of Hospitals Laboratory to provide in-house lab work beginning in August 2014; and

WHEREAS, Total expenditures for this contract can be greater or less than the estimated expenditures depending upon the hospital census and the number and types of tests prescribed for the patients, residents and clients of the County; and

WHEREAS, Bio-Reference has agreed to renew the contract for the third and final year option term at current contract prices even though the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U) increased by 1.7% for the previous twelve (12) months, resulting in an estimated annual savings to the County in the amount of \$7,565; and

WHEREAS, All purchases will be initiated by formal purchase order in accordance with the approved budgets of the Departments of Health, Hospitals and Mental Health; and

WHEREAS, Local Law No. 18 of 1996 provides for the Legislature to approve the "execution of all contracts in excess of \$100,000 entered into by the County"; and

WHEREAS, Sufficient funding for this extension/amendment to the agreement exists in the 2014 Budgets of the Departments of Health, Hospitals and Mental Health and is contingent upon 2015 budget appropriations; and

WHEREAS, The Multi-Services and Budget and Finance Committees of this Legislature have met, considered and unanimously approved this resolution, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Legislature of Rockland County hereby approves the extension of and amendment to the agreement in excess of \$100,000 with Bio-Reference Laboratories Inc., 481 Edward H. Ross Drive, Elmwood Park, New Jersey 07407, for reference laboratory testing services under RFB-RC-2010-082 for the Departments of Health, Hospitals and Mental Health, exercising the third and final year option term from November 1, 2014 through October 31, 2015, and increasing the amount of the agreement by \$50,000 for the period from November 1, 2013 through October 31, 2014 and by \$445,000 for the period from November 1, 2014 through October 31, 2015, for a total amount not to exceed \$1,071,000 for the full period from November 1, 2010 through October 31, 2015, with all purchases to be made by formal purchase order, subject to the approval of the Director of Purchasing, and hereby authorizes the County Executive to execute the amendment to the agreement, subject to the approval of the County Attorney; and be it further

RESOLVED, That sufficient funding for this extension/amendment to the agreement exists in the 2014 Budgets of the Departments of Health, Hospitals and Mental Health and is contingent upon 2015 budget appropriations.

Special Order of the Day:

PUBLIC NOTICE

NOTICE is hereby given that a public hearing will be held by the Legislature of Rockland County at its Legislative Chambers, 11 New Hempstead Road, New City, Rockland County, New York, on the **4th day of December, 2014, at 7:15 P.M.**, prevailing time, to consider adoption of a local law amending local law 10 of 2012, "A local law creating a Rockland County deficit reduction act (RCDRA)."

Dated: New City, New York
November 21, 2014

LAURENCE O. TOOLE
Clerk to the Legislature
Allison-Parris County Office Building
11 New Hempstead Road
New City, New York 10956

The Chairman opened the public hearing at 7:15 p.m. and there were no speakers.

Affidavits of publication and a complete transcript of the public hearing are on file in the Office of the Clerk to the Legislature.

**RESOLUTION NO. 510 OF 2014
CLOSE PUBLIC HEARING**

Mr. Jobson offered the following resolution, which was seconded by Mr. Earl and unanimously adopted:

RESOLVED, that the public hearing be and it is hereby closed (7:16 p.m.).

**LOCAL LAW NO. 6 OF 2014
COUNTY OF ROCKLAND
STATE OF NEW YORK**

(Introduced by: Hon. Alden H. Wolfe, Hon. Michael M. Grant, Hon. Ilan S. Schoenberger)

Mr. Grant offered the following Local Law, which was seconded by Mr. Schoenberger and adopted:

A local law amending local law no. 10 of 2012, which created a Rockland County Deficit Reduction Act (RCDRA).

Be it enacted by the legislature of the county of Rockland as follows:

Section 1. Legislative Intent

In 2012, the Legislature of Rockland County requested that the New York State Legislature approve a deficit reduction bond, which would have allowed the County of Rockland to bond an amount equivalent to the 2010 audited deficit and pay the bond over a period of ten years. The deficit reduction bond was approved by the New York State Assembly but not approved by the New York State Senate. At that time, with no expectation for home rule relief from the State Legislature and recognizing the need to take decisive action, the Rockland County Legislature enacted Local Law No. 10 of 2012, the Rockland County Deficit Reduction Act. The Act directed that the County allocate a sum of no less than \$10 million on an annual recurring basis to reduce and eliminate the deficit in approximately ten years.

In 2013, the Rockland County Legislature renewed its efforts to secure approval for a deficit reduction bond from the New York State Legislature. In November 2013, the Governor of the State of New York signed the Rockland County Deficit Financing Act, which authorized the County of Rockland to issue bonds for the sole purpose of allowing the County to bond its accumulated deficit in the amount of \$96 million. In 2014 the County issued and sold the deficit reduction bonds. The annual principle and interest on the deficit reduction bonds is approximately \$13 million. However, as the actual accumulated deficit exceeds the authorized bond amount, due in part to the delay between the request for home rule relief, the passage and signing of the bill, and the actual sale of the bonds, the County of Rockland is left with a remaining deficit of approximately \$40 million. The Rockland County Legislature wishes to address the remaining deficit by paying it down at the same rate it had originally contemplated, that is, 10% per year. Therefore, it is now prudent, reasonable and in the best interest of the taxpayers of Rockland County that the Legislature amend the Rockland County Deficit Reduction Act by amending the annual recurring allocation from \$10 million to at least \$4 million. In this way, both the deficit reduction bond and the remaining accumulated deficit will be paid off and eliminated concurrently.

Section 2. The following sections are hereby amended as follows:

§ 70-18. Fund for Deficit Reduction.

The County of Rockland, in adopting its annual budget, commencing with the budget for calendar year 2015, shall allocate a sum of no less than ~~[40]~~ **4** million dollars on a reoccurring annual basis for the reduction of the deficit of Rockland County fund, which sum shall be held in the account designated "for deficit reduction", which account is a deficit reserve fund and cannot and will not be used for any purpose other than to eliminate the deficit in Rockland County. Any ~~[withdrawal]~~ **transfer or allocation** of funds **for a purpose other than deficit reduction** shall require a resolution which must be approved by two-thirds a majority of the Legislature.

§ 70-19. Deposit of Funds.

Such annual budgetary allocation of not less than ~~[40]~~ **4** million dollars in the fund "for deficit reduction" shall be cumulatively held and deposited in a depository approved by the Rockland County investment policy.

Section 3. Annual Budget Allocation.

Section 70-20 is hereby added:

§70-20. Annual Budget Allocation by County Executive

Unless and until this local law is amended or repealed, the County Executive is mandated to include deficit reduction in the proposed annual budget in strict compliance with this local law.

Section 4. Effective date.

This local law shall become effective upon filing with the New York State secretary of state.

Note: Deleted material is ~~stricken~~ and in [brackets].
New material is underlined and **bold**.

The vote resulted as follows:

Ayes: 15	(Legislators Cornell, Earl, Grant, Jobson, Kantrowitz, Low-Hogan, Moroney, Murphy, Paul, Schoenberger, Soskin, Sparaco, Wieder, Hood, Jr., Wolfe)
Nays: 02	(Legislators Carey, Meyers)

Debate:**Mr. Meyers**

When this Local Law was first passed there was one Legislator that was opposed to it and that was me. The reason I was opposed to the Local Law was because I felt at that time it was outrageous for us to say that we were going to reduce the deficit by \$10,000,000 per year when we had no way of knowing where that money would come from. It was just one of those empty jesters that governments do. For this government to come up with \$10,000,000 savings in the budget creates a tremendous amount of pain, which I think the people in this room can attest to. So I was opposed to creating that pain in the first place. Once that pain had been created and the rating agencies saw that we were going to save \$10,000,000 per year and put it towards deficit reduction, and the Comptroller saw it, now we created an atmosphere where we are pulling back from that, which I do support pulling back from that. But pulling back from that creates a negative impression fiscally of our government. It never should have happened to begin with. I know the reason it was done at that time was because we didn't know if there would be a deficit bond in place. Subsequently we did get a bond. Many of our taxpayers would like us to payoff the deficit as soon as possible and not resort to having to pay it off over ten years. Who knows what the future holds. So I am not opposed to reducing our reliance on that law I would just caution this body that it was a mistake to have passed it. I told you so is basically what I want to say. Thank you.

Chairman Wolfe

You say that very well, Mr. Meyers.

Mr. Schoenberger

I would like to set the record clear and straight as to why that Local Law was passed in the first place. At that time the deficit was approximately certified to be \$80,000,000. We had asked the State to allow us to bond that deficit as they had done for other municipalities throughout the State of New York, including North Rockland School District and other municipalities. That was an election year and the State refused to entertain that law in 2012. It passed the Assembly and it did not pass the Senate. A number of us had taken several trips up to Albany, met with the Assembly Finance Committee, Senate Finance Committee and the Governor's office and we lobbied and worked very hard to get the law passed, but it just wasn't to be. When that law wasn't passed in 2012 I proposed a Deficit Reduction Act, the one that Legislator Meyers referred to. I reasoned that if our deficit at that time was \$80,000,000, and if the State had passed that law allowing us to bond it, it would have cost us approximately \$10,000,000 per year over ten years to pay off the deficit. I proposed that we had to undertake some action on our own to eliminate the deficit so we passed this law in the Legislature to pay off the deficit at the rate of approximately \$10,000,000 per year over ten years, which included principal and interest. Had we had gotten the bond from the State in 2012 we would have paid approximately \$10,000,000 a year to pay off the deficit and we would have had to raise that money anyway.

In 2013 the Senate and the Assembly did pass the bill. The Governor did not sign it until we were already into the November discussions for our budget. That caused a great deal of confusion as to how the State Comptroller would or wouldn't act. Compliance with the bill could not be made, because it was supposed to be sent over by the County Executive within ten days of him submitting the budget, but by the time the Governor signed the bill it was past the ten days. There was a discussion at the end of 2013 about what we should do with this law. There was talk about reducing it to \$4,000,000 a year. The feeling was and the feeling today is that our deficit sits at about \$138,000,000, \$96,000,000 of which was bonded, and therefore is no longer part of the deficit - it is converted into a capital bond payment - and not a deficit. The Comptroller's office states that our deficit is \$41,000,000. The remaining deficit could be paid out in ten years and that would be approximately \$4,000,000 per year.

So that is why we have this law on tonight saying that the deficit should be paid off at the rate of at least \$4,000,000 a year. It is consistent with the previous resolution. It is consistent the deficit bond, which is being paid off for ten years.

I would like to say that I told you so. I would like to say that at some point or other we all knew we had to address the deficit and the most rational way of doing it is to work out a plan of some sort, which the rating agencies liked and approved. Tonight we are going to be voting on accepting the Report of the Budget and Finance Committee's budget. That budget provides that the deficit is going to be paid off in ten years and at least \$4,000,000. It provides that the first years payment to be \$5,000,000, 25% higher than required by this law. Thank you.

Mr. Carey

With regard to Local Law No. 10, I do agree that there is room for lowering that number from \$10,000,000. I will show later in a compromised budget that I will be proposing I am not comfortable going below \$7,000,000. I will not be supporting this tonight.

Mr. Schoenberger

I just want to make sure that my friend Legislator Carey knows that the law says to pay at least \$4,000,000 and that could be \$5,000,000, \$6,000,000 or \$7,000,000. If you don't lower it at all it stays at \$10,000,000. If you are proposing going to \$7,000,000 then you are going to have to change this law otherwise it stays at \$10,000,000.

Chairman Wolfe

There has been a lot of talk in the days leading up to this vote. It is no secret that we have a proposal to amend this law to create a requirement of a minimum of \$4,000,000. As Mr. Schoenberger said, it doesn't stop anyone from allocating \$5,000,000 \$10,000,000 or \$20,000,000 for that matter, but there are some terms that have been tossed around over the last couple of days that I think are dangerous and need to be addressed. Statements have been made, pretty much a full court press by our County Executive. There was a radio ad this morning on WRRCR, which was paid for by the Rockland County Republican Committee featuring Ed Day and it had the same recycled sound bites about kicking the can down the road, out of control Legislators - things that he thinks people like to hear. In the ad he called the proposal of \$5,000,000 irresponsible and he said that it would place the County in financial jeopardy. The counter proposal that you expect to hear about tonight would change it to \$7,000,000 and what I question is how did \$7,000,000 suddenly become a magic number. The County Executive said about the \$5,000,000, "compromising our fragile financial condition". Again, "Committing dollars to deficit reduction compromises our fragile financial condition and \$7,000,000 is thoughtful."

What would be irresponsible would be for a rating agency to make any decision about a rating based upon what is essentially a proposed budget. The County Executive's budget is a proposed budget until it is acted on or not acted upon by this body. The County Executive's budget is always subject to amendment by this body.

What would be irresponsible is for this body to make policy decisions based upon what someone thinks a rating agency might do. That is irresponsible.

There is only one proposal up for a vote right now. In order for to a law to be considered for amendment it needs to be laid on the desk in advance of a public hearing. We hear a lot about a \$7,000,000 alternative, however, there is no draft Local Law sitting up here on our desks. Even if we were inclined to consider a different version of the law we can't even vote on it tonight.

Our County Executive was on WRRCR on November 7, 2014, Friday morning doing his regular segment, and during the segment he acknowledged that he did have a conversation with Mr. Grant about the Deficit Reduction Act in a meeting earlier this year. He said that Michael asked him what his thoughts were and he told Michael, "You can do what you want with it. It is a Legislative law. There are four options, pick one, and we will abide by it." Well, I am here to say that I certainly hope that the County Executive will abide by the decision that we reached tonight.

I happen to think that the rating agencies, the New York State Comptroller and anyone in the world that cares about our County financing would be thrilled and delighted that we are committed to carrying out the overall deficit reduction plan that started in these very chambers several years ago. I am prepared to support this.

Mr. Meyers

Just two comments regarding the statement that you made just occurred to me. One is, you said that it would be irresponsible to base anything on what we think the rating agencies might do, but I have been hearing for two years the reasons for passing this or that is because of what the rating agencies might do. In fact, the \$10,000,000 Reduction Deficit Act that was passed proposed by Mr. Schoenberger, one of the major reasons given for it was because the Legislature, not I, believed that it would instill confidence in the rating agencies. So we, as a body, have been constantly doing things based on speculation as to what rating agencies might or might not do. The second thing is, I believe you said that we cannot' legally vote on anything other than what has come out of the Budget and Finance Committee, but amendments can be proposed. I hope you are not suggesting that amendments will be ruled out of order. For each of the seven years I have been here amendments were never ruled out of order and amendments were always allowed to be made. So if you are saying now, for the first time ever, nobody can propose an amendment to the budget resolution that came out of the Budget and Finance Committee that would be fairly outrageous. However, it is consistent with having cancelled the public hearing this evening.

Chairman Wolfe

Mr. Meyers, once again you have misunderstood my point. Right now we are debating the proposed Local Law. It has been moved and seconded. In order to offer an amendment to the proposed Local Law that we are considering at this moment, if there was a substantive amendment to it or substantive change that wasn't reflected in a version that was similarly laid on the desk then we would not be able to vote on it. Of course we will entertain amendments. We have always had that. We expect them tonight and we will consider them in due course. What I was referring to was the Local Law that we are considering at this moment.

The Chairman opened the public participation portion of the meeting at 7:32 p.m. and the following persons spoke:

- ❖ Howard Phillips, Supervisor, Town of Haverstraw, in favor of budget amendments.
- ❖ Alex Gromack, Supervisor, Town of Clarkstown, in favor of budget amendments.
- ❖ Stephanie Hausner, Councilwoman, Town of Clarkstown, in favor of budget amendments.
- ❖ Louis Falco, Sheriff, in favor of budget amendments.
- ❖ James Kralik, former Sheriff, in favor of budget amendments.
- ❖ Raymond Smith, budget concerns.
- ❖ Kristen Zebrowski Stavisky, in favor of budget amendments.
- ❖ Todd Farmer, RCSDA President, in favor of budget amendments.
- ❖ Paul Trader, Cornell Cooperative Ext., in favor of budget amendments.
- ❖ George Rumelt, budget concerns
- ❖ Gil Hyme, in favor of budget amendments.
- ❖ Tom Ninan, CSEA Union Unit 8350 President, in favor of budget amendments.
- ❖ Deman Moroney, In favor of funding not-for-profits

Public Participation ended at 7:56 p.m.,

Comments from the Chairperson

Honorable Alden H. Wolfe

Two branches of government often disagree, and of course that is to be expected, but when you try to vilify one side by using divisiveness and, even worse, dishonesty it is not very helpful. Real leadership is not blaming the other side. Real leadership is finding common ground, bringing everyone together. Real leadership is communicating effectively not governing from FaceBook. So much rides on our ability to work together. I remain hopeful that tonight will be the beginning of reconciliation. We can move forward together without misstatements and finger pointing. Now, we might not always agree, but we should be able to disagree with respect and that is how compromise is reached. With too many needy families, too many children going to bed hungry, too many elderly depending on one meal a day, too many families torn apart by domestic violence, for the sake of those Rocklander's, we must not waste one more second on the pettiness of division. In order for our County to function to the benefit of its constituents we must have a climate of cooperation and respect. Thank you.

RESOLUTION NO. 511 OF 2014 WAIVE THE RULES OF THE LEGISLATURE TO CONSIDER PROPOSED RESOLUTIONS AS AN IMMEDIATE NEED

Mr. Jobson offered the following resolution, which was seconded by Mr. Grant and unanimously adopted:

RESOLVED, that the Rules of the Legislature be waived to consider the following proposed Resolution No.'s 512, 513 and 514 of 2014, as an immediate need.

VETO OVERRIDE PASSED ON DECEMBER 15, 2014

Introduced by:

Referral No. 9500

- Hon. Michael M. Grant, Sponsor
- Hon. Alden H. Wolfe, Co-Sponsor
- Hon. Ilan S. Schoenberger, Co-Sponsor
- Hon. Harriet D. Cornell, Co-Sponsor
- Hon. Toney L. Earl, Co-Sponsor
- Hon. Jay Hood, Jr., Co-Sponsor
- Hon. Nancy Low-Hogan, Co-Sponsor
- Hon. Philip Soskin, Co-Sponsor
- Hon. Frank Sparaco, Co-Sponsor

**RESOLUTION NO. 512 OF 2014
ACCEPTING REPORT OF THE BUDGET & FINANCE COMMITTEE
AFTER ITS REVIEW OF THE ROCKLAND COUNTY
PROPOSED 2015 BUDGET**

Mr. Grant offered the following resolution, which was seconded by Mr. Hood, Jr. and adopted:

WHEREAS, the Budget and Finance Committee has reviewed the 2015 proposed budget, and recommends that said budget be amended in accordance with the enclosed schedules; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing has been held on the proposed budget; and

WHEREAS, The Budget and Finance Committee of the Legislature has met, considered and by a unanimous vote approved this resolution, however, it was approved within 120 hours of the full legislative meeting and was deemed an immediate need by its Chair, therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the Budget and Finance Committee's Report on the 2015 Rockland County proposed Budget, consisting of the schedules attached hereto be and the same are hereby adopted.

The vote resulted as follows:

- Ayes: 15 (Legislators Cornell, Earl, Grant, Jobson, Kantrowitz, Low-Hogan, Moroney, Murphy, Paul, Schoenberger, Soskin, Sparaco, Wieder, Hood, Jr., Wolfe)
- Nays: 02 (Legislators Carey, Meyers)

**REPORT OF THE BUDGET & FINANCE COMMITTEE
REGARDING THE
ROCKLAND COUNTY EXECUTIVE'S PROPOSED 2015 BUDGET**

*"The secret of change is to focus all of your energy, not fighting the old, but building on the new."
Socrates*

Preface

Much has changed in 2014. Much more will change in 2015.

The year began with new leadership in the Office of the County Executive and in the Chambers of the Legislature. There was a seemingly enhanced sense of the "possible" in both branches. Though still feeling the effects of a long recession, Rockland County government was poised to make changes for the better.

In March, Rockland County received a favorable interest rate for the General Obligation Bond Sale on its \$96 million deficit-financing bond. The bond would dramatically change the County's cash flow position and was an important step for our future financial stability.

In April, after many months of planning and coordinating, the County finalized the transfer of Rockland's Mental Health Crisis and Inpatient units to Nyack Hospital, saving millions of taxpayer dollars and

In mid-July, the County and the Rockland County Health Facilities Corporation (RCHFC) formalized an agreement to transfer ownership of Summit Park Hospital and Nursing Care Center to the Summit Park Acquisition Group.

By the end of July, Moody's had upgraded Rockland County's bond rating to Baa2 and the outlook to positive. The upgrade to Baa2 reflects significant improvement in the County's management and budgeting practices; strengthened liquidity following the issuance of deficit reduction bonds and strong oversight by the state.

In November, changes to the Rockland County Charter, developed with the bipartisan support of members of the County Legislature, and the input of the County Executive and his staff, were overwhelmingly approved by voters on Election Day. The local law was structured to improve the efficiency of the annual budget process, increase fiscal oversight and enhance the systems of checks and balances between branches of government.

In his inaugural address last January, County Executive Ed Day said "There is no magic wand – none of this will be fixed overnight – and what we embark upon today is a journey not a quick trip. But let us recognize that while we cannot ignore the realities that face us, we can certainly confront them and together, with courage and determination on our side, we will prevail over them." It's important that he recognize the Legislature's contributions to a stronger more vibrant county government.

The Report of the Budget & Finance Committee regarding the Rockland County Executive's Proposed Budget (CEPB) is structured to: (1) outline the review process and timeline; (2) provide a synopsis of key elements of the County Executive's proposal; (3) review the Comptroller's examination and recommendations; (4) explain the framework for our analysis; and (5) discuss the Committee's final recommendations.

Budget Process & Timeline

In accordance with the provisions of Article IV of the Rockland County Charter, the Legislature's Budget and Finance Committee is tasked with reviewing the County Executive's Proposed Budget (CEPB). The process requires the County Executive to submit a budget to the Legislature no later than October 23 of each year. The Legislature then has 45 days to analyze it in its entirety, question department managers, hold public hearings, propose and debate changes and vote on a final plan.

It is important to note that if the Legislature cannot agree on revisions to the CEPB, and an amended budget is voted down by the members, then the CEPB is enacted. If the Legislature rejects the CEPB or takes no action, then the Executive's proposal is enacted as well.

To be clear, a “No” vote on a budget amended by this Legislature is the functional equivalent of a “Yes” vote for the budget proposed by the County Executive.

The review process began early this year. The timeline included the following:

- On June 10, 2014 a Budget & Finance committee discussion of *Significant Budget Issues for 2015*.
- On September 30, 2014 a subsequent discussion was held in B&F on the *Implications of the Rockland County Deficit Reduction Act (RCDRA) - Local Law 10 of 2012 on the Proposed 2015 Budget*.
- County Executive Day unveiled the 2015 Proposed Budget of \$772,034,000 at a press conference at the New City Library on Thursday morning, October 16, 2014. The Budget & Finance Committee received a presentation that same evening.
- The printed budget documents were delivered to the Legislature late Friday, October 17.
- Budget and Finance convened a series of 13 budget planning and review sessions with department heads, administration staff and outside financial consultants. The budget review sessions at times, were very well attended by the public active, engaged and diverse audiences.
- The Office of the New York State Comptroller commenced their examination of the 2015 CEPB on Monday, October 20, 2014 and completed the field work on Friday, October 31st.
- The Comptroller's office subsequently delivered its Report of Examination to the County on Wednesday, November 12, 2014, including a single recommendation regarding revenues associated with the mortgage recording tax.
- The County Legislature held a public hearing on County Executive Day's budget proposal on Tuesday, November 19, 2013 and scheduled public hearings on amending Local Law 10 of 2012 and lifting the tax cap for December 4, 2014. The hearing on the tax cap was subsequently cancelled.
- The Budget and Finance Committee finalized its findings and recommendations on December 2, 2014. The report is summarized in the narrative below, memorialized in an accompanying resolutions and attached schedules.
- Adoption 2015 Budget is scheduled for consideration by full Legislature tonight, following this Report of the Budget & Finance Committee.

To assist in our review, the Legislature retained the services of O'Connor Davies, LLP to examine the County Executive's proposal for 2015. O'Connor Davies' certified public accountants have served as the County's independent auditors and the firm is very familiar with the County's operations and finances. The consultants presented a detailed analysis of the 2015 CEPB to the Committee on November 20, 2014. A copy of the one hundred and four page report was distributed to all legislators, the media and the offices of the County Executive and the New York State Comptroller. O'Connor Davies' report is available upon request.

Looking forward to next year, the CEPB must be submitted to the Legislature no later than October 1. This will give the Legislature and the public-at-large three more weeks to review and improve upon the Executive's plan. The overwhelming approval of the Charter revisions clearly indicates that the public understood the intent and the importance of this budgetary process reform.

County Executive's Proposed Budget

In his budget message, County Executive Day described the preparation of the 2015 Budget as “especially difficult given the current state of the economy, the County's deficit fund balance, funding government operations and paying the first installment on the deficit bond.” In remarks published in the Journal-News, he further explained that the budget's deep cuts had to be made in the 11th hour to satisfy the requirements of the RCDRA. These statements provide important context for the Executive's budget proposals.

The County Executive's 2015 Proposed Budget of \$772.0 million represents an increase of \$19.4 million more than the Legislature's 2014 adopted budget of \$754.6 million.

Under the 2015 CEPB, the property tax levy would increase by \$2.1 million, or 2 percent, for a total tax levy of \$108,078,380. In his message, the County Executive estimated that the property tax increase would cost the average Rockland homeowner an additional \$1.67 per month or \$20 in County property taxes next year. (County property taxes typically amount to less than 10% of the tax bill. The remainder goes to pay village, town and school district taxes.)

The CE budgeted \$185.0 million dollars in sales tax revenue for 2015, a significant increase of \$5.0 million more than was budgeted in 2014; a clear indicator of a steadily improving economy. Both the Comptroller and O'Connor Davies concurred with this proposed amount. Additionally, the CE avoided his predecessor's mistake by more conservatively estimating revenues from the so-called Residential Energy Sales Tax.

The CE's message notes that the Commissioner of Finance had negotiated the payment structure of the deficit bond so that for 2015, the County would only need to pay the interest portion (\$6.9 million) allowing the County to ease into a repayment plan without causing a sizeable property tax increase. The issuance of the deficit bond also generated nearly \$11 million in premium. Last Spring, the administration was adamant that this money be used towards further lowering the accumulated deficit which effectively would have made it unavailable for this purpose now. The CEPB benefitted from the Legislature's insistence that the premium from the sale of the deficit bond be reserved for debt service. This allowed the County Executive to meet the interest obligation while avoiding the need to levy an additional \$6.9 million in property taxes to pay the interest on the bond in 2015.

In contrast to the 2014 CEPB, which did not contain any major program cuts, layoffs or outsourcing of services, the 2015 CEPB called for dramatic reductions, some at the eleventh hour.

The 2015 CEPB included the following:

- Eliminating the Sheriff's Patrol Division, including 37 full-time positions
- Consolidation of the Office for the Aging and the Youth Bureau
- Transfer of 4 Positions from the Insurance Department to the Department of Personnel
- Outsource DGS Security - 12.5 positions eliminated
- Outsource Hospital Radiology - 3 positions eliminated
- Outsource Hospital Laundry - 11 positions eliminated
- DSS Managed Care Unit - 7 positions eliminated
- DGS MIS - 4 positions eliminated
- 100% elimination of funding for Not-For-Profit contract agencies - \$3.9 million

In order to comply with RCDRA, County Executive Day appropriated \$10.0 million in his proposal to pay down a portion of the County's remaining deficit (estimated at \$41.0 million), thus requiring the property tax increase of 2% and departmental cuts of nearly \$8 million. These cuts decimated the Sheriff's Dept. and eliminated all funding for the Not-for-Profit Contract Agencies.

It should be noted that in 2013 County Executive Vanderhoef made no budgetary provision at all to comply with the RCDRA. That decision created a very high level of uncertainty throughout the Committee's deliberations last year. County Executive Day's decision to not seek any compromise on the \$10.0 million, to fully fund it RCDRA in 2015 and make deep and painful cuts created an equally high level of anxiety this year. In both cases, the Legislature was left to grapple with the consequences.

Even though the sale of the County's Nursing Home & Hospital is pending, the Proposed Budget fully funds the facility's operations for all of 2015. The Proposed Budget increases the allocation to the Hospital Enterprise Fund by approximately \$3.0 million but does not include any revenue from the transaction as it relates to the sale.

Upon closer examination contradictions in the budget "message" begin to come into focus. The economy is improving, not difficult, as demonstrated by the (consensus) higher sales and energy tax forecasts. The County's outstanding accumulated deficit can be retired along with the deficit bond by having a clear, consistent and affordable plan. The opportunity to find meaningful solutions for funding government operations (think Sheriff and NGO's) was there for the asking. The Legislature even saved the administration from its ill-conceived plan to use the premium on something other than paying the first installment on the deficit bond.

Comptroller's Review & Recommendations

State legislation (Chapter 468 of the Law of 2013) authorized the County of Rockland to issue deficit bonds up to \$96 million for the accumulated deficit of the County's general fund as of December 31, 2012. As a condition, the legislation requires the County Executive to submit his proposed budget to the NYS State Comptroller. The State Comptroller must examine the proposed budget and make recommendations for any changes needed to bring the proposed budget into balance. Such recommendations are made after the examination into the estimated of revenues and expenditures of the County.

The County Legislature, must then review all recommendations made by the Comptroller and make adjustments to its proposed budget consistent with the recommendations contained in the report.

The Comptroller's Office completed an independent evaluation of the 2015 CEPB on November 12, 2014 and found the significant revenue and expenditure projections were reasonable. The Office noted that the budget included \$3.4 million from mortgage recording tax and that the law permitting it was due to expire on February 21, 2015 and that if the legislature did not pass an extension of the law, the County faced a \$2.9 shortfall. This prompted the Comptroller's single recommendation in the report: "The legislature must either, renew the mortgage recording tax, find another source of revenue or reduce appropriations to cover shortfalls."

The Legislature has included this item in the amended budget and has scheduled the requisite Public Hearing to extend the law.

The Comptroller's office will continue to review Rockland's budgets for the next ten years in compliance with the *Rockland County Deficit Financing Act*.

Framework for the Legislature's Budget Review

At the outset, Budget and Finance laid out a framework for approaching the 2015 budget by setting goals, reconciling projections and creating a strong plan for continued fiscal improvement in 2015. After three years of painful property tax increases, the Committee shared a vision of crafting a budget that met Rocklanders needs and didn't exceed the State's 2% tax cap.

As mentioned in the timeline earlier, in June the Budget & Finance committee discussed a variety of Significant Budget Issues for 2015 including: Current Local Share Revenues; NYS Property Cap Calculation; Sales Tax on Residential Energy; Election Cost Chargebacks; Debt Service on Deficit Bond; Local Law #10 of 2012 – Rockland County Deficit Reduction Act (RCDRA); the pending Sale of Summit Park Nursing Home/Hospital; and the Comptrollers 2014 Budget Review and Recommendations.

In September, at the request of Chairman Wolfe, Budget & Finance again discussed the pros and cons of the requirements of Local Law No. 10 in the current environment. As noted previously, the circumstances under which the law was adopted had changed dramatically. Despite multiple opportunities to work with the Legislature to craft a new plan that would be mutually agreeable, the County Executive remained silent on the issue.

Following the presentation of the CEPB, the Legislature began a systematic review of the documents. Subsequent hearings were focused on Revenues, Summit Park, Deficit Reduction, State Mandates, Tax Bill Transparency, Non-Profit Contract Agencies, Economic Development, Allocated Costs, Sheriff Dept Review, Personnel & Program Changes, NYS Comptroller's Report of Examination, O'Connor Davies Budget Analysis and Capital Projects. Public interest in the process was very high this year. The meetings were generally well attended and in several instances we had overflow crowds from the public and interested parties.

Based on the information from these hearings, legislators worked, individually and in groups, to develop ideas for improving the budget. Legislative Fiscal Analyst Nicole Doliner, Finance Dept. staff and the consultants from O'Connor Davies contributed immensely to process.

Over the last several budget cycles, this process has allowed the Legislature to reduce staffing to the lowest level in 30 years, authorize labor contracts that included salary freezes, deferred pay and increased contributions for health insurance; outsource Mental Health inpatient services, create the LDC to sell the Summit Park and raised much needed revenues by increasing the county property tax levy, creating a residential energy/sales tax and a hotel/motel tax. These deliberate measures (while not always popular) laid the foundation for rating agency upgrades, favorable treatment in the credit markets, steadily improving cash flows and tonight the opportunity for a 2015 budget, under the state's two percent tax cap, that preserves public safety and invests in the Not-for-Profit organizations that strengthen the fabric of our communities.

Budget and Finance Committee Recommendations

After careful analysis and consultation with department representatives, the public and the County's financial consultants the Budget & Finance Committee makes the following recommendations:

Amend Local Law #10 of 2012

In 2012, Rockland County requested that the State Legislature approve a deficit reduction bond, which would have allowed the County of Rockland to bond an amount equivalent to the 2010 audited deficit and pay the bond over a period of ten years. The deficit reduction bond was approved by the Assembly but not approved by the State Senate. At the time, with no expectation for home rule relief from the State Legislature and recognizing the need to take decisive action, the Rockland County Legislature enacted the Rockland County Deficit Reduction Act. The Act directed that the County allocate a sum of no less than \$10 million on an annual recurring basis to reduce and eliminate the deficit in approximately ten years.

In 2013, the Rockland County Legislature renewed its efforts to secure approval for a deficit reduction bond from the State Legislature. In November 2013, the Governor signed the Rockland County Deficit Financing Act, which authorized the County to issue bonds for the sole purpose of allowing the County to bond its accumulated deficit in the amount of \$96 million. In 2014 the County issued and sold the deficit reduction bonds. The annual principle and interest on the deficit reduction bonds is approximately \$13 million. However, as the actual accumulated deficit now exceeded the authorized bond amount, the County was left with a remaining deficit of approximately \$41 million. The Committee recommends that the remaining deficit be paid down at the same rate it had originally contemplated, that is, 10% per year. The Committee believes it is prudent, reasonable and in the best interest of the taxpayers of Rockland County that the Legislature amend RCDRA by amending the annual recurring allocation from \$10 million to at least \$4 million. In this way, both the deficit reduction bond and the remaining accumulated deficit will be paid off and eliminated concurrently.

Mr. Day allocated \$10.0 million for this purpose. The proposed budget amendment calls for appropriating \$5.0 million in 2015 and re-allocating the balance to aid in the restoration of vital services.

Restoration of the Sheriff Patrol Division – A compelling case was made for the Patrol Division. In the interest of public safety and the strong advocacy of the local policing community, the Committee recommends restoration of 36 positions in the department and the reinstatement of expenses related to the Mounted Unit. The Committee has abolished the vacant position of Patrol Sergeant, reduced Jail OT by \$380,000 and increased salary savings for the department to help offset the costs.

Restoration of Not-for-Profit Contract Agencies - County Executive Day completely eliminated funding for Not-for-Profit contract agencies in the 2015 CEPB. The elimination of funding for contract agencies will ultimately cost the county and its taxpayers more money than it would save. The agencies had experienced a 40% reduction in funding over the last seven years. The Committee discussed various alternatives levels of funding these agencies but ultimately opted for full restoration \$3.9 million this year.

It is important to note that nonprofits are held accountable for producing results. In order to receive county funding, contract agencies must submit detailed applications including proposed programs and services along with goals, objectives and timelines. Detailed annual reports are required to document accomplishments. Led by the Rockland Institute for Non-Profits the agencies are committed to a thorough cost-benefit analysis of the county's investment in a healthy nonprofit sector during 2015.

Eliminate Outsourcing of Laundry Services – Restoration of the Laundry Services will result in a savings in 2015 of \$140,095. Any savings associated with eliminating the positions was more than offset by separation and vendor costs. The Committee recognizes that the Laundry Services will cease upon the transfer of Summit Park to a new owner.

Eliminate Outsourcing of Security Services - The Committee recommends the rejection of The CEPB proposal to outsource Security Services. The 12.5 positions cut in the CEPB have been restored at a cost of \$9,665.

Reject New Positions and Raises - The Committee recommends the rejection of all new positions and raises at savings of \$284,792.

Abolish Vacant Positions - Nearly a million dollars in additional savings was achieved by abolishing ___ vacant positions. In the Hospital Enterprise Fund the savings are \$\$523,264 and in the General Fund \$400,283.

Adjust Real Property Taxes to Meet Tax Cap - From the beginning of the process the Committee had established a goal of not exceeding the tax cap. The proposed amendments have been balanced to achieve that goal. Under the state tax levy limitation law, municipalities can exceed the budget cap only when they pass a resolution to do so before adopting their budget. Failing to stay within the cap could mean that Rockland taxpayers could jeopardize rebate incentives from the state to offset tax increases. Since no public hearing is scheduled, exceeding the cap is a moot issue.

The Committee proposes a levy of \$107,978,376 or 1.9%. The property tax increase would cost the average Rockland homeowner less than \$20 more in County property taxes next year. The CEPB property tax levy increased by \$2.1 million, or 2 percent, for a total tax levy of \$108,078,380.

On Tuesday, December 2, O'Connor Davies after reviewing the proposed amendments, offered an opinion that the CEPB had exceeded the cap by virtue of miscalculation. Their contention was that one of the components, PILOTS, was understated by \$100,004. The Dept. of Finance disputes the finding. However, the Committee chose to take the most conservative option and incorporated the adjustment.

Miscellaneous – The Committee identified a number of areas to make reasonable adjustments including: utilities, contingency, General Services allocations and insurance. Details appear on the schedules.

The amendments were passed unanimously in Committee. The so-called compromise plan had been circulated among legislators. Those amendments were not moved or seconded in Committee.

The changes, additions, deletions, subtractions and modifications to the budget submitted by the County Executive to this Legislative body on October 17, 2014 are attached to the accompanying resolution.

Rockland's Financial Condition & Economic Environment

Rockland's financial condition, though still in the early stages of recovery, is improving.

A cap on Medicaid mandates has softened the blow for now. Pension costs are leveling off after years of double digit increases and health care premiums are growing at a slower pace.

Since the onset of the economic recession in 2007, local governments throughout New York and the country have faced serious challenges to their fiscal health. Rockland was no exception as the deficit grew to \$138 million. We failed to recognize the threat and responded too slowly, not acting quickly enough to right-size services. County government relied too heavily on economically sensitive revenue streams that were often over estimated as well. We need to do better. We need to work together.

The 2015 Budget, as amended, recognizes the causes of Rockland's current predicament, identifies solutions and positions county government to move forward with greater certainty and financial stability.

Acknowledgments

The Committee wishes to thank our legislative staff and in particular Nicole Doliner, who as our Legislative Fiscal Analyst has been instrumental in working with the Legislature as well as with the Finance Dept. staff and our outside consultants, whose collective efforts have resulted in the Report of the Budget and Finance Committee tonight. I wish to thank and acknowledge the members of the Budget and Finance Committee and all the Legislators who attended our meetings, helped us discuss and debate these items and helped us to arrive at the conclusion we have come to this evening.

I applaud the members of the County Legislature who have worked together in a non-partisan manner and showed their concern for the County as a whole and all its residents, not just individual districts. The Committee collaborated in a non-partisan manner so as to result in this Report of the Budget and Finance Committee that we are voting on tonight.

I really understate the case when I talk about Nicole's contribution. We couldn't get through this process without her. She is dedicated, she is diligent, she is persistent, she is thorough and she is generally right. So thank you Nicole.

Debate:

Mr. Wieder

Good evening ladies and gentleman.

Before I give my remarks on the proposed 2015 budget I would also like to thank Nicole Doliner who is our very own Legislative Fiscal Analyst for her tireless dedication and amazing job. The good people of Rockland County are surly appreciative.

I also would like to thank Stephen DeGroat who is the County's Commissioner of Finance and has worked very hard for the last few years in that capacity. I mostly appreciate his honesty and straight forwardness.

I would also like to commend my colleague Legislator Carey. He has been a very productive member of this esteemed body. His questions and comments are always thoughtful. He has participated in many of the committee hearings even on those that he is not a member. He was also very instrumental in starting the debate on the Charter change that has been overwhelmingly supported by the people of Rockland County and will certainly improve the entire budget process. But, I mostly commend him for trying very hard to take a budget that has been handed down by the County Executive, who is his friend and ally, and desperately trying to make lemonade out of a very sour lemon.

But, ladies and gentlemen I can't in good conscience support his proposal, for many reasons. Let me just point to one, but very important reason, and that is the charge backs to the towns. How can I who have been very vocal in criticizing State officials in Albany for passing down their obligations and mandates to local municipalities and not funding them, now turn around and do the same to our very own towns. I ask - Who will pay the charge back of \$1,800,000? Who? The very same taxpayers. I will not abdicate my responsibility to adopt a fiscal and sound budget by simply kicking the problem, literally, down the road to our local towns.

Now to the issue at hand, the 2015 Rockland County Budget. We currently have two proposals one by the County Executive and the other one currently being debated. Both budgets are below the 2% tax cap. However, despite the rhetoric, Facebook posts and social media innuendo there is a fundamental difference between the County Executives Budget and the one currently on the floor of the Legislature. The difference is very clear and real simple. Are we going to adopt the County Executive's budget, a budget that compromises public safety, a budget that disregards the tremendous work the non-for -profits do for the needy, elderly and animals, a budget that is sprinkled throughout with new patronage positions, a budget that brazenly and shamelessly increases salaries? Or are we going to adopt a budget that strengthens the safety of the people in Rockland County, a budget that cherishes the good work done by these non-for-profits agencies, who by the way also bring in millions of dollars to the County, a budget that eliminates each and every new patronage job, a budget that slashes the reckless increase of salaries?

Ladies and gentlemen, the choice is very simple. I for one, will support and vote for a budget that is balanced, prudent, fiscally sound and uncompromising in public safety and the general welfare of the good people in Rockland County. Mr. Chairman I will proudly vote yes on the current proposed 2015 budget.

And one more final point, I'll take my hat off to the Chairperson of the Budget and Finance Committee Legislator Michael Grant. He has been terrific. He should get a round of applause. He should get a standing ovation.

Thank you very much and may God bless the good people of Rockland County.

Mr. Kantrowitz

On Oct 16th I attended the County Executives announcement of his 2015 budget. It was at the New City Library and it's available on line. You can see in the video that I asked 2 questions at the end, about 38 minutes in, both related to the Sheriff's Department. I asked Mr. Day if the Anemone Report, which he was relying upon, said he should cut the Patrol Division and I then asked if there was any analysis as to the cost of those cuts on the towns and villages. His response, which is on tape, was that the Report said that he could cut the Patrol and there would be zero impact on the towns and Villages. We know today that neither of those statements was accurate. Mr. Day said that his patrol cuts would take only 2 cars off the road, that's it, backup cars not needed. We know that is not accurate. The cuts effectively decimate the Sheriff's Department due to the civil service rules. Just a week or so ago I asked Steve Powers if that was just a mistake and that maybe the County Executive didn't know what the real impact would be due to the seniority and civil service rules. Or did he know? Mr. Powers said, "he knew." So again the 2 patrol car scenario that he touted was inaccurate.

When the backlash against Mr. Day started, he back pedaled a little and on social media said that the cuts were mandated by an 11th hour surprise that the Deficit Reduction Act required a \$10,000,000 reduction. We know that also is not accurate, because he voted for the act in 2012 and we've been discussing changing the Act for months due to the fact that the deficit financing bonds were issued, and even the County Executive knew that the law could be changed, because he talked about changing the law at his budget announcement. So that claim of a last minute "surprise" was not accurate. At times The County Executive seems to be defending his cuts as good decisions, and at other times he was saying that it was his only option. Neither claim were accurate.

For 11 months I've listened to the self-congratulatory praise the County Executive gives himself, taking credit for the 2014 improvements in Rockland's fiscal status, the bond ratings etc., improvements which he had very little or perhaps absolutely nothing at all to do with. The fact is that the improvement in Rockland's fiscal stability and bond ratings were the result of the action this Legislature took over the last few years, long before I was here; action which included both cost cutting and tax increases to start the fiscal healing process. The 2014 operating budget was pretty close to spot on, except for the losses caused by the Hospital, which is still draining the county to the tune of almost \$2,000,000 per month. The 2014 budget was the result of excellent work, but guess who voted against it in 2013? Fact, then Legislator Ed Day.

The County Executive had 10 plus months to devise a strategy to address deficit reduction and cost cutting; he had 10 plus months to respond to the countless mentions at Legislative meetings of amending the Deficit Reduction Act to reduce the \$10,000,000 annual deficit reduction requirement, because that law was passed prior to the issuance of the \$96,000,000 in Deficit Reduction Bonds. Those Bonds themselves provide a mandatory schedule of paying off \$96,000,000 of debt over 10 years. The remaining deficit of about \$40,000,000 (much of it from the Hospital) should be reduced as well, but certainly not at the rate of \$10,000,000 per year, which was the amount required when the deficit was \$100,000,000 and there were no bonds issued. The remaining \$40,000,000 in deficit should be paid off over the same time as the Deficit Bonds so that the County is deficit free no later than 10 years from today.

I attended a meeting with several members of the Legislature, the County Executive and his financial staff and Mr. Day talked about the Sheriff's Patrol and said that it is not being cut and the non-profits were going to be reviewed and evaluated individually to determine the services provided. I thought to myself that would have required some serious attention and effort, but it was not done. Instead of taking the time to look at the valuable services provided by many of the non-profits to the neediest and most vulnerable members of our community, the County Executive just cut 100% of all funding. No determination was made as to how that would affect service to the community and the cost to the County to replace the necessary services previously provided by the non-profits.

The original proposed budget was all about politics. It was about attacking an independently elected Sheriff so that the County Executive can run his own hand-picked candidate against him next year. It was about cutting 100% of the non-profits. It was about shifting the burden of creating a responsible budget to the 17 legislators who only have a few weeks, not 10 months, to review thousands of line items and take the political brunt of making sensible decisions. All 17 Legislators are up for election next year and I am sure there will be a slate of County Executive's "hand-picked" candidates to run against them.

The Legislature, in just 6 weeks, has proposed a new budget with line-by-line changes. Under the leadership of Michael Grant, the Budget and Finance Committee met 3-4 times a week over the last six or seven weeks, often without the benefit of members of the County Executive's staff showing up to meetings to address questions. And yet, the Legislature has now proposed a better, more thought out and less costly budget than the County Executive proposed. Why? Because the Legislature as a whole is concerned more about people and good government than politics. Is this budget perfect? No, but it is way better for the future of our County than the one originally proposed. Would I have liked to see a closer analysis of each County department and each non-profit, of course, but we didn't have 10 months to conduct a review, that was what the County Executive was supposed to be doing over this past year.

A good budget is a reflection of what is important. Just as families must decide how best to spend their money, government must allocate its resources intelligently and purposefully to accomplish necessary goals. Paying down debt is certainly an extremely important and fiscally sound, but no family would pre-pay its mortgage with money needed to operate the family business and thereby lose the business income needed to survive. No business would pay down more debt than required if it meant that the business would be so short of cash it couldn't pay its employees who did the work to generate the business income.

Our County's non-profits serve the most needy and poorest members of our community in a cost effective and efficient manner. Hospice, VCS, the Center for Safety and Change, Legal Aid, Hi-Tor, Big Brothers/Big Sisters are just a few of the agencies in my District 5 alone. Government is not capable of providing the services that these non-profits do for anywhere close to the cost of financial support that our County government provides those agencies. For an investment of \$3,900,000 in non-profits, the County gets back many times that in benefits.

Cutting all funding to non-profits is a lose-lose proposition in the worst way. The large number of jobs, the revenues, the local spending and the services that local non-profits contribute to the County economy have been outlined in detail elsewhere. We can thank Mr. Trader for that. The net financial loss to the County from cutting \$3,200,000 in support to non-profits is a huge multiple of that small savings. It simply makes no sense. Imagine young families looking to settle in Rockland and finding out that we chose to eliminate funding for Hospice, Big Brothers/Big Sisters, The YMCA, the JCC Rockland, Rockland Center for the Arts, the Martin Luther King Center, Nyack Center and other countless agencies that improve the quality of life in Rockland. We can't afford to support these agencies in our community? Really? Is that our message?

The people of Rockland deserved a better effort. Mr. Day should have looked within his own administration and made cuts there rather than give his closest political aids substantial raises. He should have examined the huge negative fiscal impact of cutting 100% of non-profit funding and figuratively he should have used a scalpel instead of an axe to cut costs.

Cutting 37 Sheriff's deputies and expecting the towns and villages to pick up the slack borders on absurdity. That is just tax shifting, not tax saving. The independent report that was prepared behind the Sheriff's back calls for increasing the responsibility of the Sheriff's Patrol not cutting it. The report says that cutting the Patrol will result in increased costs to the Towns. The cost to the towns to pick up the slack is far more than the cost to the County of maintaining the services. The cuts result in the loss of the shared services that almost every town relies upon, BCI, Bomb Squad, the REACT TEAM/SWAT, Arson Investigation etc. While the County Executive announced that he had the support of the Police Chiefs and Town Supervisors; that also was not accurate.

I've had the privilege of working with Sheriff Falco over the last year. I spent time with him touring the jail. I spent time with him learning about his operations. I reviewed the millions in cuts that he has made in his short time as our Sheriff. The attacks on him by Ed Day are disgraceful and inaccurate, and are purely politically motivated. Sheriff Lou Falco has worked a lot harder on addressing the budget than Ed Day has. The Sheriff addressed items line-by-line, position-by-position and he made cuts that were tough, but sensible, instead of thoughtless and lazy.

The salt in the wound was the substantial salary increases to the County Executive's Media Relations and Intergovernmental appointees in his inner circle, and a new \$98,000 per year job for more Media Relations in the MIS department. Our County Executive already governs via Facebook. Is spending more money on getting his political message out on social media the best use of county funds? Is that a better use of funds than feeding the poor, sheltering the homeless and protecting our community?

Planning a budget requires not just making hard decisions, but making smart decisions. Rockland deserves better than an 11th hour hatchet job and it is now getting it through the Legislature's modifications to the proposed 2015 budget.

Even the Commissioner of Finance recently acknowledged that it would be prudent to amend the law to provide for the balance of the deficit to be paid off over the life of the bonds. I know that, because I asked him the question. The sky is not falling in Rockland. Our County Executive continues to talk about the crisis and disaster heading our way, even today on Facebook and in his column, but his Finance Commissioner, a very reputable, honorable and non-political person, Stephen DeGroat, tells a completely different story. Governing through fear and divisiveness never works long term. People must start paying closer attention. Rockland deserves better than politics over people. Look at all the people here today. For months this place was empty. I'm glad to see people are waking up. There are a lot more out there that have yet to understand what is going on. Don't let a vocal minority with several Facebook pages and social media outlets tell you what is best for our County. You deserve better. I am only here until December 31, but until that last day I will continue to work to make Rockland County the great place I know it can be.

It's been a privilege to serve for this year and I am proud to support the Committee's budget amendments.

Mr. Hood, Jr.

Barry, that was a great history and rundown on how things have gone. You are going to be missed next year greatly and I hope that we see you again.

I would like to thank everybody for coming out tonight. I have met a lot of you over the last couple of months. I think we have made some very great connections over the last couple of months and I hope that they stay for years. We need to have you on our side in the future.

I think about some of the things that Ed Day has done since the beginning of his term. If I did those things or any of us up here did those things we would be crucified on social media, in the public and wouldn't get away with any of these things. He has pretty much been lying to everybody, such as saying that he wouldn't cut the Sheriff's Department. A week before the budget came out Sheriff Falco was told that his budget is fine and there were no cuts. Then he did what he did. How do you say that to somebody and then call them to let them know you changed your mind or something. Who governs like that? How is that acceptable?

We had meetings in his office and told him that we are really concerned about the contract agencies and want to make sure they are not on the chopping block. He told us there would be no cuts, and they are going to reevaluate and put them into different tiers. None of that was done. Where was the work that had to be done?

He hired his friend to do a report on one department, a department that I think he is politically motivated to go after, and gave his hired friend \$10,000 and got a report back that said what he wanted it to say or enough that he could hang his hat on. How is that acceptable? Then that friend will not even show up before the Public Safety Committee to answer questions. How is that acceptable? I am sending a letter to him asking him to pick the day/evening that he wants to come in, Mr. Anemone, if you are listening, pick the day and come in and answer some questions.

What if our budget broke the cap? What if our proposed budget went over the tax cap, which he did? On social media it reads that it is only a small amount and not a big deal. It is a big deal, because you lose other incentives on the State level if you go over the cap. It wasn't \$100,000 that was the concern, because that can be fixed. The loss of other things that could have happened is the big deal. If we did that we would be crucified.

I must say that his supporters are steadfast. I have heard all kinds of things recently, because I did come out strong. I created a page, because I wanted to get the truth out there. I wanted to get our side out there, because he does control social media and I want to change that. I only have 350 friends to his 4,000, but we are getting there and I am not going away. It is not right what he has done the last ten months. The other side does not listen to anything and they just see it one way. They reelected him to fix our finances. You know what? Maybe he did, but is this the way it gets fixed, on the backs of the poor, on the backs of public safety. I don't think that is what people wanted and I think he has heard that now. He is doubling down and won't be deterred. We are in for this for a number of years and I am going to be there to stand with all of you, because this isn't right. I heard that he is threatening primaries against his own party. Imagine if we did that. That would be totally unacceptable in our party. I was in shock by that actually. I think those are threats that are not going to happen, hopefully.

Let's look at some of the facts instead of the spin. The non-profits are needed in this County. Non-profits do so much good work out there especially for a district like mine. My district needs things like childcare. Of course Clarkstown is wealthier than Haverstraw as a whole. It is just not right to vote for things that only help your district. We have to think about the whole County. Our services help the poor and the needy and that is what County government does, for the most part. Some people will never use those services, because they are better off. To not vote for it will hurt this County as a whole. Non-profits are needed and they need our funding. There will be some that will go under without our funding and some will survive, but provide fewer services.

A Sheriff is needed especially in small towns like Haverstraw and Stony Point. They backup or officers all the time, at least once a day. All their special units are used. I just read a report about a robbery in Ramapo that said they were assisted by the Rockland County Sheriff's unit. To say that this won't affect public safety is ridiculous. To say that it won't affect your town bill is also ridiculous. I don't know how these people are listening to this anymore. I just don't get it. The other argument they make is that it is duplicated. I don't think believe that either. There are more patrol cars out there, because of the Rockland County Sheriff's Department. There have been over 300 drunk drivers taken off the road the last few years that I think wouldn't be caught otherwise.

They just want to use their argument without really listening to the facts and believe one side and that is why we have to stay committed to getting our message out of why you are needed, what you do and keep up the pressure.

We have five town Supervisors that agree that the Sheriff is needed. We have duly elected Supervisors that believe that non-profit agencies are also needed. I think you have a majority of Legislators here that believe the same. I bet most of the town's Council feel the same too. We are talking of dozens of elected officials. Are we all wrong? I find Ed Day being right is very hard to believe.

He talked about the eleventh hour change. I have to go to a personal story, which I have not done before, because I really do not like to use my personal conversations with someone, but this has to come out. It shows the way he does business and what we have been dealing with. We had lunch this summer before the budget came out. He pitched a 13% tax increase to me. I said, "You know what, if that is what we need to do then let's talk about." I did not agree to it, because I didn't know what he was going to do. Later in the conversation he says to me, "You work with me on the tax increase or I am going to cut out everything and you are going to have to put it back." You are telling me he didn't know that he was going to do this. This is the summer time. That is my personal experience and I don't like to do that, but I am fed up with this.

I don't believe there is any change in the air, because of what came out today. I believe he is doubling down on his position. He says that he won't be deterred. In that piece he says that our budget is political. There is nothing more political than what he has done to the Sheriff. He has a reason to go after the Sheriff, because he wants to run somebody next year, and that is not the way you govern. You don't govern through politics. Today he wrote, "The Sheriff is now hiding money, because he found \$380,000, because he is working with us and cut his overtime that much to help us with our budgeting." That money wasn't hiding. He is deciding that next year he is going to work even harder than he has before to find savings, which he has already done to the tune of millions of dollars since he has been there. Sheriff Falco has done more than anybody in County government, more than Ed Day, more than any department, to save us money and help our budgeting. I thank you for that Sheriff Falco. He has saved \$9,000,000 since he has taken office.

I love when he says, "I inherited this deficit and terrible financial position we are in." You were here. You sat here with us for years. I remember one attempt at trying to change anything on the budget literally and it was a handwritten note that didn't add up. It was a horrendous way to try to change the budget that did not work at all. It didn't even save money. So don't tell me you inherited it, you were here. You voted on certain things. I did like that Barry mentioned governing by fear and playing into people's fears that everything is doomsday. Then again you take credit when things start to get better and he doesn't give any credit to anybody else. This is not the way to govern. You have to show leadership. I also read comments on Facebook that "they" elected Ed Day to fix our financial situation. Well that may be true, but I don't think they want to get rid of all our contract agencies; they are not for cutting the police and public safety, and to budget on the backs of the poor and the needy. I will go into next year's election holding my head high and I hope that you all stay with us. I am asking all Legislators to do the right thing.

I read that I hate Ed Day. I do not hate Ed Day at all. I dislike the way he has governed the last year. I tried my hardest to be the one to work with him between his office and the Legislature. It came to the point that I couldn't do it, because he was misleading the people, misleading me and it came down to when he lied to me about the Charter changes. He ruined our relationship, but I hope to get it back at some point, but it is not there right now. He said he wouldn't veto the Charter amendments if I took a certain provision out and so I took it out and he vetoed it anyway. We overrode it and it passed by a big margin so it shows that he doesn't say what goes on in this County. That is where our relationship broke down.

I am asking Mr. Day to change, be honest all the time and don't try to spin things your way every single time. He said today that our budget is going to lead to \$45,000,000 in additional borrowing or a 5% tax increase each year." Where is he getting that number? I have no idea. He is just throwing it out there that somehow we are ruining the County when we are fixing this. It just has no basis and fact whatsoever. Who knows what we are going to borrow years from now. Things might get better or things might get worse, but we don't know. We are doing the best we can this year. We will deal with 2016 next year. I ask him also to work with us and not to demonize us and denigrate us on social media. He recently called us a "disengaged Legislature." How do say something like that? He said that when I was working with him. I am one of those people. Then he is sorry and says that he didn't mean it and then he will go do it again anyway.

I am committed to trying in the new year. I am going to try to mend the relationship. I am going to try my best to mend this fence next year, but I want to be clear that he is the one that burned that fence down. I hope you all stay with us this year. Let's keep these contract agencies, let's keep the Sheriff and let's keep the things that make Rockland County. Thank you.

Mrs. Cornell

I'm on the Budget and Finance Committee, but the really hard work was done by our terrific Chair of B&F Michael Grant. Michael, you are so smart and thoughtful and I know you agonized over the choices we need to make, but you always make the right choices and I thank you for that. Nicole Doliner, our one and only fiscal analyst who never stops working to find solutions and we appreciate you so very much, thank you. Both Michael and Nicole really have my heartfelt thanks for producing a budget that fulfills our desire to keep under the 2% cap while still upholding the values we believe in; the safety of the public, the health of the public, the welfare of the public, and a Rockland that truly cares about easing the challenges facing the young, the old, and the infirm in a very complicated world.

And by the way, the county is not in debt. We are dealing with a deficit that is growing smaller, because of hard choices that have been made over the past few years. Steve DeGroat, the Commissioner of Finance, tells us our bonds are sought after by investors. This is not a sick County and as Legislator Kantrowitz so eloquently said, "the sky is not falling." We have a balanced budget and we will be able to eliminate the deficit over the next few years.

I take a lot of pride in the Sheriff's Department. I have worked closely with both Democrats and Republicans who hold that office. With Tom Goldrick who was a key player in the domestic violence initiative STOP FEAR, which we developed with VCS and Rockland Family Shelter now known as Center for Safety and Change. At that time it led to all police departments adopting an arrest policy rather than the "walk around the block and cool off policy." Sheriff Kralik, my friend and buddy, we worked together to create the Homeland Security Task Force, which as I understand has become a model across the country for communities that came together and worked with the private sector, the government to insure that everyone had the kinds of plans that were needed should there ever be any kind of terrorist attempt here. We have policies for the schools, businesses and for government. I thank you Sheriff Kralik for that.

Lou Falco has brilliantly run his department and we are so appreciative of that. As you have heard he has cut his budgets only to be hit last year with a new mandate from the State to hire 15 more correctional officers in the jail. If the Sheriff's Department were to lose the 36 officers in the County Executive's budget, this County would be losing the training and expertise of specialized units, which keep us all safe. I would never do that.

Let me talk a little bit about the contract agencies, the not-for-profit agencies that we call contract agencies. By budgeting the same amount for each agency as they received this year, they are still receiving 40% less than in 2008. Their cuts have been going on year after year, percent by percent. They receive funds from County government, not as gifts or handouts, but for providing specific contractual services to meet identified community needs, needs that have changed as times have changed. Those agencies spend a lot of time preparing their applications to County governments, specifying exactly what they will do with the money requested. I don't know what process was followed this year, but in past years two people in the Executive Branch, one from the County Executive's office and one from the Department of Finance closely examined these applications. These agencies are also held accountable by their own Boards of Directors and in some cases by the State and Federal governments. We in the Legislature, by the way, do not see the applications or the contracts that are signed.

I'm going to tell you a few of the things that I have seen in my years as a Legislator.

Many years ago when I was first in the Legislature I got called by Stella Marrs. Many of you know Stella Marrs who was a great advocate for the children and families of Rockland County and at that time she was Executive Director of the Martin Luther King Center. She asked me to meet her in Spring Valley and she took me to a street where violence had erupted a few weeks earlier. We went together into a small house, and into a small bedroom with two small beds. She showed me bullet holes just under a window, from bullets, which had gone through the wall from the street and into the wall on the opposite side of the room. Had the children in the bedroom had they been sitting up or standing up they would have been hit, maybe killed. Fortunately they were lying down in their beds at that time.

In 1995 I participated in a conference run by The Child and Adolescent Workgroup. These are mental health and health professionals in Rockland County government and the not-for-profit agencies. There I learned about a Yale University program that brought family, school, government and community together to help every child achieve optimal development. Yale called it the School of the 21st Century. Rockland developed its own model; a not-for-profit organization based on the Yale program and called it 21st Century Collaborative for Children and Youth, now known as 21C. Now, 18 years later, there are 40 Family Resource Centers based in schools, welcoming families, directing them to community resources and helping them in many ways. It has a literacy-based home visiting program for toddlers from low income or non-English speaking families and that ensures that those children enter school at the same level as their more affluent peers and maintain or exceed as they proceed thru school. All Rockland School Districts support 21C and have written letters.

At a Rockland Family Shelter conference some years ago, a woman did something very brave: She told a large group of strangers about her past life, a nice house in a nice neighborhood, two children and a husband who beat her viciously. When he left for work in the mornings, he would place a bullet on the table and tell her she could not leave the house, because if she did, he would kill her and he would harm their children.

Why am I telling you this? It's because these are but a few of the episodes that opened my eyes to the challenges that face residents in Rockland County every day of the week. So did hearings I held over the years about then-unspeakable subjects like HIV/AIDS, which not only resulted in the County opening a clinic, but also enabled the not-for-profit, TOUCH to get funding support to provide food and care for the ill. Or at a hearing to explore the special problems faced by women who were addicted to drugs and alcohol. A woman approached the microphone at one of my hearings, like others she remained nameless, but she said: "Harriet, you know me; you know my mother. You don't know that I'm an alcoholic. My children were taken from me by the courts, but I'm sober now and want them back." I did know her mother who was a very prominent County government employee, and I did know the not-for-profit agencies which had helped her become sober and ready to be a parent again.

The issues of children and youth are particularly close to my heart, because in many respects the orbit of their lives depends upon their earliest years. Since they are our future, we should certainly find ways to find healthful, productive activities for kids and to prevent lawbreaking or the teen-age pregnancies that occur in after-school hours and summers. Government-community not-for-profit partnerships were started: The County started youth employment programs, and the job training was done by a not-for-profit. The visual and performing arts are great outlets for children and youth, and a Theater Development program started with workshops so young people could perform, learn how to create and build scenery, become sound engineers and have fun. On Saturdays kids would come from all over Rockland to participate and soon Cornell Cooperative Extension was running the program.

CANDLE, which had been in existence focusing on drug and alcohol prevention for youth, saw the anguish faced by many young people and began its work to help and support those who might be LGBT or questioning their sexuality.

My colleague, unfortunately no longer living on this earth, VJ Pradhan used to call me the "Queen of Public Hearings" and I truly wear that title proudly, because it gave me such insight into the issues facing residents of all income levels, all races and religions and the work to address these issues being done by the not-for-profit sector, often in partnership with the work of County government.

I often wear a button saying *Art Saves Lives*—and so it does. Rockland Center for the Arts sought and received state and foundation grants in order to provide arts education to the children of East Ramapo where the arts had been discontinued. The Rockland Conservatory of Music enrolls every child who wishes to learn to sing or play an instrument whether or not the family can pay. Yes, that saves lives.

I could say something about the safety net provided by virtually every not-for-profit in the room. The Nyack Center which is open from breakfast to late at night, with activities, homework help and more. Big Brothers/Big Sisters with their mentoring and the program they run for the Family Court to court-ordered supervise visitation. Child Care Resources, Helping Hands, which was embraced by the Legislature years ago because of its amazing volunteer effort to help the homeless. Also, Home Aides of Rockland, Keep Rockland Beautiful, the MHA, HOSPICE, JCCY, Historical Society of Rockland etc. I appreciate all of you here.

Paul Trader with his team has been so helpful in documenting the money non-profits bring into the County and spend here as productive workers and volunteers. They should be seen as economic development agencies to be fostered and cherished not cut loose.

So, to shorten a very long story, I am proud of this budget. I am proud of the Legislative Leadership Alden and Jay and Michael. And to quote Ann Marie, who wrote me a lovely letter, from Cooperative Extension, (and I received many lovely letters from people in the audience) "*I have always been proud of where I live but this year especially I am so proud of the people who represent me in the county Legislature.*" I wanted you my colleagues to hear that. Thank you, Ann Marie and all who have written and rallied. Rockland non-profits matter. I will vote for this budget and ask all my colleagues, Democrats and Republicans, to vote with me. Thank you.

Mr. Carey

I will not be voting for this budget tonight. Thank you.

Mrs. Low-Hogan

I wholeheartedly endorse that budget that the Budget and Finance Committee put forward and I want to share some of my reasoning.

Since 2012 when I first started here on the Legislature, I along with many of my colleagues have been consistently making difficult decisions, all with the goal of improving the fiscal condition of our County. Among other things we have increased certain taxes and we have charged back certain costs to the towns. This was hard medicine to swallow, and these things were not easy to do, but they were necessary at that time to right our financial ship and improve our bond rating. We are finally seeing the fruits of these difficult decisions and I have no plans to go back to where we were.

It is with this backdrop that I am able to say with confidence that I support the budget proposed by the Budget and Finance Committee for several reasons:

1. I feel confident that the \$5,000,000 set aside for deficit reduction is an appropriate and fiscally responsible amount, given the fact that our outstanding deficit has now been reduced to approximately \$41,000,000. So \$5,000,000 is actually more than the 10% than the amount of the remaining deficit.
2. I do not think it is appropriate to give select administrative staff 15% and 18% raises, at this time, and at a time when other staff positions are in peril and when others have not received a raise in years. While I am open to considering changing certain individuals' job descriptions and titles, I do not support granting such huge raises at this time.
3. I am very pleased that we have been able to accomplish all of this and not exceed the 2% tax cap.
4. I believe we have carefully reviewed the outsourcing proposals from the County Executive and have made the right determinations when considering whether outsourcing of a particular function will, in fact, save or cost the County money, along with whether or not these functions will eventually be assumed by others, and balanced that with whether County employees should lose their jobs right now, again, given our improving fiscal situation today.
5. I support the reallocation of funds to the REDC, and I do not support the transmission of half of the REDC County allocation to the County Department of Economic Development at this time. There is too much evidence that the REDC is a significant driver of economic vitality in our County, and not enough evidence that the County Department of Economic Development has been successful in doing so. I urge the Department of Economic Development to demonstrate measurable success with respect to creating new strategic partners, attracting new businesses and stimulating our local economy, so moving forward we can support them with additional funding in the Budget process.

6. With respect to the cuts to the Sheriff's department, proposed by the County Executive, I cannot support these cuts for several reasons. First, it is impossible for me to evaluate the quality of the recommendations from either the County Executive or the Anemone Study, when the Study was conducted in such a non-transparent way. If the County Executive wants to conduct a serious and impartial efficiency review of any department, I urge him to utilize an RFP process to identify a consultant or outside expert reviewer, and to inform and involve the Legislature and the department head each step along the way. Secondly, while I am open to conducting an impartial review of any department, I have concerns about overstepping the bounds of the departments that are headed by duly elected public officials, such as the Sheriff and District Attorney. Third, the concepts of "shared services" and "interagency cooperation" represent to me the potential for efficiencies and cost savings. Again, without the benefit of having an impartial, transparent study or expert to rely upon, it seems to me that the Sheriff's department's non-competitive role as "Service Agency" to the Towns and Villages is a positive, and not a negative. Finally, I cannot endorse the negative impact the proposed cuts would have on the specialized units that are so critical to our County's and region's safety and security.
7. Last, but not least, let me talk about the contract agencies.

The Nonprofit Agencies in my mind represent the bedrock upon which our thriving community rests. Since the 1880's when the first Dominican Sisters and others like them arrived to serve the destitute children of New York City immigrants, Rockland County has been relying on its vast and solid network of non-profit agencies to serve our community.

Non-profit Agencies in Rockland County are an enduring part of the ideal partnership between the governmental and non-governmental sectors.

It is ironic that we are at odds with the County Executive when the Sheriff's Patrol and the contract agencies represent sharing services and require less government and result in less costs to the taxpayer.

As was mentioned, the proposed budget cut to the non-profit agencies represents ½ of 1% of the County Executive's proposed Rockland County Budget of \$772,000,000. A seemingly small amount in the scheme of the entire budget, but this small investment on the part of the County enables the non-profit agencies to leverage this financial support and to garner additional resources from other funding sources. Talk about return on investment.

Since it is true that a Budget, more than a set of numbers, is actually a statement of values and priorities, all of us need to realize that budget time is an opportunity to define those priorities, reinforce our values and shape the future of our community. The proposed changes passed by the Budget and Finance Committee represent those values and priorities, and may include fiscal soundness, a balance of fiscal prudence with support for staff and programs, equity, and concern for the weakest among us.

This is balance. These are our values. This is who we are. Thank you.

Mr. Meyers

I don't have any prepared remarks for tonight, but I have a few thoughts that I want to share with all of you. A few of my fellow Legislators said that the sky is not falling in Rockland County. We are the most fiscally stressed County in the State of New York, as designated by the Comptroller's office. So think about that. My wife and I sometimes drive up the Berkshires, we pass villages and towns where there are abandoned barns and you wonder how anybody can live there or have any money to sustain themselves. They are better off than we are. They are less fiscally stressed than we are. Upstate New York, like Buffalo, which have been in the doldrums for 25 years now are better off than we are. They are less fiscally stressed than we are, because we are the most fiscally stressed County in the State of New York. I also live in the most fiscally stressed town in the State of New York - the Town of Ramapo. I also represent the most fiscally stressed village in the State of New York - parts of Suffern. So the sky is falling in Rockland County, I am sorry to tell you, but I think you already know that. I don't blame any of you for being here. You have every right to be here, you have families to support, and you believe in the work that you do and the not-for-profits are doing important work for the citizens of our County and you want to be here. If you eliminated the people in the room who are affiliated with the Sheriff's Patrol and you eliminated the not-for-profit that are here this room would be empty like it usually is. That is just an observation and I don't want anyone sitting up here to delude themselves into thinking wow we got a lot of good public participation. We have the people who have direct interest in their line items in the budget being cut who are here tonight. Again, you all have absolutely every right to be here. We haven't heard from the public at large except for a few. There has not been an outpouring of public attention on the budget; it is the people who are directly affected. I just thought that was worth mentioning.

A number of my colleagues have said that we have made the hard decisions. When politicians say that they have made the hard decisions they just mean that they have raised your taxes in a year when they are not up for election. We have raised the hotel motel tax, we imposed an energy tax, and we had an 18% tax increase two years ago and a 10% tax increase for 2014. Suddenly the tax increase for 2015 is only 2%.

We have a bad situation in this County and I am not saying that everything that Ed has done has been right in the way he rolled out the budget. The droning on about who said what about whom and when is disinteresting to me personally, but maybe interesting to others. I think about mostly when I think about politicians like the President or the Governor or the County Executive is there is really only one person in County government who has to stay up into the wee hours of the morning worrying that everything is going to collapse on his watch. There is no responsibility here. We have presided over increasing deficits that have led us to becoming the most fiscally stressed County in the State of New York. Many of the people sitting up here that tell you now that they know what we ought to do presided over that and passed budgets that had increasing amounts of revenue expectations when they knew that they were wrong. Mr. DeSantis told us they were wrong and they passed them. Some people did vote against those budgets. I had said for years that we need to cut to the bone. Tom Ninan said earlier that he is tired of it being on the backs of the workers. Well, the biggest expense in any government is the workers. It is terrible for people to be laid off and that sort of thing, but if you want to cut costs in private or government you have to lay off people or raise revenue.

Payer higher and higher taxes makes it less livable in Rockland County. As for the town charge backs, yes I support it and did all along. We passed it two years ago and the towns put it in their budgets for 2014 and then we gave them the gift where we didn't make them pay it even though they budgeted for it so they have the surplus in their accounts to pay for it. It is their cost. A case recently came down in Nassau County where a town sued the County, because of the charge backs and they lost. It is a town cost. It is not a County cost. It belongs to the towns. It doesn't belong to us. We are in a bad way now. Some of the towns are doing much better than us, not Ramapo, but others. They need to pick up that cost, which is their cost, not ours.

From the very beginning of this process I thought we needed to restore 75% of the not-for-profit funding, as a compromise. I wouldn't support ten people being laid off in the Sheriff's Department. I know that you guys would not be happy with that, but that was my thinking. Decimating the Patrol Division was unacceptable to me. I can possibly see some modest layoffs, which would not impact the specialty areas in the Sheriff's Department. Why was it focused just on the Sheriff's Department? I am not sure I have an answer to that. Some people have suggested answers and I am not discrediting their answers. I also favored the elimination of the General Services administration at the County level, just the upper layer, which would have saved a couple of million dollars. I think there are other places where you can cut across and I don't know why it wasn't spread around the entire County workforce, but I do believe that we could lose a few people unfortunately in the Sheriff's Patrol and still be able to do what we need to do in this County. I think we could lose people in other areas of County government. It is not pleasant, but it could be done.

Next year we have to pay our payment on the deficit bond, which will be \$13,000,000. We will have \$4,000,000 left over from this year on the premium. Where are we going to come up with the other \$9,000,000? That is a lot of money. Look what Ed did when he had to come up with \$10,000,000 this year, which made all of you so angry. Next year we have to come up with \$9,000,000, plus the cost of doing business in the County goes up every year too. What are we going to do, raise taxes 20%? Thank you.

Mrs. Paul

I want to thank Legislator Grant, Nicole Doliner and the members of the Budget and Finance Committee for doing a great job in preparing a budget and keeping under the 2% tax cap.

We must think of our non-profit contract agencies and our Sheriff's Department as investments in our infrastructure. If we build a weak infrastructure now, by cutting the important and preventative services these agencies provide, we will pay millions for it down the road.

Before I became a legislator, I was very involved in our community, serving on various boards and volunteering with a number of charities. I did so with the goal in mind of making our community a better place. My goal of giving back to our community did not change when I was elected as a legislator three years ago. At that time, the county was facing some difficult financial challenges and some hard choices had to be made. My vote tonight is for a fiscally prudent budget that stays within the 2% tax cap while also representing our values as a community.

As a nurse for over 30 years, I have a deep concern for the many non-profit agencies that provide essential, life-saving services to our community that our county government simply cannot provide. These contract agencies aren't just helping people through health and emotional crises; they are putting people back to work, not to mention the thousands they employ. I am the President of the Nanuet Lions Club and much of our focus is on serving the needs of the visually impaired, so the work that the Association for the Visually Impaired does to enable people to stay in or re-enter the workforce should be fully supported. A woman who faces the horrors of domestic violence or rape relies on a place like the Center for Safety Change; and with the resources they provide, women can maintain or seek employment. A family member struggling to maintain their family's income while worrying about a sick loved one, doesn't have to risk their job when United Hospice of Rockland or Home Aides are there in their time of need. Parents shouldn't have to choose between work and daycare, like the West Street Child Care Center and the Child Care Resources of Rockland in Spring Valley or the Rockland Worksite Day Care Center in Pomona. Furthermore, the idea of abandoning our only animal shelter and our animals that have no voice is absurd and unacceptable.

When Big Brothers & Sisters, who work with our Family Court along with the Sheriff's Patrol, helps a child in crisis, what greater cost are we preventing in the future by making that investment? When we invest in our children by investing in the invaluable services provided by Head Start, Volunteer Counseling Service, CANDLE, and so many more, we are investing in our future and saving ourselves from far more costly services needed when situations reach a crisis level. Just as we cannot simply pass the cost down to our towns with chargebacks, we cannot pass the costs of a weakened society down to our residents.

Rockland has had several bomb threats this year and we are in close proximity to NYC, the biggest terrorist target in the world, and a nuclear reactor. Our Sheriff's Department provides essential services for our public safety and some of these officers are Veterans. I want to applaud the outstanding job they do every day out there risking their lives to protect our safety and to provide services to the towns that they do not have in their budgets. I cannot support a budget that passes these expenses at a far greater cost onto the town and village taxpayers. The very thought of telling a Veteran who fought to protect our freedoms and decided to come home to serve and protect Rockland County in the Sheriff's Department and raise a family here, that their service is no longer valued, makes me sick.

Cutting non-profit contract agencies and our Sheriff's Department is not only fiscally irresponsible, but it does not reflect our common values as a community. We have heard from you the people of Rockland County and the values you hold dear. We have listened and I am proud to vote for a budget that represents our shared values. Thank you all for coming.

Mr. Schoenberger

Let me begin by saying something that I think has to be said with reference to the public and your participation tonight. I know you are here, because you are interested and concerned and that you care about Rockland County and the people of Rockland County. Your concerns should not be diminished or cavalierly dismissed. You are important, your jobs are important and your families are important to the vast majority of us, as you will see in a little while when we vote.

I sit here and I think to myself, what would our County Executive Ed Day say if he were sitting here tonight? What would he feel about this budget? What would he say? So to figure that out I went back to some of the past years when Ed was here. I am going to pick out a couple of statements from a couple of years and read them to you.

December 7, 2010, Mr. Day says, "With some reflection upon my five years here, what comes to mind tonight is where we are instead of where we are supposed to be. According to the County Charter, we are supposed to be partners in a process. We are supposed to have a transparent and thought-out budget laid out for us, at which time we, singularly and collectively, review, amend, hopefully improve and adopt it. Most importantly, the process is supposed to keep the good of the people of this County at the forefront. With an understanding that I do not say this to be critical of the County Executive or anyone else, in my few years here, I have seen this process degenerate. We in the Legislature often operate somewhat in the blind, because we do not have our hands into the day-to-day operations of government, and frankly despite some of my colleague's thoughts, this government was not designed for us to be intimately involved in those daily operations. While some of us have specific skills we can bring to the table in service to the process, the requisite skills lie within our managers reporting to the County Executive. This is all the more reason why we need an absolute level of trust and clarity between the two branches of government. Without that, the best intentions of the County Charter is doomed to failure and that failure affects the people." This is my favorite, "I want to acknowledge Budget and Finance Chair, Ilan Schoenberger for his yeoman's work again this year with the submitted budget, and also acknowledge what my colleagues continue to bring to this process."

December 5, 2013, Mr. Day says, "But what I will assure the people of this County, and my colleagues here in the Legislature, that out of this symbolic vote will come an end to the days of getting budgets that they have to grapple with in the manner we have been doing over the past few years. We will no longer have a situation where the people's pockets are the casualties of a devolved relationship between two branches of government. My soon to be former colleagues will be part of the process early and we will look to work together. Frankly, we have no other option because a continuation on this path will just drive our neighbors away."

So, here we are today one year later. What does the County Executive's budget contain? It contains raises for his staff, 10% for one person, 15% for another person. It contains new patronage positions - Office of the County Executive 3 new positions = \$240,000, Office of the County Attorney 5 new positions = \$500,000, a new position in the Department of Personal = \$86,000, a new position as a Assistant Director of Purchasing = \$86,000, 2 positions in the MIS Department of Public Relations and Media Systems person \$98,800 and Administrator = \$73,000. My calculations are over a million dollars in new positions while you and you and you are being told we do not have enough money for you. What message does that give to the unions, which he is sitting down with and negotiating contracts for? If his own staff can get a 10% raise for one person, a 15% raise for the next person then what are the unions going to expect at the table.

What also strikes me is that on all his FaceBook messages and posts this is the item he forgets to mention. He forgets to mention the raises and new jobs. I wonder why.

I have a letter here addressed to Ed Day dated December 2, 2014 from Rockland Business Leaders, 25 of them in total, asking him to not to cut the contract agencies, explaining why it is bad for the County and why it is bad for everybody. I have a letter here dated November 25, 2014 from the Board of Trustees of the Nyack School District urging that the contract agencies not be cut. Are all those people only out for their own interests, only here, because they have their own jobs? I don't think so. They are writing letters to the County Executive, and us because they care about this County.

Despite what the County Executive said about a better process I will tell you how crazy it is. The Sheriff of Rockland County voluntarily agreed, after doing \$9,000,000 in cuts over seven years in his budget, to cut overtime by another \$380,000, because he wants to help. The County Executive accused him of outrageously squirreling away the money. This is the County Executive who supervised every department day-to-day. Wouldn't he know where the money is? Maybe, just maybe and I believe this to be true, the Sheriff is a true public servant and is willing to cut his departments budget to save taxpayers money.

It all comes down to trust. In a few minutes I expect there to be a motion for some compromised plan and while I think the Legislators who propose it probably mean well you have to be able to trust that if you reach a compromise the County Executive would stand with the compromise. It comes down to trust. There is a whole world of business that operates on trust. If you go down to the Stock Market and one buyer who represents a company goes to the seller or who sells shares of stock and he tells him he will buy a million shares at a hundred dollars per share they take out a piece of paper and make note with his name, how many shares and what the price is. They don't sign contracts on the floor. They then reconcile within two or three days. Say he buys a million shares at \$100 per share and three hours later in the afternoon the stock has gone up to \$150 per share the seller doesn't turn around and say that you misunderstood me I didn't say that. If they did the financial system will collapse, but even more important no buyer of stocks will ever deal with that seller again. You can't function without honesty and trust.

If you can't trust and rely on the word of the County Executive of Rockland County, how do you do business with him? That is what is in my mind when the proposal comes up for a compromise. I may be able to trust some of the Legislators words here, but can I trust the County Executive. Legislator Hood told us that he sat down with the County Executive and the County Executive asked him to change certain things in the Charter Reform and then he would not veto it, and we did change the Charter, and he did veto it anyway. For those of you who don't know he had to be on the Legislators desk on a certain day, which is was, and an hour before that he sent over a letter with changes otherwise he will veto it. If you can't believe somebody's word how do you do business with him? The answer is, you don't.

My thanks to Michael Grant, Nicole Doliner, Chairman Alden Wolfe and the members of the Budget and Finance Committee and the Legislators who are not members who attended many of the meetings. There are some Legislators here who have not attended a single meeting and I do not offer them my thanks. You can figure out who they are. Thank you!

Mr. Soskin

I support this amended budget. Why do I support it? It does three things, which are responding to our citizen's desires. Security by keeping the Sheriff's Patrol Division, compassion by restoring funding to contract agencies and most filled positions and best of all the tax cap of 2% was not exceeded.

I read in the newspaper that the Patrol Division was top heavy and they had too many bosses and not enough Indians. The Sheriff Department has many specialists in many fields, which include the Arson Division, the Bomb Squad, etc, too many for me to remember, so of course you have a lot of bosses. When the bosses aren't busy they are out on patrol. No time is being wasted. You heard that our Sheriff saved us a lot of money.

Contract agencies help the poor, the homeless and the hungry. I have heard that we have duplications of services in different areas, and yes we do, because our County is like New York City - a melting pot - we have many ethnic and religious groups. In Haverstraw we have Latin Americans, in Spring Valley there are African Americans, Haitians, Latin Americans, Indians and many others, and in Monsey there are African Americans and Jewish people (not a race, a religion). Different religions have different persuasions. In Monsey there are reformed to Hasidic. In Nanuet you have Latin Americans. By having all of these non-profits getting funding from Rockland County it gives them the key to get funding in the form of donations and grants from out of the County, including the State and Federal government and private funding. There is a lot of private money that goes to various charities.

The big thing here in Rockland County is we need everybody to try and get along together and understand each other. Legal cases that we have here only takes away from public funding like a school district that spent \$6,000,000 on legal fees. Unnecessary. Some people came down here and said that it is all for the kids. If it is for the kids then lets not have battles and spend money on legal fees. I believe in positive thinking.

A budget is an estimate. We worked very hard to get this estimate as accurate as possible. We didn't want to overestimate the income or underestimate the expenses. We spent weeks on this and as a result of that we have arrived at this compromise. I want to thank Michael Grant, Chairman of Budget and Finance Committee and our Chair Alden Wolfe. I want to thank Nicole Doliner, who did a yeomen's job and is one person who worked on all these schedules. Sheriff Falco did his share for his people and you can be proud of your boss. Legislator Chris Carey had a different opinion about this budget and deserves a lot of credit putting a lot of effort into that. He did the best he could for the County even though we may not agree, but he tried. Just to show how important this budget is and the feeling behind it I see here our former Finance Commissioner Chris Kopf, who came to see how things are coming along. I see our auditors here, and I am sure they are not getting any retainer for tonight. I want to thank our Director of Finance, Stephen DeGroat, and our Budget Director, Steve Grogan. Lastly, as I have said many times, I want to thank all of you out there. You had a reason to come down. You had to defend your positions, you agencies and that is a natural thing, but more important you audited the budget. I am an account by trade. You came down and you are looking at what we are doing and how we are doing it and that is very important. We are here and we are listening.

I know that the people of Rockland County will appreciate everything that we are doing tonight. Those who don't may be a little selfish questioning why they have to take care of somebody else. In this world you have to take care of other people. You never know when you are going to be down below. I thank you and apologize for speaking for so long.

Mr. Earl

I want to thank each and every one of you for coming out tonight, especially all our Sheriff officers and CSEA, who have been very supportive throughout this process. The contents of this budget you have been briefed on so I am not going to be redundant with that. There is an officer holding a sign that has been resonating to me all night that reads, "Dishonest Day." It takes me down memory lane. Almost two months ago Legislator Hood, Kantrowitz and I were in County Executive Day's office and we asked him about the not-for-profits. He said that they would have an oversight committee on those. I said that was not a problem, because they have to account for all the money they receive. I took him for his word. I can confirm what Legislator Hood said that we agreed not to diminish the Sheriff's services. Ed Day agreed that he would not diminish the Sheriff's services. After that meeting I told the Martin Luther King Center that their funds would be replenished. A couple of weeks later I went to the Martin Luther King Center for a thank you breakfast and now I have to explain to a packed house that they were done to zero funding. They looked at me like, unbelievable. Once you tell a lie to me there is really nothing to say that you can say to me again. I won't trust you again. It is going to be a difficult few years working with this County Executive.

I want to thank all my colleagues that worked on the budget, especially our Chair Michael Grant and Nicole Doliner, Financial Analyst. Also, I would like to make mention that I don't know where we would have been without Nicole Doliner doing most of the heavy lifting with this budget along with Michael Grant.

Sheriff Kralik summed it up best by saying that our County Executive Mr. Day does not keep his word. Mr. Day passed the buck to the Legislators. Well, he sure did. I look back at the budget as we were going through the process and I remember the help that O'Conner and Davis gave us and we are very appreciative. I also looked at their proposals from years before that and they were right on the money and we are very appreciative. They also found that we would have gone over the tax cap with the original budget. A special thanks to the Sheriff's Department. There is no department head that has worked harder with this body then Sheriff Kralik. I am proud of our Sheriff's Department. He gave us a really nice tour of his department and let's us know what we are voting on and what they are doing over there. We were very appreciative, because they have kept this County safe and we thank you for that. I am proud to say that I will be voting yes on the amended budget tonight and I hope colleagues join me. Happy holidays and God Bless.

Mr. Sparaco

I agree 100% with everything Legislators Kantrowitz, Schoenberger and Hood said tonight. As hard as it might be for me as a Republican to say, everything they said is 100% true. It is almost impossible to deal with the current County Executive.

On a personal note I am going to say how much it meant to me to see Sheriff Kralik here. I am very glad to see you Sir, and it made me nostalgic. I remember when I first joined the Republican Party in 2001. I was so proud to work for you. You and Senator Morahan, leaders of the Republican Party, I remember at the Republican events how you inspired me and made me want to go out and work for the party. Those days are gone. Since you have left those days are long gone.

Our Party is unrecognizable. There is misinformation, propaganda, lies, threats, fear, revenge and political parting. Sir, you wouldn't recognize or believe what we are going through. I remember when I first decided to run for office how happy I was when we went to the Conservative screening and that they let us go into together and I went in with you. I basically just followed your answers. Ed Letre told me I was an arrogant punk and about a second before I jumped over the table you tapped me on the leg and went to bat for me and stuck up for me. Men like you and the late Mr. Morahan were men that made us in the Republican Party proud. I also remember when you crossed party lines, how you put party politics aside and did what was best for the County and what you believed was right. You are true Statesman and that is what we are lacking. I wish we had someone like you still in the Party.

Legislator Meyers earlier said I told you so and it made me think. There has only been a couple of Republicans that I didn't work my butt off for that was running for office. Anybody that knows me can tell you that is true, and the Democrats will vouch for that. There was one across the hall that I refused to work for and there were a lot of threats, arm twisting, people coming down from Albany, a lot of phone calls and I just said that I would not get involved in the race. That makes me think of a story when I first got elected to the Legislature. It was December, I wasn't even sworn in yet, I went to a Christmas Party and the head of the Party pulled me aside and Said, "Frank, you are going to be Minority Leader," I said, "Sir, I have never even been to a Legislative meeting." I didn't even think I would win and I had never seen an agenda. I said, "We have no idea who will be Minority Leader." He said, "Frank, listen to me, you can never let that man get any power." I just blew it off. Then he was running for Senate and that same individual called me and told me that he needs me to have my committee and supporters vote for Scott Vanderhoef instead of Day. I said, "I can't do that he will kill me. Every time you guys come to me I end up hurting this guy and he is going to kill me." He said, "You have to do it you cannot let this man get any power it will destroy us." So, I did it. Vanderhoef ran that year and we all know he lost to Carlucci, but I am paying the price for that now with political revenge. I told Mr. Meyers that he would destroy the Republican Party and turn the committee into the Ed Day Cult. The proof is in the pudding.

Mr. Jobson

It has been great for the last month all sitting here together. I have been to every budget hearing and meeting and I heard every person speak. Hundreds of you reached out to me. I have never received more emails, phone calls or messages through social media than I have on this budget.

My parents dwindled into the back of the room tonight. Listen up Mom I am speaking. I have been here for fifteen years and you finally come out to listen to me speak. Incidentally, I have to say I get emotional at times. My father is the most honest man that I have ever met in my life. He was a true public servant and did it for many years for the Town of Stony Point. You want to talk about political courage; I'll try to get through it quickly. We had a Supervisor in my town that was trying to build a golf course. He got himself into some trouble, hot water with some shenanigans. There is a guy who came in and took his place, took the heat, got the golf course open and had to give the people a huge tax increase to get through it and get the town back on a level playing field. He did it with class, but paid for it in the election. He held his head high and he walked out of that office and he is my father and he is the classiest man that I have even known.

That is what is so upsetting to me tonight. I am a classy guy and I don't get into the minutia. They know that I don't get nit-picky, but this budget sucks. It is shameful. There are a lot of good people that work this County. There are 18 people who run County government. Not 1, not 2, not 3: 18 of us collectively. One is at one end and the other 17 are here. There is no need for 99% of what has gone on here. For the sake of \$2,000,000 I felt bad the whole Thanksgiving weekend, because I am saying to myself that there are people out there that do not know if they are going to have a job in three weeks.

I have known Ed Day a long time. He has always been cordial to me and a nice guy and intelligent guy. Good people make bad choices every day. This is a learning curve. It is his first budget out of the box. I am not making any excuses for him. I don't agree with some of the methods he had taken and some of the things he put in this budget. I would not have done it that way. He chose to do so. The lemons come from the other end year after year and we make the lemonade and make it palpable and try to give government back to the people, restore the services and personal and do what we can do. So, we will see what we can do here tonight.

I would not have chosen to lay anyone off. I said that in a private caucus. It is just not in me. I am a member of a union. There are so many people that are so quick to disparage someone else or their career. I have known Eugene Kralik my entire adult life. I know Lou most of my life. You had a class act there and you have a class act there now running the Sheriff's Department. People in your own field, unfortunately, year after year make little comments; little nudges and does little things that go back and forth. There is no reason that the Sheriff's Department as a whole in this County should take the disparaging attitude that they have been giving towards you, it is an insult and it is unnecessary. The Sheriff's Department is a necessary arm of law enforcement and we need them in this County.

We have people that do security for laundry and us who are not making six digit salaries and are barely making ends meet. Actually the salaries that they are making is an insult and to begin with. It is so easy to cut the low hanging fruit and the people at the bottom when there are still six digit salary people in this County who asses are glued to their seat and don't earn a days pay and never have and never will. Yet, those SOB's remain in these budgets year after year. The sad reality is that sometimes it is just politics. It doesn't matter who is in the catbird seat.

It is frustrating here too. We sit here year after year. When you take someone's job it is taking their livelihood - their life. They are never the same. There is a man in my town with two kids and he lost his job without fault of his own. He is trying to support a family now making \$18.00 per hour. He is trying to hold onto their house, pay a mortgage and keep a roof over his kid's heads. I feel badly all the time and that kills me. I am lucky to have a good job. There are so many good people out there without jobs and going through their own private hell. The sad reality is there is nowhere for those people to turn. Government is useless half of the time. We can't help the people that need it the most. We bail out the bastards on Wall Street and we pay the bill for it. It is a shame. I don't know what the short-term answer is. There are so many good people in such a bad way these days. There is no easy fix. With advanced technology there is fewer jobs for people. I am a proud member of a union. I work a physical job every day. I roll up my sleeves and work every day. Some people just want to sit behind a computer and not do anything physical or manual labor. I don't know what the answer is.

Every person must be put back in this budget. Every person is important. Every person is worth what they are doing. I will be damned if my name would ever be on a pink slip that is handed out to anyone from this County government. It is unnecessary.

Enough with the shenanigans and the nonsense. Let's just do government for the people. This is not a game show. These are people with real lives that are trying to live, raise families and have careers. Stop the BS and get back to governing for the people of this County. Thank you.

Mr. Moroney

I had a big speech ready for tonight, but I think you heard enough speeches. I will save mine for next year for whatever line I run on. It is coming to the end and you are here for a very important moment. The last six or seven weeks have been very troublesome for all of you people out there, but I have admired the way you have handled it. You handled it with dignity, pride and passion. There was no out of order of business and for that I thank you very much. It was not an easy process going through the holidays not knowing what would happen. I support you, because I know what you are going through. I wasn't always a Legislator. I remember when I first ran and Mr. Kralik and I debated issues on the campaign trail. We disagreed over a certain issue, but maybe if that day was today I might agree with you Sheriff Kralik. I won't say what the issue was. I have a long history with law enforcement in this County. My son was a cop for twenty years in the Town of Orangetown and I am very proud of that.

I have a long history with contract agencies. I created the Catholic Charities, the Irish Cultural Center and more that I worked closely with. I know what you do. I am very much involved with some of the agencies and have been over the years. To bring this to a close I am going to wish all of you and your families' happy holidays, Merry Christmas and a Happy and Healthy New Year. I will be supporting the amendment that is before us tonight.

Mr. Schoenberger

I indulged upon the Chairman to let me speak again. I was very moved by Doug. I have known Doug and worked with Doug for many years. He is a fine, decent and honest guy, very down to earth and very sincere. I know his parents for many years. When I was in the Legislature his father was the Superintended of Highways here in the County. I knew him when he was Supervisor. They are good people. The thing Doug didn't tell you that I want to tell you, is we wouldn't have a Rockland Community College today if it wasn't for Doug's grandfather. His grandfather was the deciding vote for Rockland Community College. That is how long his family has been dedicated to this County and to the people.

Chairman Wolfe

First I want to certainly acknowledge the efforts of the Chairman of the Budget and Finance Committee, Michael Grant. I remember the look he gave me when I asked him to Chair at the beginning of the year, it was not a good look, but he is someone that I had and continue to have tremendous confidence in. We couldn't do it without Nicole Doliner. She answers to seventeen people with different views and perspectives and different demands, requests and questions. She really is an unbelievable person. Remember, there is a Finance Department in this County. We have one Fiscal Analyst who is very special. I do want to thank the members of the committee and my colleagues who chose to be part of this process. The members of the public, my goodness, what an unbelievable groundswell in interest of what we do at the County Legislature. It is really amazing and I hope that your interests will continue and that you continue to be engaged with what is going on. I personally don't share one particular Legislator's contempt for this body and for the people who actually put in the time and the energy to make a difference.

Under our Charter the Executive's budget is merely a proposal, his vision for Rockland County for 2015. It is not sacrosanct. It certainly is not written in stone. It is only the first step in a larger process in crafting our budget. As you all know, much has been said about the County Executive's proposed budget. The layoffs, the cuts, and the property taxes, but as we conclude an intensive six week process I much prefer to focus on our vision for Rockland County and our judgment as to what is important to our neighbors.

So what exactly does this package of amendments say about our values? First I think it demonstrates our commitment to public safety by making significant restorations to the Sheriff's Department. No case has been made to us that supports the elimination of the Patrol Division, which we all understand shifts a significant financial burden to the towns and villages. I believe that the Patrol Division is an essential component of the overall law enforcement picture in Rockland County, and there is nobody in County government who has done more to cut costs than Sheriff Falco over the last few years. He is a dedicated public servant and an excellent manager. Thank you.

It shows that we understand the significant, positive impact that the not-for-profit agencies have on our community. Let's make no mistake, these are businesses that pay employees and those employees pay taxes and buy goods and services. Studies have shown that every \$1.00 in support turns into \$3.00 in economic value. That's a significant return on our investment. But, then there's the human impact. These agencies serve as a lifeline for the poor, the hungry, the abused, the disabled, and the dying, serving people from cradle to grave. They perform services that would otherwise fall onto County responsibility. Giving the agencies the tools to succeed helps our residents succeed. These are our values.

Our amendments demonstrate our commitment to economic development by restoring funding to the Rockland Economic Development Corporation. The REDC has been an instrumental part of our fiscal recovery, with a demonstrated track record of successes. This volunteer board of business and not for profit leaders has brought hundreds of millions of dollars in new investments and thousands of jobs to Rockland County. They deserve our continued support.

Amendments show our commitment to stay under the tax cap. This has particular importance this year, because of the availability of rebates to property taxpayers. Just two days ago we discovered that due to a miscalculation, the County Executive's proposed budget violated the tax cap by just over \$100,000. While the administration has disputed this finding, a debate over who is right and who is wrong is really a waste of time. It is moot, because our budget cuts the County Executive's proposed property tax increase by \$100,000 bringing it down to 1.9%. This ensures that we stay under the cap, regardless of who is right and who is wrong, and ensures that our taxpayers are qualified for the state rebate.

It shows our commitment to our employees by restoring many of the proposed layoffs, particularly in security and the laundry. Many of these employees already face the possibility of losing their jobs when and if we close on the sale of Summit Park, and have been on the chopping block three or four times already. I was surprised to discover that by restoring these two departments, we actually save money in 2015. Overall, we continue to reduce the size of the County employee roster to its lowest levels in 30 years.

It shows that we reject the concept of patronage and pay increases to favored employees. It's absolutely outrageous to blame layoffs and cuts on difficult financial times while proposing new positions and raises for members of the County Executive's inner circle. It's just wrong.

Our amendments demonstrate that we take deficit reduction seriously. The plan for eliminating our deficit was conceived by the Legislature several years ago, and we continue to take steps that balance the need to provide services to our resident with the cost to pay for them. \$5,000,000 is a balance, measured approach that balances these two issues.

During the past six weeks I've received hundreds and hundreds of letters, phone calls and e-mails about the budget. I have a pretty good sense at this point of what the people of Rockland County are looking for in 2015, what represents their values, their priorities, and their view of the balance that any budget should strike. It's my honor and privilege to support the amendments that reported out of the Budget and Finance Committee. Thank you.

VETO OVERRIDE PASSED ON DECEMBER 15, 2014

Introduced by:

Referral No. 9500

- Hon. Michael M. Grant, Sponsor
- Hon. Alden H. Wolfe, Co-Sponsor
- Hon. Ilan S. Schoenberger, Co-Sponsor
- Hon. Harriet D. Cornell, Co-Sponsor
- Hon. Toney L. Earl, Co-Sponsor
- Hon. Jay Hood, Jr., Co-Sponsor
- Hon. Nancy Low-Hogan, Co-Sponsor
- Hon. Philip Soskin, Co-Sponsor
- Hon. Frank Sparaco, Co-Sponsor

**RESOLUTION NO. 513 OF 2014
ADOPTION OF THE 2015 COUNTY BUDGET**

Mr. Grant offered the following resolution, which was seconded by Mrs. Cornell, Mr. Earl, Mr. Jobson, Mr. Kantrowitz, Mrs. Low-Hogan, Mr. Moroney, Mr. Murphy, Mrs. Paul, Mr. Schoenberger, Mr. Soskin, Mr. Sparaco, Mr. Wieder, Mr. Hood, Jr., and Mr. Wolfe and adopted:

WHEREAS, a public hearing has been held on the 2015 proposed County Budget; and

WHEREAS, The Budget and Finance Committee of the Legislature has met, considered and by a unanimous vote approved this resolution, however, it was approved within 120 hours of the full legislative meeting and was deemed an immediate need by its Chair, therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the proposed Rockland County Budget for the year 2015, as has been filed by the County Executive with such changes, alterations and revisions as made by the Budget and Finance Committee with the approval of the Legislature of Rockland County, and any other changes, alterations and revisions as may have been made by the Legislature of Rockland County, be and it is hereby adopted.

The vote resulted as follows:

- Ayes: 15 (Legislators Cornell, Earl, Grant, Jobson, Kantrowitz, Low-Hogan, Moroney, Murphy, Paul, Schoenberger, Soskin, Sparaco, Wieder, Hood, Jr., Wolfe)
- Nays: 02 (Legislators Carey, Meyers)

VETO OVERRIDE PASSED ON DECEMBER 15, 2014

Introduced by:

Referral No. 9500

Hon. Michael M. Grant, Sponsor
Hon. Alden H. Wolfe, Co-Sponsor
Hon. Ilan S. Schoenberger, Co-Sponsor
Hon. Harriet D. Cornell, Co-Sponsor
Hon. Toney L. Earl, Co-Sponsor
Hon. Jay Hood, Jr., Co-Sponsor
Hon. Nancy Low-Hogan, Co-Sponsor
Hon. Philip Soskin, Co-Sponsor
Hon. Frank Sparaco, Co-Sponsor

**RESOLUTION NO. 514 OF 2014
APPROPRIATION OF BUDGET ITEMS FOR 2015**

Mr. Grant offered the following resolution, which was seconded by Chairman Wolfe and unanimously adopted:

WHEREAS, the 2015 County Budget has been duly adopted; and

WHEREAS, The Budget and Finance Committee of the Legislature has met, considered and by a unanimous vote approved this resolution, however, it was approved within 120 hours of the full legislative meeting and was deemed an immediate need by its Chair, therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the several amounts specified in said Budget, be and they are hereby appropriated for the conduct of the County Government for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2015.

COUNTY OF ROCKLAND
 2015 BUDGET
 AMENDMENTS TO 2015 PROPOSED BUDGET
 As Adopted by Full Legislature 12/4/2014

Fund	Dist No.	Department Name	Object	Proj No.	Account or Title	Object Name & Description	APPROPRIATIONS			REVENUES		
							2015 PROPOSED BUDGET	2014 LEGISLATIVE APPROVED	2015 INC. (DEC.)	2015 PROPOSED BUDGET	2015 LEGISLATIVE APPROVED	2015 INC. (DEC.)
A	CA7681	Arts Council of Rockland, Inc.	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 42,750	\$ 42,750			\$ 42,750
A	CA4083	Association for Visually Impaired	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 162,165	\$ 162,165			\$ 162,165
A	CA8821	Big Brothers Big Sisters	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 100,745	\$ 100,745			\$ 100,745
A	CA4501	Bikur Cholim	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 85,500	\$ 85,500			\$ 85,500
A	CA4099	Brain Injury Association	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 1,570	\$ 1,570			\$ 1,570
A	CA8845	C.A.N.D.L.E.	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 27,290	\$ 27,290			\$ 27,290
A	CA8819	Campus Fun & Learn Center	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 2,780	\$ 2,780			\$ 2,780
A	CA4091	Cardinal Cooke Residence	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 5,345	\$ 5,345			\$ 5,345
A	CA8322	CASA	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 11,880	\$ 11,880			\$ 11,880
A	CA8330	Catholic Community Svc of RC	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 171,000	\$ 171,000			\$ 171,000
A	CA6360	CEJES Institute	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 6,625	\$ 6,625			\$ 6,625
A	CA4085	Center for Safety Change	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 66,500	\$ 66,500			\$ 66,500
A	CA8804	Child Care Resources of Rockland	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 127,040	\$ 127,040			\$ 127,040
A	CA8904	Child Care Resources of Rockland	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 60,275	\$ 60,275			\$ 60,275
A	CA7642	Chikwawa African Dance	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 1,540	\$ 1,540			\$ 1,540
A	CA8989	Christ Church of Suffern	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 2,000	\$ 2,000			\$ 2,000
A	CA8353	Clothes Work	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 1,285	\$ 1,285			\$ 1,285
A	CA8328	Community Improvement Council	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 171,000	\$ 171,000			\$ 171,000
A	CA8333	Community Outreach Center	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 94,905	\$ 94,905			\$ 94,905
A	CA8808	Community Outreach Center -Employ	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 36,000	\$ 36,000			\$ 36,000
A	CA8750	Cornel Coop Extension of Rockland	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 274,230	\$ 274,230			\$ 274,230
A	CA7642	County Choral Society	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 3,350	\$ 3,350			\$ 3,350
A	CA7635	Debra Weiss Dance Company	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 1,995	\$ 1,995			\$ 1,995
A	CA7541	Elmwood Community Playhouse	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 8,665	\$ 8,665			\$ 8,665
A	CA8817	EPIC	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 2,780	\$ 2,780			\$ 2,780
A	CA8940	Friends Help Friends	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 5,770	\$ 5,770			\$ 5,770
A	CA3513	Friends of Rockland	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 1,780	\$ 1,780			\$ 1,780
A	CA7518	Friends of the Nyacks	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 6,915	\$ 6,915			\$ 6,915
A	CA7654	Garnerville Arts	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 16,560	\$ 16,560			\$ 16,560
A	CA6310	Haitian-American Cultural Org	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 23,015	\$ 23,015			\$ 23,015
A	CA9527	Hatzolah EMS	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 5,770	\$ 5,770			\$ 5,770
A	CA7515	Hovenstraw Silk Museum	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 13,750	\$ 13,750			\$ 13,750
A	CA8317	Headstart of Rockland	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 100,875	\$ 100,875			\$ 100,875
A	CA3628	HELP	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 34,200	\$ 34,200			\$ 34,200
A	CA8327	Help from People to People	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 23,750	\$ 23,750			\$ 23,750
A	CA8994	Helping Hands	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 23,015	\$ 23,015			\$ 23,015
A	CA7630	Historical Society of Rockland	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 38,000	\$ 38,000			\$ 38,000
A	CA3510	Hi-Tec Animal Care	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 47,165	\$ 47,165			\$ 47,165
A	CA4087	Home Aides of Rockland	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 10,970	\$ 10,970			\$ 10,970
A	CA7655	Hopper House	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 3,325	\$ 3,325			\$ 3,325
A	CA7571	Hudson Vagabond Puppets	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 4,205	\$ 4,205			\$ 4,205
A	CA7330	India Cultural Society of Rockland	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 5,700	\$ 5,700			\$ 5,700
A	CA8780	Jewish Family Services of Rockland	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 21,660	\$ 21,660			\$ 21,660
A	CA8338	Jewish Federation of Rockland	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 13,965	\$ 13,965			\$ 13,965
A	CA8339	Joseph's Honor MIA Vet Shelter	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 13,395	\$ 13,395			\$ 13,395
A	CA8941	Keep Rockland Beautiful	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 54,385	\$ 54,385			\$ 54,385
A	CA8319	Kenbit Neg Lakay, Inc.	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 60,490	\$ 60,490			\$ 60,490
A	CA1171	Legal Aid Society	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 62,965	\$ 62,965			\$ 62,965
A	CA7410	Library Association of Rockland	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 41,965	\$ 41,965			\$ 41,965
A	CA8790	Literary Volunteers of Rockland	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 2,280	\$ 2,280			\$ 2,280
A	CA8313	Martin Luther King	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 78,445	\$ 78,445			\$ 78,445
A	CA8850	Mid-Hudson Community Council	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 27,930	\$ 27,930			\$ 27,930
A	CA7555	Morning Music Club	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 1,140	\$ 1,140			\$ 1,140
A	CA8343	NAACP - Spring Valley Branch	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 5,770	\$ 5,770			\$ 5,770
A	CA8324	NAACP-Nyack Branch	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 5,770	\$ 5,770			\$ 5,770
A	CA8853	New Beginnings Dignity	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 8,660	\$ 8,660			\$ 8,660
A	CA8314	Nyack Center	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 43,960	\$ 43,960			\$ 43,960
A	CA7568	Penguin Rap	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 2,500	\$ 2,500			\$ 2,500
A	CA8806	RC Family Treatment Court	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 15,105	\$ 15,105			\$ 15,105
A	CA8818	RC Worksite Day Care Center	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,000			\$ 20,000
A	CA8803	RCC Senior Citizens Club	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 4,705	\$ 4,705			\$ 4,705
A	CA7545	Riverfront Films	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 1,425	\$ 1,425			\$ 1,425
A	CA7550	Rockland Camerata	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 1,840	\$ 1,840			\$ 1,840
A	CA7321	Rockland Center for Holocaust	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 9,500	\$ 9,500			\$ 9,500
A	CA7562	Rockland Center for the Arts	5010			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 38,000	\$ 38,000			\$ 38,000
A	CA7562	Rockland Center for the Arts	5950			Contract Agency	\$ -	\$ 17,030	\$ 17,030			\$ 17,030

COUNTY OF ROCKLAND
2016 BUDGET
AMENDMENTS TO 2016 PROPOSED BUDGET
As Adopted by Full Legislature 12/4/2014

Fund	Dept No.	Department Name	Object	Pos. No.	Account or Title	Object Name & Description	APPROPRIATIONS			REVENUES		
							2016 PROPOSED BUDGET	2016 LEGISLATIVE APPROVED	2016 INC. (DEC.)	2016 PROPOSED BUDGET	2016 LEGISLATIVE APPROVED	2016 INC. (DEC.)
A	CA7531	Rockland Conservatory for Music	5010			Contract Agency	\$	-	\$	19,000	\$	19,000
A	CA8030	Rockland Housing Action Coalition	5010			Contract Agency	\$	-	\$	50,000	\$	50,000
A	CA8954	Rockland Interfaith Breakfast	5010			Contract Agency	\$	-	\$	5,675	\$	5,675
A	CA7536	Rockland Irish American Culture	5010			Contract Agency	\$	-	\$	14,465	\$	14,465
A	CA8868	Rockland Schools 21st Century	5010			Contract Agency	\$	-	\$	210,045	\$	210,045
A	CA7522	Rockland YM/YWHA	5010			Contract Agency	\$	-	\$	16,815	\$	16,815
A	CA7577	Rockland YMCA - Nyack	5010			Contract Agency	\$	-	\$	25,220	\$	25,220
A	CA7536	Rockland Youth Dance Ensemble	5010			Contract Agency	\$	-	\$	5,060	\$	5,060
A	CA6337	Stop FEAR Coalition	5010			Contract Agency	\$	-	\$	2,780	\$	2,780
A	CA7573	Therpe Senior Center	5010			Contract Agency	\$	-	\$	5,630	\$	5,630
A	CA8831	Touch	5010			Contract Agency	\$	-	\$	6,480	\$	6,480
A	CA4088	United Hospice of Rockland	5010			Contract Agency	\$	-	\$	95,000	\$	95,000
A	CA8031	Venture Foundation, Ltd.	5010			Contract Agency	\$	-	\$	50,660	\$	50,660
A	CA4083	Volunteer Action Center	5010			Contract Agency	\$	-	\$	13,965	\$	13,965
A	CA8820	Volunteer Counseling Service	5010			Contract Agency	\$	-	\$	171,000	\$	171,000
A	CA8816	West Street Day Care Center	5010			Contract Agency	\$	-	\$	37,000	\$	37,000
A	CA8998	Westchest Comm Opportunity	5010			Contract Agency	\$	-	\$	175,000	\$	175,000
A	6010	DSS - RODA Social Services	5350			Contract Agency	\$	-	\$	47,665	\$	47,665
A	6010	DSS - RCDC Humans Services	5350			Contract Agency	\$	-	\$	103,455	\$	103,455
A	6010	DSS - Ilkur Cholim - Comm Action	5550			Contract Agency	\$	-	\$	81,490	\$	81,490
A	6010	DSS - Share	5840			Contract Agency	\$	-	\$	171,000	\$	171,000
A	6010	DSS - RODA Youth	5841			Contract Agency	\$	-	\$	80,855	\$	80,855
A	6010	DSS - Ilkur Cholim Human Services	5940			Contract Agency	\$	-	\$	81,490	\$	81,490
A	6772	OFA - RCDC Senior Citizens	5490			Contract Agency	\$	-	\$	31,705	\$	31,705
A	6772	OFA - RODA Senior Citizens	5690			Contract Agency	\$	-	\$	73,175	\$	73,175
A	6772	OFA - Senior Citizen CDC	5681			Contract Agency	\$	-	\$	35,765	\$	35,765
A	1230	EXE - Office of the County Executive	1100	X8156	Deputy County Exec	Abolish and create as per RC Charter revision	\$	126,900	\$	(126,900)	\$	(126,900)
A	1230	EXE - Office of the County Executive	1100	N003	Dep. County Exec (Charter)	Abolish and create as per RC Charter revision	\$	-	\$	126,900	\$	126,900
A	1233	EXE - Rockland Economic Develop	5010			Contract Agency	\$	166,250	\$	332,500	\$	166,250
A	1237	EXE - Economic Development	4090			Fees for Services	\$	166,250	\$	-	\$	(166,250)
A	6630	Department of Transportation	1100	N001	ITS Manager	Reject New Position	\$	64,060	\$	-	\$	(64,060)
A	1230	Office of the County Executive	1100	N001	Coord Comm Rel & Prgs	Reject New Position	\$	85,000	\$	-	\$	(85,000)
A	1230	Office of the County Executive	1100	N002	Counsel to CE	Reject New Position	\$	98,400	\$	-	\$	(98,400)
A	1230	Office of the County Executive	1100	X8816	Director of Com Relations	Reject Abolishment	\$	-	\$	69,500	\$	(69,500)
A	1230	Office of the County Executive	1100	X9168	Dir Pub Pol & Intergov	Reject Abolishment	\$	-	\$	87,700	\$	(87,700)
A	1230	Office of the County Executive	1100	9169	Dir Strategic Communica	Reject lower salary - reject new pos in MIS	\$	79,000	\$	78,995	\$	(505)
A	8040	Human Rights Commission	1100	1122	Commissioner HR	Reject Raise	\$	99,960	\$	90,960	\$	(9,000)
A	1420	Department of Law	1100	N001	Principal Asst County Att	Reject New Position	\$	85,970	\$	-	\$	(85,970)
A	1420	Department of Law	1100	N004	Principal Asst County Att	Reject New Position	\$	85,970	\$	-	\$	(85,970)
A	1420	Department of Law	1100	N003	Principal Asst County Att	Reject New Position	\$	85,970	\$	-	\$	(85,970)
A	1420	Department of Law	1100	N002	Principal Asst County Att	Reject New Position	\$	85,970	\$	-	\$	(85,970)
A	1420	Department of Law	1100	N005	Conf Secy to CA	Reject New Position	\$	80,530	\$	-	\$	(80,530)
A	1420	Department of Law	1100	X9064	Exec Asst County Att	Restore proposed abolished position	\$	-	\$	155,520	\$	155,520
A	1420	Department of Law	1100	X9665	Chief Asst County Att	Restore proposed abolished position	\$	-	\$	109,462	\$	109,462
A	1430	Department of Personnel	1100	N001	Benefits Admin	Restore proposed abolished position	\$	-	\$	47,818	\$	47,818
A	1430	Department of Personnel	1100	8948	Conf Asst to Com Per	Restore a salary due to reject Benefits Admin	\$	65,970	\$	(65,970)	\$	(65,970)
A	3114	Sheriff-Computer Crime Unit	1100	N001	Forensic Inv Cord	Reject New Position	\$	113,330	\$	-	\$	(113,330)
A	3114	Sheriff-Computer Crime Unit	1100	X8576	Conf Inv Comp Crime	Reject Abolishment	\$	-	\$	103,026	\$	(103,026)
A	4010	Department of Health	4710		Allocation MIS	Reduce allocation - reject new position MIS	\$	420,000	\$	291,730	\$	(128,270)
A	1010	Legislature	7250		General Svc Alloc	Reduce allocation - reject new position Purchase	\$	194,900	\$	78,930	\$	(115,970)
A	1230	Office of the County Executive	7250		General Svc Alloc	Reduce allocation - balance salary saving DGS	\$	156,600	\$	121,700	\$	(34,900)
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1100	8612	Patrol Officer	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	-	\$	102,790	\$	102,790
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1100	8616	Patrol Officer	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	-	\$	92,890	\$	92,890
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1100	8603	Chief of Patrol	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	-	\$	188,406	\$	188,406
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1100	8629	Patrol Officer	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	-	\$	93,230	\$	93,230
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1100	8631	Patrol Officer	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	-	\$	58,765	\$	58,765
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1100	8633	Patrol Officer	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	-	\$	88,792	\$	88,792
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1100	8635	Patrol Officer	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	-	\$	66,216	\$	66,216
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1100	8643	Patrol Officer	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	-	\$	107,822	\$	107,822
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1100	8645	Patrol Officer	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	-	\$	43,558	\$	43,558

COUNTY OF ROCKLAND
 2015 BUDGET
 AMENDMENTS TO 2014 PROPOSED BUDGET
 As Adopted by Full Legislature 12/4/2014

Fund	Dept No.	Department Name	Object	Pos No.	Account or Title	Object Name & Description	APPROPRIATIONS			REVENUES			
							2014 PROPOSED BUDGET	2015 LEGISLATIVE APPROVED	2015 INC. (DEC.)	2014 PROPOSED BUDGET	2015 LEGISLATIVE APPROVED	2015 INC. (DEC.)	2015 INC. (DEC.)
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1100	0948	Patrol Officer	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	\$ 97,896	\$	\$	\$	\$ 97,896
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1100	2122	Patrol Lieutenant	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	148,936	\$	\$	\$	148,936
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1100	3793	Patrol Lieutenant	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	135,090	\$	\$	\$	135,090
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1100	5101	Patrol Officer	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	43,558	\$	\$	\$	43,558
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1100	5951	Patrol Sergeant	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	109,209	\$	\$	\$	109,209
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1100	5713	Patrol Officer	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	98,650	\$	\$	\$	98,650
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1100	5914	Patrol Officer	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	107,922	\$	\$	\$	107,922
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1100	6710	Patrol Sergeant	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	117,468	\$	\$	\$	117,468
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1100	6839	Patrol Captain	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	171,274	\$	\$	\$	171,274
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1100	7047	Patrol Officer	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	93,591	\$	\$	\$	93,591
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1100	7052	Patrol Sergeant	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	117,468	\$	\$	\$	117,468
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1100	7093	Patrol Officer	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	102,760	\$	\$	\$	102,760
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1100	7205	Patrol Officer	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	88,792	\$	\$	\$	88,792
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1100	7206	Patrol Officer	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	58,785	\$	\$	\$	58,785
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1100	7500	Patrol Officer	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	107,922	\$	\$	\$	107,922
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1100	7580	Patrol Officer	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	97,896	\$	\$	\$	97,896
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1100	7581	Patrol Officer	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	92,690	\$	\$	\$	92,690
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1100	7586	Patrol Officer	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	97,896	\$	\$	\$	97,896
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1100	8018	Patrol Officer	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	97,896	\$	\$	\$	97,896
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1100	8165	Patrol Officer	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	93,232	\$	\$	\$	93,232
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1100	8203	Patrol Sergeant	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	120,508	\$	\$	\$	120,508
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1100	8391	Patrol Officer	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	93,232	\$	\$	\$	93,232
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1100	8392	Patrol Officer	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	59,018	\$	\$	\$	59,018
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1100	8393	Patrol Sergeant	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	123,340	\$	\$	\$	123,340
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1100	8532	Patrol Officer	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	88,792	\$	\$	\$	88,792
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1100	8675	Patrol Officer	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	88,792	\$	\$	\$	88,792
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1100	8928	Patrol Officer	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	88,792	\$	\$	\$	88,792
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1100	2585	Accrual Buyout	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	(500,000)	\$	\$	\$	(500,000)
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1110	2599	Salary Savings	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	(200,000)	\$	\$	\$	(200,000)
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1110		Overtime	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	380,000	\$	\$	\$	380,000
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1800	5316Z	Patrol Officer Relief	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	125,000	\$	\$	\$	125,000
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1950		Social Security	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	297,150	\$	\$	\$	297,150
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1950		Workers Comp	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	95,600	\$	\$	\$	95,600
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	1980		Tuition	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	4,000	\$	\$	\$	4,000
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	3070		MTA Mobility Tax	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	14,440	\$	\$	\$	14,440
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	3110		Uniforms	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	24,000	\$	\$	\$	24,000
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	3111		Allocation Motor Fuel	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	120,500	\$	\$	\$	120,500
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	3112		Motor Fuel - External	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	2,000	\$	\$	\$	2,000
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	3120		Allocation - Auto Maint	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	23,500	\$	\$	\$	23,500
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	3130		Office Supplies	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	2,100	\$	\$	\$	2,100
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	3280		Printed Materials	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	200	\$	\$	\$	200
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	3300		Operational Supplies	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	25,000	\$	\$	\$	25,000
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	4021		Allocation - Copier	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	1,700	\$	\$	\$	1,700
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	4040		Travel/Extractions	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	20,000	\$	\$	\$	20,000
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	4080		Equipment Repairs	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	300	\$	\$	\$	300
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	4090		Fees for Services	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	6,000	\$	\$	\$	6,000
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	4210		Allocation - Repairs VEH	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	14,700	\$	\$	\$	14,700
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	4211		Repairs Vehicles - Ext	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	650	\$	\$	\$	650
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	4230		Dues	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	750	\$	\$	\$	750
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	4440		Allocation - Cell phones	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	3,000	\$	\$	\$	3,000
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	4808		Allocation - Telephone	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	9,800	\$	\$	\$	9,800
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	4814		Allocation - Insurance	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	12,700	\$	\$	\$	12,700
A	3112	Sheriff-Patrol	4850		Meals	Restore Sheriff Patrol	\$	\$	5,000	\$	\$	\$	5,000
A	3116	Sheriff-Mounted Patrol	1110		Overtime	Restore Sheriff Mounted Patrol	\$	\$	45,000	\$	\$	\$	45,000
A	3116	Sheriff-Mounted Patrol	1930		Social Security	Restore Sheriff Mounted Patrol	\$	\$	32,750	\$	\$	\$	32,750
A	3116	Sheriff-Mounted Patrol	1980		MTA Mobility Tax	Restore Sheriff Mounted Patrol	\$	\$	1,490	\$	\$	\$	1,490
A	3116	Sheriff-Mounted Patrol	3070		Uniforms	Restore Sheriff Mounted Patrol	\$	\$	3,000	\$	\$	\$	3,000
A	3116	Sheriff-Mounted Patrol	3290		Operational Supplies	Restore Sheriff Mounted Patrol	\$	\$	17,500	\$	\$	\$	17,500
A	3116	Sheriff-Mounted Patrol	4010		Rental of leased premises	Restore Sheriff Mounted Patrol	\$	\$	38,000	\$	\$	\$	38,000
A	3116	Sheriff-Mounted Patrol	4090		Fees for Services	Restore Sheriff Mounted Patrol	\$	\$	72,000	\$	\$	\$	72,000
A	3116	Sheriff-Mounted Patrol	4810		Utilities	Restore Sheriff Mounted Patrol	\$	\$	10,000	\$	\$	\$	10,000
A	3116	Sheriff-Mounted Patrol	4850		Meals	Restore Sheriff Mounted Patrol	\$	\$	1,000	\$	\$	\$	1,000
A	3150	Sheriff - Corrections	1111		Overtime - Standard	Reduce Overtime in Jail	\$	\$	1,750,000	\$	\$	\$	(1,750,000)
A	3150	Sheriff - Corrections	1113		Overtime - Training	Reduce Overtime in Jail	\$	\$	750,000	\$	\$	\$	(750,000)
A	1430	Department of Personnel	R2801		Insurance Admin-Interfund		\$	\$	309,450	\$	\$	\$	309,450

COUNTY OF ROCKLAND
2015 BUDGET
AMENDMENTS TO 2015 PROPOSED BUDGET
As Adopted by Full Legislature 12/4/2014

Fund	Dept No	Department Name	Obj/ct	Pos No	Account or Title	Object Name & Description	APPROPRIATIONS			REVENUES		
							2015 PROPOSED BUDGET	2015 LEGISLATIVE APPROVED	2015 INC. (DEC)	2015 PROPOSED BUDGET	2015 LEGISLATIVE APPROVED	2015 INC. (DEC)
A	1450	Board of Elections	1100	Z999	Salary Savings	Increase Salary Savings	\$ (20,000)	\$ (2,000)	\$ (2,000)			\$ (2,000)
A	1450	County Archivist	1100	Z999	Salary Savings	Increase Salary Savings	\$ (15,000)	\$ (17,000)	\$ (2,000)			\$ (2,000)
A	1165	District Attorney	1100	Z999	Salary Savings	Increase Salary Savings	\$ (100,000)	\$ (110,000)	\$ (10,000)			\$ (10,000)
A	3190	Drug Task Force	1100	Z999	Salary Savings	Increase Salary Savings	\$ (60,000)	\$ (60,000)	\$ (6,000)			\$ (6,000)
A	4010	Health Department	1100	Z999	Salary Savings	Increase Salary Savings	\$ (400,000)	\$ (460,000)	\$ (60,000)			\$ (60,000)
A	5630	Transportation	1100	Z999	Salary Savings	Increase Salary Savings	\$ (60,000)	\$ (60,000)	\$ (6,000)			\$ (6,000)
A	6010	Social Services	1100	Z999	Salary Savings	Increase Salary Savings	\$ (400,000)	\$ (440,000)	\$ (40,000)			\$ (40,000)
A	8050	Environmental Resources	1100	Z999	Salary Savings	Increase Salary Savings	\$ (25,000)	\$ (26,000)	\$ (3,000)			\$ (3,000)
A	1325	Finance	1100	Z999	Salary Savings	Increase Salary Savings	\$ (30,000)	\$ (33,000)	\$ (3,000)			\$ (3,000)
A	1040	Clark to Legislature	1100	Z999	Salary Savings	Increase Salary Savings	\$ (10,000)	\$ (11,500)	\$ (1,500)			\$ (1,500)
A	1170	Public Defender	1100	Z999	Salary Savings	Increase Salary Savings	\$ (30,000)	\$ (33,000)	\$ (3,000)			\$ (3,000)
A	1430	Personnel	1100	Z999	Salary Savings	Increase Salary Savings	\$ (16,000)	\$ (17,000)	\$ (2,000)			\$ (2,000)
A	8020	Planning	1100	Z999	Salary Savings	Increase Salary Savings	\$ (16,000)	\$ (17,000)	\$ (2,000)			\$ (2,000)
A	3140	Probation	1100	Z999	Salary Savings	Increase Salary Savings	\$ (40,000)	\$ (44,000)	\$ (4,000)			\$ (4,000)
A	3106	Sheriff - Transport Unit	1100	Z999	Salary Savings	Increase Salary Savings	\$ (10,000)	\$ (11,000)	\$ (1,000)			\$ (1,000)
A	3111	Sheriff - B.C.I.	1100	Z999	Salary Savings	Increase Salary Savings	\$ (60,000)	\$ (65,000)	\$ (5,000)			\$ (5,000)
A	3113	Sheriff - River Patrol	1100	Z999	Salary Savings	Increase Salary Savings	\$ (80,000)	\$ (85,000)	\$ (5,000)			\$ (5,000)
A	3117	Sheriff - Intelligence	1100	Z999	Salary Savings	Increase Salary Savings	\$ (10,000)	\$ (11,000)	\$ (1,000)			\$ (1,000)
A	3118	Sheriff - RC Police Info	1100	Z999	Salary Savings	Increase Salary Savings	\$ (35,000)	\$ (38,500)	\$ (3,500)			\$ (3,500)
A	3150	Sheriff - Jail	1100	Z999	Salary Savings	Increase Salary Savings	\$ (750,000)	\$ (825,000)	\$ (75,000)			\$ (75,000)
A	6810	Consumer Affairs	1100	Z999	Salary Savings	Increase Salary Savings	\$ (10,000)	\$ (12,000)	\$ (2,000)			\$ (2,000)
A	AJD1320	County Auditor	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 26,100	\$ 25,550	\$ (550)			\$ (520)
A	BOE1450	Board of Elections	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 389,200	\$ 381,510	\$ (7,690)			\$ (7,690)
A	CD1280	Community Development	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 46,300	\$ 45,380	\$ (920)			\$ (920)
A	CLK1410	County Clerk	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 566,100	\$ 548,900	\$ (17,200)			\$ (17,200)
A	DA1165	District Attorney	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 517,900	\$ 507,500	\$ (10,400)			\$ (10,400)
A	DA3190	Narcotics Task Force	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 132,700	\$ 130,000	\$ (2,700)			\$ (2,700)
A	DOH1185	DOH-Medical Examiner	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 136,800	\$ 134,600	\$ (2,200)			\$ (2,200)
A	DOH4010	Department of Health	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 1,761,000	\$ 1,725,700	\$ (35,300)			\$ (35,300)
A	DOH4035	DOH-Family Health Services	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 32,200	\$ 31,500	\$ (700)			\$ (700)
A	DOT5630	Department of Public Transportation	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 285,700	\$ 279,300	\$ (6,400)			\$ (6,400)
A	DSB0110	Department of Social Services	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 4,199,800	\$ 4,114,800	\$ (85,000)			\$ (85,000)
A	EME3020	EME-ES11	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 25,200	\$ 24,700	\$ (500)			\$ (500)
A	EME3410	EME-Fire & Emergency Services	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 526,900	\$ 516,300	\$ (10,600)			\$ (10,600)
A	ENR6050	Environmental Resources	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 112,600	\$ 110,350	\$ (2,250)			\$ (2,250)
A	EXE1230	Office of the County Executive	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 159,900	\$ 163,700	\$ (3,800)			\$ (3,800)
A	EXE1237	Office of Economic	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 43,200	\$ 42,400	\$ (800)			\$ (800)
A	EXE1340	Budget and Management	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 92,500	\$ 90,850	\$ (1,650)			\$ (1,650)
A	EXE4250	Stop DMV	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 14,100	\$ 13,820	\$ (280)			\$ (280)
A	FIN1325	Department of Finance	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 342,900	\$ 336,000	\$ (6,900)			\$ (6,900)
A	HRCB040	Human Rights Commission	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 33,200	\$ 32,500	\$ (700)			\$ (700)
A	INS1910	Department of Insurance	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 92,500	\$ 90,600	\$ (1,900)			\$ (1,900)
A	LAW1420	Department of Law/County Attorney	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 216,200	\$ 210,800	\$ (5,400)			\$ (5,400)
A	LEG1010	Legislative Board	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 164,900	\$ 161,800	\$ (3,100)			\$ (3,100)
A	LEG1040	Clerk of the Legislative Board	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 164,000	\$ 160,700	\$ (3,300)			\$ (3,300)
A	OFA6772	Office for the Aging	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 198,100	\$ 194,100	\$ (4,000)			\$ (4,000)
A	PDF1170	Public Defender	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 178,000	\$ 174,400	\$ (3,600)			\$ (3,600)
A	PER1430	Department of Personnel	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 369,000	\$ 361,600	\$ (7,400)			\$ (7,400)
A	PLN6020	Planning	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 233,400	\$ 228,700	\$ (4,700)			\$ (4,700)
A	PRO3140	Probation	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 369,800	\$ 361,600	\$ (8,200)			\$ (8,200)
A	SHP3108	Sheriff - Administration	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 826,600	\$ 813,100	\$ (13,500)			\$ (13,500)
A	SHP3110	Sheriff - Civil Division	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 74,400	\$ 72,600	\$ (1,800)			\$ (1,800)
A	SHP3111	Sheriff - B.C.I.	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 109,600	\$ 107,100	\$ (2,500)			\$ (2,500)
A	SHP3112	Sheriff - Patrol	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 395,200	\$ 387,200	\$ (8,000)			\$ (8,000)
A	SHP3115	Sheriff - Communications	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 59,300	\$ 57,100	\$ (2,200)			\$ (2,200)
A	SHP3120	Sheriff - RC Police Academy	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 25,200	\$ 24,700	\$ (500)			\$ (500)
A	SHP3150	Sheriff - Jail	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 1,377,800	\$ 1,359,800	\$ (18,000)			\$ (18,000)
A	VET8510	Veterans' Services	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 17,100	\$ 16,900	\$ (200)			\$ (200)
A	WM6610	Consumer Affairs	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 101,600	\$ 99,500	\$ (2,100)			\$ (2,100)
A	YB1260	Youth Bureau	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 142,800	\$ 139,900	\$ (2,900)			\$ (2,900)

COUNTY OF ROCKLAND
2015 BUDGET
AMENDMENTS TO 2015 PROPOSED BUDGET
As Adopted by Full Legislature 12/4/2014

Fund	Dept. No.	Department Name	Object	Pos. No.	Account Title	Object Name & Description	APPROPRIATIONS			REVENUES		
							2015 PROPOSED BUDGET	2015 LEGISLATIVE APPROVED	2015 INC. (DEC.)	2015 PROPOSED BUDGET	2015 LEGISLATIVE APPROVED	2015 INC. (DEC.)
A	4010	Health Department	1100	3312	Public Health Admin	Adjust Salary to counter abolished	\$ 98,312	132,726	\$ 34,414			\$ 34,414
A	1325	Finance Department	1100	X7984	Operations Analyst	Abolish position	\$ 132,726	-	\$ (132,726)			\$ (132,726)
A	4010	Health Department	1100	8834	Program Special PH Ed	Abolish Vacant Position	\$ 37,962	-	\$ (37,962)			\$ (37,962)
A	4010	Health Department	1100	8916	Early Intervention Asst	Abolish Vacant Position	\$ 63,274	-	\$ (63,274)			\$ (63,274)
A	4010	Health Department	1100	8996	Medical Clerk Typist	Abolish Vacant Position	\$ 47,819	-	\$ (47,819)			\$ (47,819)
A	6010	Social Services	1100	9059	Eligibility Liason Asst	Abolish Vacant Position	\$ 52,135	-	\$ (52,135)			\$ (52,135)
A	6010	Social Services	1100	9139	Account Specialist	Abolish Vacant Position	\$ 55,132	-	\$ (55,132)			\$ (55,132)
A	6010	Social Services	1100	1666	Social Welfare Exam	Abolish Vacant Position	\$ 46,650	-	\$ (46,650)			\$ (46,650)

COUNTY OF ROCKLAND
2015 BUDGET
AMENDMENTS TO 2015 PROPOSED BUDGET
As Adopted by Full Legislature 12/4/2014

Fund	Dept No.	Department Name	Object	Pos No.	Account or Title	Object Name & Description	APPROPRIATIONS			REVENUES				
							2015 PROPOSED BUDGET	2015 LEGISLATIVE APPROVED	2015 INC. (DEC.)	2015 PROPOSED BUDGET	2015 LEGISLATIVE APPROVED	2015 INC. (DEC.)	2015 INC. (DEC.)	
A	UNC 1990	Contingency	6053			Designated for fund balance	\$ 10,000,000	5,000,000	\$ (5,000,000)			\$ (5,000,000)		
A	UNC 1990	Contingency	1940			Unemployment Insurance	\$ 700,000	100,000	\$ (600,000)			\$ (600,000)		
A	UNC 1990	Contingency	6050			Contingency	\$ 650,000	400,000	\$ (250,000)			\$ (250,000)		
A	TRF9522	Contribution to County Road (D) Fund	9010			Contribution - to balance fund	10,788,555	10,870,592	82,037			\$ 82,037		
A	TRF9524	Contribution to Road Machinery (DM) Fund	9010			Contribution - to balance fund	1,656,965	1,545,145	(111,820)			\$ (11,820)		
A	TRF9525	Contribution to Hospital (EH) Fund	9010			Contribution - to balance fund	10,940,980	10,102,691	(838,289)			\$ (838,289)		
A	TRF9525	Contribution to Hospital (EH) Fund	9031			Interfund Transfer DMH Jail	-	-	-			\$ -		
A	UNC1810	Unallocable General Services	4240			Unallocable General Services - to balance fund	-	9,665	9,665			\$ 9,665		
A	FUND TOTAL							\$ 36,588,544	\$ 34,471,240	\$ (2,117,304)	\$ 309,485	\$ 322,150	\$ 12,700	\$ (109,004)

COUNTY OF ROCKLAND
2016 BUDGET
AMENDMENTS TO 2015 PROPOSED BUDGET
As Adopted by Full Legislature 12/4/2014

Fund	Dept No.	Department Name	Object	Row No.	Account or Title	Object Name & Description	APPROPRIATIONS			REVENUES		
							2015 PROPOSED BUDGET	2015 LEGISLATIVE APPROVED	2015 INC. (DEC.)	2015 PROPOSED BUDGET	2015 LEGISLATIVE APPROVED	2015 INC. (DEC.)
D	5110	Highway - Main Roads	1100	Z999	Salary Savings	Increase Salary Savings	\$ (175,000)	\$ (194,000)	\$ (19,000)			\$ (19,000)
D	5110	Highway - Main Roads	1100	N001	Motor Equip Op III	Reject New Position	\$ 52,865	\$ -	\$ (52,865)			\$ (52,865)
D	5110	Highway - Main Roads	1100	N002	Laborer I	Reject New Position	\$ 42,705	\$ -	\$ (42,705)			\$ (42,705)
D	5120	Highway - Drainage	1100	X7855	Prin Engineer Tech	Reject abolishment	\$ -	\$ 87,546	\$ 87,546			\$ 87,546
D	5120	Highway - Drainage	1100	X7858	Engineer III	Reject abolishment	\$ -	\$ 118,591	\$ 118,591			\$ 118,591
D	CRF5010	Highway-Administration	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 475,700	\$ 468,200	\$ (8,500)			\$ (8,500)
D												\$ -
D		Contribution from General (A) Fund	R2810			Contribution - to balance fund				10,788,555	10,870,592	82,037
FUND TOTAL							\$ 394,300	\$ 478,337	\$ 82,037	\$ 10,788,555	\$ 10,870,592	\$ 82,037
DM	RMF5130	Road Machinery	1100	Z999	Salary Savings	Increase Salary Savings		\$ (11,000)	\$ (11,000)			\$ (11,000)
DM	RMF5130	Road Machinery	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 41,200	\$ 40,380	\$ (820)			\$ (820)
DM		Contribution from General (A) Fund				Contribution - to balance fund				1,550,055	1,545,145	(11,820)
FUND TOTAL							\$ 41,200	\$ 29,380	\$ (11,820)	\$ 1,550,055	\$ 1,545,145	\$ (11,820)
EH	HSP625	HSP-Laundry	1100	1271	Laundry Worker I	Reject Outsourcing HSP Laundry	\$ 4,102	\$ 39,682	\$ 35,580			\$ 35,580
EH	HSP625	HSP-Laundry	1100	1272	Laundry Worker I	Reject Outsourcing HSP Laundry	\$ 3,645	\$ 35,275	\$ 31,630			\$ 31,630
EH	HSP625	HSP-Laundry	1100	1273	Laundry Worker I	Reject Outsourcing HSP Laundry	\$ 3,505	\$ 35,135	\$ 31,630			\$ 31,630
EH	HSP625	HSP-Laundry	1100	1277	Laundry Worker I	Reject Outsourcing HSP Laundry	\$ 3,754	\$ 38,324	\$ 32,570			\$ 32,570
EH	HSP625	HSP-Laundry	1100	1278	Laundry Worker I	Reject Outsourcing HSP Laundry	\$ 3,754	\$ 38,324	\$ 32,570			\$ 32,570
EH	HSP625	HSP-Laundry	1100	1780	Laundry Worker III	Reject Outsourcing HSP Laundry	\$ 4,710	\$ 45,810	\$ 40,600			\$ 40,600
EH	HSP625	HSP-Laundry	1100	2887	Laundry Worker I	Reject Outsourcing HSP Laundry	\$ 3,595	\$ 34,595	\$ 30,940			\$ 30,940
EH	HSP625	HSP-Laundry	1100	5945	Laundry Worker I	Reject Outsourcing HSP Laundry	\$ 3,754	\$ 38,324	\$ 32,570			\$ 32,570
EH	HSP625	HSP-Laundry	1100	7646	Laundry Worker III	Reject Outsourcing HSP Laundry	\$ 6,200	\$ 50,280	\$ 45,080			\$ 45,080
EH	HSP625	HSP-Laundry	1100	7785	Laundry Worker I	Reject Outsourcing HSP Laundry	\$ 3,754	\$ 38,324	\$ 32,570			\$ 32,570
EH	HSP625	HSP-Laundry	1100	8787	Laundry Worker I	Reject Outsourcing HSP Laundry	\$ 3,695	\$ 34,595	\$ 30,940			\$ 30,940
EH	HSP625	HSP-Laundry	1100	2065	Accrual Buyout	Reject Outsourcing HSP Laundry	\$ 213,000	\$ -	\$ (213,000)			\$ (213,000)
EH	HSP625	HSP-Laundry	1110		Overtime	Reject Outsourcing HSP Laundry	\$ -	\$ 18,000	\$ 18,000			\$ 18,000
EH	HSP625	HSP-Laundry	1920		Retirement	Reject Outsourcing HSP Laundry	\$ 77,000	\$ 120,000	\$ 43,000			\$ 43,000
EH	HSP625	HSP-Laundry	1930		Social Security	Reject Outsourcing HSP Laundry	\$ 21,520	\$ 41,285	\$ 19,765			\$ 19,765
EH	HSP625	HSP-Laundry	3070		Uniforms	Reject Outsourcing HSP Laundry	\$ 60	\$ 250	\$ 200			\$ 200
EH	HSP625	HSP-Laundry	3290		Operational Supplies	Reject Outsourcing HSP Laundry	\$ 5,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 15,000			\$ 15,000
EH	HSP625	HSP-Laundry	3290		Program Cost	Reject Outsourcing HSP Laundry	\$ 498,000	\$ -	\$ (498,000)			\$ (498,000)
EH	HSP625	HSP-Laundry	R1210		COBRA	Reject Outsourcing HSP Laundry	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	98,000	(98,000)	\$ -
EH	HSP6913	Hospital Nursing Administration	1100	1765	Supervising Nurse	Abolish Vacant Position	\$ 107,534	\$ -	\$ (107,534)			\$ (107,534)
EH	HSP6907	HSP - Social Work	1100	3226	Medical Soc Work Super	Abolish Vacant Position	\$ 64,064	\$ -	\$ (64,064)			\$ (64,064)
EH	HSP6908	HSP - Adult Day Health Center	1100	7421	Activities Aide	Abolish Vacant Position	\$ 38,324	\$ -	\$ (38,324)			\$ (38,324)
EH	HSP6922	HSP - Nursing SPH	1100	1292	Nurses Aide Inst	Abolish Vacant Position	\$ 33,182	\$ -	\$ (33,182)			\$ (33,182)
EH	HSP6922	HSP - Nursing SPH	1100	3008	Nurses Aide Inst	Abolish Vacant Position	\$ 33,182	\$ -	\$ (33,182)			\$ (33,182)
EH	HSP6928	HSP - Nursing SNF (Nursing Home)	1100	1255	Head Nurse	Abolish Vacant Position	\$ 78,848	\$ -	\$ (78,848)			\$ (78,848)
EH	HSP6928	HSP - Nursing SNF (Nursing Home)	1100	1304	Nurses Aide Incl	Abolish Vacant Position	\$ 33,182	\$ -	\$ (33,182)			\$ (33,182)
EH	HSP6928	HSP - Nursing SNF (Nursing Home)	1100	1343	Practical Nurse Inst	Abolish Vacant Position	\$ 41,844	\$ -	\$ (41,844)			\$ (41,844)
EH	HSP6928	HSP - Nursing SNF (Nursing Home)	1100	6904	Asst Head Nurse	Abolish Vacant Position	\$ 87,105	\$ -	\$ (87,105)			\$ (87,105)
EH	M110	DMH Pomona Clinic	1100	Z999	Salary Savings	Increase Salary Savings	\$ (8,000)	\$ (8,000)	\$ (8,000)			\$ (8,000)
EH	4080	HSP - Jail	1100	Z999	Salary Savings	Increase Salary Savings	\$ (10,000)	\$ (11,000)	\$ (1,000)			\$ (1,000)
EH	E922	HSP - Nursing SPH	1100	Z999	Salary Savings	Increase Salary Savings	\$ (100,000)	\$ (110,000)	\$ (10,000)			\$ (10,000)
EH	E928	HSP - Nursing SNF	1100	Z999	Salary Savings	Increase Salary Savings	\$ (100,000)	\$ (110,000)	\$ (10,000)			\$ (10,000)
EH	DMH-M110	DMH-Pomona Clinic	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 295,800	\$ 280,850	\$ (14,950)			\$ (14,950)
EH	DMH-M769	DMH-Jail Behavioral Hth	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 31,200	\$ 30,580	\$ (620)			\$ (620)
EH	DMH-M760	DMH-Forensic Advocacy Services	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 25,200	\$ 24,700	\$ (500)			\$ (500)
EH	DMH-M870	DMH-Monitor & Evaluation	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 12,100	\$ 11,850	\$ (250)			\$ (250)
EH	DMH-M890	DMH-Local Government Unit	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 32,200	\$ 31,560	\$ (640)			\$ (640)

COUNTY OF ROCKLAND
2016 BUDGET
AMENDMENTS TO 2016 PROPOSED BUDGET
As Adopted by Full Legislature 12/4/2014

Fund	Dept No.	Department Name	Object	Pos No.	Account or Title	Object Name & Description	APPROPRIATIONS			REVENUES				
							2016 PROPOSED BUDGET	2016 LEGISLATIVE APPROVED	2016 INC. (DEC.)	2016 PROPOSED BUDGET	2016 LEGISLATIVE APPROVED	2016 INC. (DEC.)	2016 INC. (DEC.)	
EH	DHF-M969	DHF-Administration & Retirees	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 321,800	\$ 315,360	\$ (6,440)			\$ (6,440)		
EH	HSP1060	Hospita-Jail Hfn Svcs	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 76,500	\$ 74,970	\$ (1,530)			\$ (1,530)		
EH	HSP2301	Hospita-Administration	7250		Allocation - Gen Svcs	Reduce allocation for Utilities Savings	\$ 6,810,900	\$ 6,674,680	\$ (136,220)			\$ (136,220)		
EH	4682	Contribution from General (A) Fund	R2810			Contribution - to balance fund				16,948,990	16,102,891	(844,289)	844,289	
EH	FUND TOTAL							\$ 8,687,644	\$ 7,748,355	\$ (942,289)	\$ 17,044,980	\$ 16,102,891	\$ (942,289)	\$
M	DGS222	DGS - Purchasing	1100	N001	Asst Dir of Purchasing	Reject New Position	85,970	-	(85,970)			(85,970)		
M	DGS2800	DGS- Unallocable Revenue	R2808		Interfund Revenues					23,240,000	22,669,730	(570,270)	570,270	
M	DGS2300	DGS- MIS	1100	N001	Pub Rel & Media Sys	Reject New Position	98,800	-	(98,800)			(98,800)		
M	DGS2300	DGS- MS	1100	N002	Network Admin	Reject New Position	73,110	-	(73,110)			(73,110)		
M	DGS2300	DGS- MIS	1100	X3358	Mgmt Analyst Progr	Reject September abolishment	50,355	73,700	23,345			23,345		
M	DGS2300	DGS- MIS	1100	X5911	Manager Comp Ops	Reject September abolishment	71,823	112,248	40,425			40,425		
M	DGS2300	DGS- MIS	1100	X7750	System Svcs Spec	Reject September abolishment	44,600	69,700	25,100			25,100		
M	DGS2300	DGS- MIS	1100	X7893	System Svcs Spec	Reject September abolishment	38,780	60,610	21,830			21,830		
M	DGS2300	DGS- MIS	1100	2688	Accrual Buyout	Reject September abolishment	72,000	-	(72,000)			(72,000)		
M	DGS2300	DGS- MIS	R2699		Allocation MIS	balance to fund				1,375,000	1,248,790	(126,210)	126,210	
M	DGS247	DGS Security	1100	1674	Security Aide	Reject Outsourcing DGS Security	8,258	60,483	54,205			54,205		
M	DGS247	DGS Security	1100	2289	Watchman PT	Reject Outsourcing DGS Security	1,740	18,740	15,000			15,000		
M	DGS247	DGS Security	1100	2290	Watchman PT	Reject Outsourcing DGS Security	1,740	18,740	15,000			15,000		
M	DGS247	DGS Security	1100	2320	Security Aide	Reject Outsourcing DGS Security	8,258	60,483	54,205			54,205		
M	DGS247	DGS Security	1100	2624a	Security Aide	Reject Outsourcing DGS Security	3,430	33,130	29,700			29,700		
M	DGS247	DGS Security	1100	3022	Security Aide	Reject Outsourcing DGS Security	5,715	55,220	49,505			49,505		
M	DGS247	DGS Security	1100	5048	Security Aide	Reject Outsourcing DGS Security	5,976	57,796	51,790			51,790		
M	DGS247	DGS Security	1100	5049	Security Aide	Reject Outsourcing DGS Security	5,976	57,796	51,790			51,790		
M	DGS247	DGS Security	1100	6226	Sr Watchman PT	Reject Outsourcing DGS Security	2,631	25,418	22,785			22,785		
M	DGS247	DGS Security	1100	7757	Security Aide	Reject Outsourcing DGS Security	5,715	55,220	49,505			49,505		
M	DGS247	DGS Security	1100	7768	Security Aide	Reject Outsourcing DGS Security	5,976	57,796	51,790			51,790		
M	DGS247	DGS Security	1100	8076a	Security Aide	Reject Outsourcing DGS Security	3,395	32,785	29,390			29,390		
M	DGS247	DGS Security	1100	8076b	Security Aide	Reject Outsourcing DGS Security	2,256	21,859	19,603			19,603		
M	DGS247	DGS Security	1100	8422	Security Aide	Reject Outsourcing DGS Security	5,715	55,220	49,505			49,505		
M	DGS247	DGS Security	1100	2866	Accrual Buyout	Reject Outsourcing DGS Security	247,800	-	(247,800)			(247,800)		
M	DGS247	DGS Security	1110		Overtime	Reject Outsourcing DGS Security	-	100,000	100,000			100,000		
M	DGS247	DGS Security	1800	23722	Watchman RLF	Reject Outsourcing DGS Security	3,240	31,250	28,010			28,010		
M	DGS247	DGS Security	1800	82922	Security Aide RLF	Reject Outsourcing DGS Security	38,190	367,750	329,600			329,600		
M	DGS247	DGS Security	1910		Insurance	Reject Outsourcing DGS Security	173,100	220,000	46,900			46,900		
M	DGS247	DGS Security	1930		Social Security	Reject Outsourcing DGS Security	14,435	90,520	76,085			76,085		
M	DGS247	DGS Security	3070		Uniforms	Reject Outsourcing DGS Security	3,000	7,000	4,000			4,000		
M	DGS247	DGS Security	3290		Operational Supplies	Reject Outsourcing DGS Security	-	1,000	1,000			1,000		
M	DGS247	DGS Security	5080		Program Costs	Reject Outsourcing DGS Security	958,000	-	(958,000)			(958,000)		
M	DGS247	DGS Security	R1210		COBRA	Reject Outsourcing DGS Security	-	-	-	88,000		(88,000)	88,000	
M	DGS247	DGS-Automotive	3112		Clearing A/C Motor Fuel	Restore Sheriff Patrol - Motor Fuel Allocation	489,700	620,200	130,500			130,500		
M	DGS247	DGS-Automotive	3122		Clearing A/C Auto Main S	Restore Sheriff Patrol - Auto Main Supp Allocat	95,000	118,500	23,500			23,500		
M	DGS247	DGS-Automotive	4212		Clearing A/C Repair Veh	Restore Sheriff Patrol - Repair Vehicle Allocation	178,000	192,700	14,700			14,700		
M	DGS247	DGS-Automotive	R2820		Clearing A/C Repair Veh	Revenue				178,000	182,700	14,700		
M	DGS247	DGS-Automotive	R2886		Clearing A/C Motor Fuel	Revenue				489,700	620,200	130,500		
M	DGS247	DGS-Automotive	R2857		Clearing A/C Auto Main S	Revenue				95,000	118,500	23,500		
M	DGS222	DGS - Purchasing	3760		Clearing A/C Copiers	Restore Sheriff Patrol - Copier Allocation	280,000	291,700	1,700			1,700		
M	DGS222	DGS - Purchasing	R2889		Clearing A/C Copiers	Revenue				280,000	291,700	1,700		
M	DGS263	DGS - Telephone	3771		Clearing A/C Cell Phones	Restore Sheriff Patrol - Cell Phone Allocation	105,200	108,200	3,000			3,000		
M	DGS263	DGS - Telephone	3773		Clearing A/C Telephones	Restore Sheriff Patrol - Telephone Allocation	935,450	946,250	9,800			9,800		
M	DGS263	DGS - Telephone	R2622		Clearing A/C Telephones	Revenue				938,450	946,250	9,800		
M	DGS263	DGS - Telephone	R2857		Clearing A/C Cell Phones	Revenue				105,200	108,200	3,000		
M	DGS2300	DGS - MIS	1100	2999	Salary Savings	Increase Salary Savings	(47,000)	(51,700)	(4,700)			(4,700)		
M	DGS241	DGS - Facilities Admin	1100	2999	Salary Savings	Increase Salary Savings	(80,000)	(89,000)	(9,000)			(9,000)		
M	DGS242	DGS - Utility Plant	1100	2999	Salary Savings	Increase Salary Savings	(15,000)	(18,500)	(3,500)			(3,500)		
M	DGS243	DGS - Grounds	1100	2999	Salary Savings	Increase Salary Savings	(10,000)	(11,000)	(1,000)			(1,000)		
M	DGS245	DGS - Maintenance	1100	2999	Salary Savings	Increase Salary Savings	(80,000)	(85,000)	(5,000)			(5,000)		

COUNTY OF ROCKLAND
2016 BUDGET
ASSIGNING 2016 PROPOSED BUDGET
As Adopted by Full Legislature 12/4/2014

Fund	Dept/Div	Department Name	Object	Fund	Account or Item	Object Name & Description	APPROPRIATIONS		REVENUES		2015 NC (DEB)		
							2015 PROPOSED BUDGET	2016 LEGISLATIVE APPROVED	2015 NC (DEB)	2016 LEGISLATIVE APPROVED			
M	DOS346	DOS - Housing	7100	2899	Salary Savings	Increase Salary Savings	\$ (150,000)	\$ (150,000)	\$ (150,000)	\$ (150,000)	\$ (150,000)		
M	DOS242	DOS-Utility Part	4910		Utilities	Utilities Savings	\$ 3,800,000	\$ 3,500,000	\$ (448,100)	\$ (448,100)	\$ (448,100)		
M	DOS200	DOS-Localwide Revenue Dist	72300			Contribution - to balance fund			\$ 9,665	\$ 9,665	\$ (8,956)		
FUND TOTAL							\$ 2,948,227	\$ 2,849,665	\$ (601,816)	\$ 2,949,540	\$ 2,850,728	\$ (90,812)	
Q	PER809	Unemployment Insurance	8010			Employee Benefits	\$ 700,000	\$ 100,000	\$ (600,000)	\$ 700,000	\$ 100,000	\$ (600,000)	
Q	PER809	Unemployment Insurance	82508			Unfund Revenue			\$ 700,000	\$ 100,000	\$ (600,000)	\$ 600,000	
FUND TOTAL							\$ 700,000	\$ 100,000	\$ (600,000)	\$ 700,000	\$ 100,000	\$ (600,000)	
Grand Total							\$ 75,871,845	\$ 73,970,044	\$ (2,116,897)	\$ 77,244,000	\$ 6,444,313	\$ (2,662,887)	\$ (100,000)
A	UNCR80	Real Property Taxes	R1001			To balance above			\$ 100,000,000	\$ 107,979,378	\$ (100,000)	\$ (100,000)	

COUNTY OF ROCKLAND
 REPORT OF THE BUDGET & FINANCE AND PLANNING & PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE
 AMENDMENTS TO THE 2015 PROPOSED CAPITAL BUDGET
 LEGISLATIVE RESOLUTIONS & TECHNICAL CHANGES
 As Adopted by Full Legislature 12/4/2014

SCHEDULE D

PROJECT	2015 PROPOSED CAPITAL BUDGET	PROPOSED	APPROP. INC. (DEC.)	RECOMMENDED CHANGES 2015 CAPITAL BUDGET	
				OPERATING FUNDS (STATE/ FED/MTA)	CAPITAL FUNDS
Schedule III					
Current Projects - Funded & Unfunded - Sewer					
6295 Pump Station Emergency Generator Replacement As per Resolution #484 & 490 - 2014	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 9,650,000	\$ 6,650,000	\$ -	\$ 6,650,000
<hr/>					
TOTAL TECHNICAL CHANGES - CAPITAL BUDGET	3,000,000	9,650,000	6,650,000	-	6,650,000

COUNTY OF ROCKLAND
 REPORT OF THE BUDGET & FINANCE AND PLANNING & PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEES
 AMENDMENTS TO THE 2015 PROPOSED CAPITAL BUDGET
 RECOMMENDED COMMITTEE CHANGES
 as Adopted by Full Legislature 12/4/2014

SCHEDULE E

PROJECT	2015		RECOMMENDED CHANGES	
	PROPOSED CAPITAL BUDGET	RECOMM.	APPROP. INC. (DEC.)	2015 CAPITAL BUDGET FEDERAL/ STATE AID CAPITAL FUNDS
Schedule III				
Current Projects - Funded & Unfunded - Highway				
3347 - Construct a Turning Lane at the Intersection of Thiel's Mt. Wy Road and Sufferin Lane at the Intersection of Sufferin Lane and Hammond Road Going East Bound				
		In Process	1,500,000	1,500,000
	Close Project: \$192,888 to Debt Service. Due to RTIS Delays Project will be Rescheduled in a Future Year. Capital Budget TBD			

TOTAL RECOMMENDED COMMITTEE CHANGES

1,500,000

1,500,000

Debate: Proposed amendments to the 2015 Budget by Legislator Christopher J. CareyMr. Carey

I would like to take this opportunity to move a proposal. I have schedules to handout.

Thank you Chairman Wolfe. For the past six or seven weeks I have been attending almost all Budget and Finance committee meetings and listening intently to those potentially impacted by the County Executive's proposed 2015 budget. It became clear to me that there was a real opportunity here for compromise. I have had numerous informal conversations with various Legislators and the administration that culminated in a bi-partisan proposal that I shared with this body on November 24th.

At this point I would like to take exception to something that Supervisor Phillips stated earlier tonight. He stated that I proposed my compromise at the 11th hour of the budget process. I take exception to that since nobody has respected Budget and Finance Chairman Michael Grant's process more than me. Legislator Grant asked for amendments by November 24th and I presented my compromise proposal that night. I think my peers would agree that I do respect the process so I don't agree with what Supervisor Phillips said.

The compromise proposal calls for the restoration of 75% of the not-for-profit funding and the reinstatement of 23 of the Sheriff positions. It also stays under the 2% tax cap. I don't see this as window-dressing. I see this as a real proposal. As I stated very clearly on November 24th, my Legislative peers should consider this proposal as the start of compromise conversation – not a take it or leave it offer. This bi-partisan proposal was looked at favorably by the administration, which meant that it would most likely have avoided a veto if approved by this body. I want to make it clear that this proposal is not perfect. In fact, shifting the Rockland Community College charge backs to the towns is something that I voted against in the past. I would have liked nothing better than to have spent the last two weeks working to find \$1,800,000 cuts in other areas to replace these town charge backs. Regrettably these conversations never happened and the compromise proposal presented here tonight is unchanged from two weeks ago. I heard lack of trust. I did everything with the best intentions. I was hoping that my colleagues would enter into a discussion on the compromise that I think gets us half way in-between the County Executive's budget and the budget that is being proposed tonight, which has no cuts in it at all.

I see this as a missed opportunity on behalf of this Legislature. The fact that the administration was supportive meant that there was a real opportunity for us to collectively work on something, go back and forth and have a budget that would not be vetoed and we could all leave here tonight having done that.

A comment was made about cutting the not-for-profit agencies. Clarkstown decided to eliminate its entire not-for-profit funding from its budget in 2009. Municipalities are struggling with the same decisions. These are hard decisions, but decisions we have to make collectively.

We are the most financially stressed County in New York State. I don't think the budget reflects how seriously troubled we are. Any budget that this body puts forth, as painful as it is, has to include cuts. The reason why I believe that so strongly is next year we will have to simultaneously address the debt service for the \$96,000,000, in addition to the money Local Law No. 10 of 2012 requires we set aside for the outstanding debt. How are we going to do that? We are going to be back in this room again and I am hoping you will give real consideration to this.

I didn't see the amendments to the budget until two nights ago. There is a lot of talk about transparency and working together. I would have expected that from my colleagues up here. I hope that when we spin the clock and it is 2015 we collectively do a much better job on the process than we did now. I believe that any budget that this body approves must include meaningful cuts if we ever expect to balance our budget in the future. I respectfully request that my peers give the proposed compromise serious consideration.

Mr. Meyers

I also support the amendments proposed by Legislator Carey, which itself is a compromise. I wanted fewer layoffs in the Sheriff's Department. I would have supported a somewhat higher tax increase, maybe 5%, this year as a way of keeping more of the Sheriff's patrol while building up the ability to pay the debt services. We are going to need more money desperately next year. There are a couple of things in the proposed budget by the Budget and Finance Committee that I disagree with a little bit. One is that they decreased the utility expenses by \$449,000. I don't think that is a good idea. Projecting what utility cost is going to be is a bit of guesswork. We do know that Orange and Rockland has requested rate hikes and the contract signed by the County for the next two years calls for higher costs than the previous two years. I am not sure that was a wise thing to do.

\$380,000 was cut out of the Sheriff's budget for overtime in the jail. I toured the jail when I was first elected with Sheriff Kralik, which is why I didn't do it again with Sheriff Falco. One of the things that he said was that it was impossible to control overtime in the jail, because there is mandatory training and you have to maintain certain staffing levels. It is hard for any administration to control overtime costs in the jail so how can we suddenly eliminate \$380,000 in overtime costs in the jail. My opinion, and this is no disrespect to the Sheriff, but I think we will probably spend the \$380,000 that he cut and just quietly spend it. At the end of 2015 you can see if that is the case or not.

We only have a \$100,000 contingency. One of the ways that County government and Mr. Vanderhoef would enhance the contingency is that there are all of these jobs not budgeted for, but they don't fill. The Budget and Finance Committee, to save money in the budget, eliminated those jobs, but in my mind 99% of those jobs were never going to be filled by the County Executive so you kind of just took away the contingency that the County Executive was counting on. You are not really saving money you are just reducing the contingency down to nothing. There becomes no flexibility in the budget.

The chargeback's should definitely be a town responsibility. How can the most fiscally stressed County in the State avoid layoffs? As John Anderson famously said when he was running for President in 1980, "You do it with mirrors." We reinstated every position that the County Executive laid off, except for a couple. How could that be? The County Executive eliminated positions and then the County Legislature restored every single one. That is a very dysfunctional government. Where was the compromise?

I know that the County Executive created ill will by this proposing this budget, which took some people by surprise, but there should have been more compromise. I do know that both sides of government does need to compromise. If you are going to layoff some people you will never realize the true savings until you skip a year, because you have pension costs, unemployment insurance and benefits you have to pay. I hear in this Legislature that the savings would be bupkis. Of course the savings is bupkis the first year. You get the savings the following year. In 2016 we will be in a major hole. We won't be able to save money if we wait until 2016 to do it; you have to do it the year before.

I think this proposal is a good start. I did not get everything I wanted in this compromise proposal. I wanted to restore all of the contract agencies, but a few that I think are just giveaways.

Mr. Hood, Jr.

I am always glad to try to negotiate. I know Chris you tried. I believe this compromise really came more from Ed than you and that I had a problem with. We talked about it here one night. A 75% restoration is a non-starter for me. The contract agencies have been cut 40% over the last few years and I didn't see any reason why they should be cut anymore if we found a way to stay under the tax cap. 12 Sheriff's deputies losing their jobs, and to say that wouldn't affect the specialty units is completely wrong. The last people in would be the first people out. The ones laid off would be the younger guys trained for these specialty units. That is just a misrepresentation. MIS - Social Media guru for \$98,000 plus benefits, which is a non-starter. You said that everything is negotiable, but there are so many things that I can't agree with that I didn't see much reason to discuss it. I don't necessarily agree that the charge backs are a town charge. It is Rockland County Community College. I just don't understand how you make that argument. It is a County charge and we have been paying it. Every town has their own issues to deal with and we don't need to pile on more issues with our issues so that was also a non-starter for me.

I think County Executive Day has to concentrate on is the sale of the nursing home and hospital. We are not happy about that, because there are about 500 jobs there, but we know that is where we are losing the money every month. That is what is going to bring this County to fiscal health. We did get good news that the lawsuit has been dismissed so we should be on the right track now.

I told Ed day that I would love to see less FaceBook, less social media and more governing. Show me what I have been asking for. I have been asking for a taxi law. Where is that? Concentrate on the sale. I have been looking for quiet zones. Where is that? These are the things that the people want and we need to do.

Mr. Wieder

I simply want to reiterate what I said before. I have the highest admiration for Legislator Carey. I wish I had a friend and an ally like him to take this budget that came down from the County Executive, we all know how bad it is and how political it is, and still desperately try to do something with it. I only have the highest admirations for him. He has been a good friend and a good ally and I hope the County Executive appreciates your efforts. Thank you.

Mr. Grant

I want to thank and compliment Legislator Carey. He is not a member of the Budget and Finance Committee. He probably has, along with Doug Jobson, the best attendance for non-members and better than some who are members of the committee. The packet that he put together required a great deal of work and is not something you can do alone easily. It is fairly detailed and there are twelve different items here that he addressed and put in the package together.

One of the things missing here is critical mass. In order to have an affective negotiation you have to be able to bring people to the table to negotiate and there needs to be something in it for them. I think there is an overwhelming majority of this Legislator that the bar on what has been called the compromise may have been set a little too low to generate to generate that critical mass.

As detailed as it is and as well organized as it is I did have a problem with some of the items. They call for accelerating the dismissal of the laundry and security personnel in order to generate savings in 2015. Those resolutions failed to identify how that would be paid for in 2015, because it was not budgeted.

I believe this serves as a model for people who want to make amendments to future budgets. They should consult with Chris on how he accomplished this. It is important that everybody in this room have the tools and the opportunity to make changes. I think Chris demonstrated that he has those resources. Thank you.

Chairman Wolfe

I am not going to speak on every aspect of this proposal, but I have to address something that has been said several times tonight and seems to be another one of those buzzwords mantras. Rockland County is the most fiscally stressed County in New York State. People don't realize that is based on our last audited numbers and that would be the end of 2013. The calculation is done by the Comptroller's office and what this calculation doesn't include is the fantastic conservative successful budget that we passed last year for 2014. Operationally we stand to have an operating surplus in 2014 in the general fund and that is something we should be very proud of. It also doesn't reflect the deficit financing. At the end of this year we will have essentially reduce our deficit by \$100,000,000, which is a direct balance sheet entry. That is huge. Why is it huge? Because it immediately improves our balance sheet and that is why we pushed for so long for the deficit financing. It also improved our cash flow. How much did it improve our cash flow? It improved our cash flow to the point where we may not need to float a Revenue Anticipation Note for 2015. That is amazing. These are all factors that are considered yet our successes haven't caught up to the Comptroller's office. So to throw around the term casually that we are the most fiscally stressed County in New York State, well yes last year we were, but I don't think we are this year. Then again if you want to be a savior I guess you need something to save.

I have to give a lot of credit to Legislator Carey. He has been engaged at our meetings. He is intelligent and he cares and he is thoughtful. He really put a lot of energy into this.

I'm gong to just hit on a few highlights.

The proposal is to fund partial restorations by reimposing the Rockland Community College charge back. This is a terrible idea. It was a temporary emergency measure used to close the operating budget deficit in 2012, and it was undone in 2013. I held my nose and voted for it in 2012, because we really had no choice at the time. We had a tremendous operating deficit. In fact, a 2012 Journal News editorial found that, "sticking the towns with the community college 'charge-back' fee lacks any kind of logic."

There was no greater opponent to the charge back at that time than Legislator Ed Day, who called the community college charge back, "a tax shifting exercise," adding that, "people would rather see their representatives initiate strategies that actually reduce their tax burden."

Unfortunately the County Executive and Mr. Carey's proposal fails this test.

What disturbs me most is the proposed acceleration of layoffs to this month. This plan is wrong for two very different reasons. First, as Mr. Grant mentioned, it would bring certain separation expenses into 2014, expenses that aren't budgeted for this year. Where will that money come from? But what really upsets me is that it would mean that hardworking County employees would be laid off right in the middle of the holiday season. How cruel, how insensitive. I'd rather get a lump of coal in my stocking.

And though it is well intentioned, this proposal is wrong for Rockland County. It does absolutely nothing to address the new patronage positions proposed by the Executive or the raises to his key staff. It does nothing to correct the miscalculation of the property tax cap and would result in a higher property tax increase to our taxpayers. For these reasons, I can't support it.

Mr. Carey made a motion to accept the attached schedule of proposed amendments to the 2015 Budget, which was seconded by Mr. Meyers and failed.

The vote resulted as follows:

Ayes: 02 (Legislators Carey, Meyers)
Nays: 15 (Legislators Cornell, Earl, Grant, Jobson, Kantrowitz, Low-Hogan,
Moroney, Murphy, Paul, Schoenberger, Soskin, Sparaco, Wieder,
Hood, Jr., Wolfe)

FAILED
SCHEDULE OF AMENDMENTS TO THE 2015 BUDGET
PROPOSED BY LEGISLATOR CAREY

County of Rockland Summary		
Amendments to 2015 Budget		
Summary of Changes	Increase Tax	Decrease Tax
1) Community College - Out of County Chargeback Revenue		(1,800,000)
2a) Restore 23 Sheriff Patrol Positions	\$ 2,833,431	
2b) Elimination of Sheriff Patrol Accrued Buyouts		\$ (338,000)
2c) Restoration of Sheriff Patrol Operating Accounts	284,100	(12,700)
3a) Elimination of Remaining 1 Month of Hospital Laundry Salaries		\$ (59,145)
3b) Elimination of Hospital Laundry Accrued Buyouts		\$ (213,000)
4a) Elimination of Remaining 1 Month of Hospital Radiology Salaries		\$ (25,661)
4b) Elimination of Hospital Radiology Accrued Buyouts		\$ (38,000)
5a) Elimination of Remaining 1 Month of DGS Security Salaries		\$ (111,825)
5b) Elimination of DGS Security Accrued Buyouts		\$ (247,800)
6) Restoration of External / Internal Contract Agencies - 75% of Adopted 14'	\$ 3,000,000	
7) Reduction in Unemployment Ins.		(271,400)
8) Designated for Fund Balance - Deficit Reduction from \$10 m to \$7 m		\$ (3,000,000)
Totals	\$ 6,117,531	\$ (6,117,531)

NOTE:

Please note that Laundry, Radiology and Security were all scheduled to be abolished in February 2015. This schedule now calculates that the positions will be eliminated in 2014, thereby we gain a 12 months of savings not the 11 months in the budget.

Plus, the buyouts can be removed from the budget as well.

This also has an impact on social security & mta tax.

COUNTY OF ROCKLAND
2016 BUDGET

AMENDMENTS

Fund	Dept No.	Department Name	OCES	Pos #	Account or Title	Account or Title	APPROPRIATIONS			REVENUES		
							2015 PROPOSED BUDGET	2015 LEGISLATIVE APPROVED	2015 INC. (DEC.)	2015 PROPOSED BUDGET	2015 LEGISLATIVE APPROVED	2015 INC. (DEC.)
A	EDU2460	Community College - Out of County	R2236		Op Cost Chargeback - Other Govts.					1,800,000	1,800,000	(1,800,000)
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	1100	X0812	Patrol Officer	Salaries	Restoring Position	(102,790)	-	102,790		102,790
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	1100	X0816	Patrol Officer	Salaries	Restoring Position	(92,890)	-	92,890		92,890
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	1100	X0829	Patrol Officer	Salaries	Restoring Position	(93,230)	-	93,230		93,230
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	1100	X0831	Patrol Officer	Salaries	Restoring Position	(58,785)	-	58,785		58,785
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	1100	X0833	Patrol Officer	Salaries	Restoring Position	(88,792)	-	88,792		88,792
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	1100	X0843	Patrol Officer	Salaries	Restoring Position	(107,922)	-	107,922		107,922
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	1100	X0846	Patrol Officer	Salaries	Restoring Position	(97,898)	-	97,898		97,898
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	1100	X2122	Patrol Lieutenant	Salaries	Restoring Position	(148,936)	-	148,936		148,936
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	1100	X5713	Patrol Officer	Salaries	Restoring Position	(98,650)	-	98,650		98,650
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	1100	X6874	Patrol Officer	Salaries	Restoring Position	(107,922)	-	107,922		107,922
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	1100	X6833	Patrol Captain	Salaries	Restoring Position	(171,274)	-	171,274		171,274
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	1100	X7047	Patrol Officer	Salaries	Restoring Position	(93,591)	-	93,591		93,591
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	1100	X7063	Patrol Officer	Salaries	Restoring Position	(102,790)	-	102,790		102,790
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	1100	X7305	Patrol Officer	Salaries	Restoring Position	(88,792)	-	88,792		88,792
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	1100	X7500	Patrol Officer	Salaries	Restoring Position	(107,922)	-	107,922		107,922
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	1100	X7581	Patrol Officer	Salaries	Restoring Position	(92,890)	-	92,890		92,890
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	1100	X7588	Patrol Officer	Salaries	Restoring Position	(97,898)	-	97,898		97,898
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	1100	X8018	Patrol Officer	Salaries	Restoring Position	(97,898)	-	97,898		97,898
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	1100	X8195	Patrol Officer	Salaries	Restoring Position	(93,232)	-	93,232		93,232
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	1100	X8253	Patrol Sergeant	Salaries	Restoring Position	(129,508)	-	129,508		129,508
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	1100	X8392	Patrol Officer	Salaries	Restoring Position	(59,018)	-	59,018		59,018
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	1100	X8575	Patrol Officer	Salaries	Restoring Position	(88,792)	-	88,792		88,792
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	1100	X8528	Patrol Off Sp Spkg	Salaries	Restoring Position	(88,792)	-	88,792		88,792
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	1110		Overtime		Restoring Amount	-	182,000	182,000		182,000
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	1800	5316Z	Patrol Officer Relief	Relief	Restoring Amount	-	125,000	125,000		125,000
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	1930		Social Security		Re-Calculation	-	206,775	206,775		206,775
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	1980		MTA Tax		Re-Calculation	-	9,450	9,450		9,450
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	1100	Z986	Accrual Buyout	Salaries	Partial Savings	500,000	162,000	(338,000)		(338,000)
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	3070		Uniforms			-	26,000	26,000		26,000
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	3110		Allocation - Motor Fuel			-	120,600	120,600		120,600
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	3111		Motor Fuel External			-	2,000	2,000		2,000

COUNTY OF ROCKLAND
2016 BUDGET

AMENDMENTS

Fund	Obj. No.	Department Name	Object	F.O.B.	Account or Title	APPROPRIATIONS			REVENUES			
						2015 PROPOSED BUDGET	2015 LEGISLATIVE APPROVED	2015 INC. (DEC.)	2015 PROPOSED BUDGET	2015 LEGISLATIVE APPROVED	2015 INC. (DEC.)	2015 LOCAL SHARE INC. (DEC.)
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	3120		Allocation - Auto Maintenance Supplies	-	23,500	23,500				23,500
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	3130		Office Supplies	-	3,400	3,400				3,400
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	3280		Printed Materials	-	300	300				300
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	3290		Operational Supplies	-	30,000	30,000				30,000
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	4021		Allocation - Copier	-	1,700	1,700				1,700
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	4040		Travel / Extraditions	-	20,000	20,000				20,000
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	4050		Equip Repairs	-	300	300				300
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	4090		Fees for Services NonEmployee	-	6,000	6,000				6,000
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	4111		Allocation - Postage	-	200	200				200
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	4140		Seminars / Training	-	3,500	3,500				3,500
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	4210		Allocation - Repairs to Vehicles	-	14,700	14,700				14,700
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	4211		Repairs to Vehicles External	-	650	650				650
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	4230		Assoc. Dues	-	750	750				750
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	4440		Allocation - Cell Phones	-	3,000	3,000				3,000
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	4608		Allocation - Telephone	-	9,800	9,800				9,800
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	4614		Allocation - Ins. Dept.	-	12,700	12,700				12,700
A	SHF3112	Sheriff - Patrol	4650		Meals	-	5,000	5,000				5,000
A	CA1171	Legal Aid Society	5010		Contract Agency	-	47,685	47,685				\$ 47,685
A	CA3510	Hi-Tor Animal Care Center	5010		Contract Agency	-	35,710	35,710				\$ 35,710
A	CA3513	Friends of Rockland	5010		Contract Agency	-	1,355	1,355				\$ 1,355
A	CA3626	HELP - Helicopt Emerg Ltr Pg	5010		Contract Agency	-	25,896	25,896				\$ 25,896
A	CA3627	Hatzeloh EMS	5010		Contract Agency	-	4,375	4,375				\$ 4,375
A	CA4083	Assoc for the Visually Impaired	5010		Contract Agency	-	122,765	122,765				\$ 122,765
A	CA4085	Center for Safety & Change (Family Shelter)	5010		Contract Agency	-	50,350	50,350				\$ 50,350
A	CA4087	Home Aides of Rockland	5010		Contract Agency	-	8,305	8,305				\$ 8,305
A	CA4088	United Hospice of Rockland	5010		Contract Agency	-	71,915	71,915				\$ 71,915
A	CA4089	Brain Injury Assoc	5010		Contract Agency	-	1,190	1,190				\$ 1,190
A	CA4091	Cardinal Cooke Residence	5010		Contract Agency	-	4,050	4,050				\$ 4,050
A	CA4093	Home Aides / VAC CHORE	5010		Contract Agency	-	10,575	10,575				\$ 10,575
A	CA4501	Bikur Cholim	5010		Contract Agency	-	64,725	64,725				\$ 64,725
A	CA6313	Martin Luther King Multi-Purpose Center	5010		Contract Agency	-	59,385	59,385				\$ 59,385
A	CA6314	Nysack Center	5010		Contract Agency	-	33,280	33,280				\$ 33,280
A	CA6316	Haitian-Amercan Cultural Soc Org HACSO	5010		Contract Agency	-	17,425	17,425				\$ 17,425

COUNTY OF ROCKLAND
2016 BUDGET

AMENDMENTS

Fund	Dept. No.	Department Name	Object	Pos #	Account of Title	Account of Title	APPROPRIATIONS			REVENUES			
							2015 PROPOSED BUDGET	2015 LEGISLATIVE APPROVED	2015 INC. (DEC.)	2015 PROPOSED BUDGET	2015 LEGISLATIVE APPROVED	2015 INC. (DEC.)	2015 LOCAL SHARE INC. (DEC.)
A	CA6317	Headstart Of Rockland	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	78,215	78,215				\$ 78,215
A	CA6318	Konbit Neg Lakay	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	45,795	45,795				\$ 45,795
A	CA6324	NAACP - Nyack Branch	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	4,370	4,370				\$ 4,370
A	CA6327	Help From People To People	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	17,980	17,980				\$ 17,980
A	CA6328	Community Improvemt Council	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	129,450	129,450				\$ 129,450
A	CA6330	Catholic Community Svcs of Rockland	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	129,450	129,450				\$ 129,450
A	CA6333	Community Outreach Center	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	71,845	71,845				\$ 71,845
A	CA6337	Stop F.E.A.R. Coalition	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	2,105	2,105				\$ 2,105
A	CA6338	Jewish Federation of Rockland	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	10,575	10,575				\$ 10,575
A	CA6339	Joseph's Home - MIA Vet Shelter	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	10,140	10,140				\$ 10,140
A	CA6343	NAACP - Spring Valley Branch	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	4,370	4,370				\$ 4,370
A	CA6360	CEJES Institute	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	5,015	5,015				\$ 5,015
A	CA6363	Clotheswork!...So Women Can	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	975	975				\$ 975
A	CA7330	India Cultural Society of Rockland	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	4,315	4,315				\$ 4,315
A	CA7410	Library Assoc of Rockland	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	31,770	31,770				\$ 31,770
A	CA7515	Haverstraw Brick Museum	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	10,410	10,410				\$ 10,410
A	CA7518	Friends of the Nyacks	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	5,235	5,235				\$ 5,235
A	CA7520	Historical Society Of Rockland	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	28,770	28,770				\$ 28,770
A	CA7521	Rockland Center For Holocaust Studies	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	7,195	7,195				\$ 7,195
A	CA7522	JCC of Rockland (YM/YWHA)	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	12,730	12,730				\$ 12,730
A	CA7531	Rockland Conservatory of Music	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	14,385	14,385				\$ 14,385
A	CA7535	Debra Weiss Dance Company	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	1,510	1,510				\$ 1,510
A	CA7538	Rockland Youth Dance Ensemble	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	3,830	3,830				\$ 3,830
A	CA7541	Elmwood Community Playhouse	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	6,585	6,585				\$ 6,585
A	CA7542	County Choral Society	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	2,540	2,540				\$ 2,540
A	CA7545	Rivertown Films	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	1,080	1,080				\$ 1,080
A	CA7550	Rockland Camerata	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	1,245	1,245				\$ 1,245
A	CA7555	Morning Music Club	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	885	885				\$ 885
A	CA7561	Arts Council Of Rockland	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	32,365	32,365				\$ 32,365
A	CA7562	Rockland Center for the Arts	5850		Admin - Arts in Public Places	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	12,892	12,892				\$ 12,892
A	CA7562	Rockland Center for the Arts	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	28,770	28,770				\$ 28,770
A	CA7563	Arts Alliance of Haverstraw	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	18,230	18,230				\$ 18,230
A	CA7568	Rfid Irish-American Cultural Center	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	10,950	10,950				\$ 10,950
A	CA7571	Hudson Vagabond Puppets	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	3,185	3,185				\$ 3,185

COUNTY OF ROCKLAND
2015 BUDGET

AMENDMENTS

Fund	Dept. No.	Department Name	Object	Pos #	Account or Title	Account or Title	APPROPRIATIONS			REVENUES				
							2014 PROPOSED BUDGET	2014 LEGISLATIVE APPROVED	2014 INC./DEC.	2014 PROPOSED BUDGET	2014 LEGISLATIVE APPROVED	2014 INC./DEC.	2014 LOCAL SHARE INC./DEC.	
A	CA7573	Thorpe Senior Center	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	4,265	4,265				\$	4,265
A	CA7577	Rockland YMCA - Nyack Branch	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	19,095	19,095				\$	19,095
A	CA7842	Chiku Awa! African Dance Co	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	865	865				\$	865
A	CA7855	Hopper House Art Center	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	2,520	2,520				\$	2,520
A	CA8030	Rockland Housing Action Coalition	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	37,850	37,850				\$	37,850
A	CA8031	Venture Foundation	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	38,350	38,350				\$	38,350
A	CA8750	Cornell Coop Extension Of Rockland	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	207,595	207,595				\$	207,595
A	CA8780	Jewish Family Services Of Rockland	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	16,400	16,400				\$	16,400
A	CA8790	Literacy Volunteers Of Rockland	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	1,730	1,730				\$	1,730
A	CA8803	RCC Sr. Citizens Club	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	3,185	3,185				\$	3,185
A	CA8804	Child Care Resources Of Rockland	5060		Program Costs	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	45,830	45,830				\$	45,830
A	CA8804	Child Care Resources Of Rockland	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	98,170	98,170				\$	98,170
A	CA8805	MHA Family Treatment Court	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	11,435	11,435				\$	11,435
A	CA8808	Community Outreach Ctr - Employment	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	28,770	28,770				\$	28,770
A	CA8816	West St. Day Care Center	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	28,010	28,010				\$	28,010
A	CA8817	EPIC - Every Person Influences Children	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	2,105	2,105				\$	2,105
A	CA8818	RC Worksite Day Care Center	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	15,140	15,140				\$	15,140
A	CA8819	Campus Fun & Learn Center	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	2,105	2,105				\$	2,105
A	CA8820	Volunteer Counseling Service (VCS)	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	128,450	128,450				\$	128,450
A	CA8821	Big Brothers & Sisters of Rockland	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	76,265	76,265				\$	76,265
A	CA8822	MHA / CASA: Court Appoint. Spec Advocates	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	9,000	9,000				\$	9,000
A	CA8831	TOUCH of Rockland	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	6,420	6,420				\$	6,420
A	CA8841	Keep Rockland Beautiful	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	41,155	41,155				\$	41,155
A	CA8845	CANDLE	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	20,660	20,660				\$	20,660
A	CA8850	Mid-Hudson Cmty Sr. Svcs	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	21,143	21,143				\$	21,143
A	CA8883	New Beginnings Dignity Svcs	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	6,580	6,580				\$	6,580
A	CA8894	Helping Hands of Rockland	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	17,425	17,425				\$	17,425
A	CA8940	Friends Help Friends	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	4,370	4,370				\$	4,370
A	CA8954	Rockland Interfaith Breakfast	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	4,220	4,220				\$	4,220
A	CA8958	Rockland Schools the 21st Century	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	159,005	159,005				\$	159,005
A	CA8988	Westchester Comm Opportunity Pg	5010		Contract Agency	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	132,475	132,475				\$	132,475
A	DSS6010	Department of Social Services	5350		RODA - Social Services Pg	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	38,085	38,085				\$	38,085
A	DSS6010	Department of Social Services	5550		RCDC - Human Services Pg	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	78,315	78,315				\$	78,315
A	DSS6010	Department of Social Services	5650		Bkur Cholim - Comm Action Prj	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	48,550	48,550				\$	48,550

COUNTY OF ROCKLAND
2015 BUDGET

AMENDMENTS

Fund	Dept. No.	Department Name	Object	Pos #	Account by Title	Account on Title	APPROPRIATIONS			REVENUES			
							2015 PROPOSED BUDGET	2015 LEGISLATIVE APPROVED	2015 INC./DEC.	2015 PROPOSED BUDGET	2015 LEGISLATIVE APPROVED	2015 INC./DEC.	2015 LOCAL SHARE INC./DEC.
A	DSS6010	Department of Social Services	5840		SHARE - Food Lifetime Pg	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	129,450	129,450				\$ 129,450
A	DSS6010	Department of Social Services	5841		RODA - Youth Services	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	61,060	61,060				\$ 61,060
A	DSS6010	Department of Social Services	5840		Bikur Cholim - Human Services Pg	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	46,550	46,550				\$ 46,550
A	OFA6772	Office for the Aging	5490		RCDC - Sr Citizens Pg	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	24,005	24,005				\$ 24,005
A	OFA6772	Office for the Aging	5580		RODA - Sr Citizens Pg	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	55,395	55,395				\$ 55,395
A	OFA6772	Office for the Aging	5681		Community Outreach Cntr - Sr Citizen	Restoration of approx. 75% of 2014 Adopted	-	27,075	27,075				\$ 27,075
A	PER1430	Personnel Dept	R1256		Health Ins. - Admin Exp. - Intrafund	To Balance Restoration in SHF-Patrol				430,700	443,400	12,700	\$ (12,700)
A	DOH4010	Department of Health	7250		Allocation - General Services	To Balance M Fund	1,761,000	1,593,900	(167,040)				\$ (167,040)
A	EME3410	Fire & Emergency Svcs	7250		Allocation - General Services	To Balance M Fund	525,900	457,055	(68,845)				\$ (68,845)
A	FIN1325	Finance	7250		Allocation - General Services	To Balance M Fund	342,900	304,530	(38,370)				\$ (38,370)
A	LAW1420	County Attorney	7250		Allocation - General Services	To Balance M Fund	215,200	191,120	(24,080)				\$ (24,080)
A	PER1430	Department of Personnel	7250		Allocation - General Services	To Balance M Fund	359,000	327,710	(31,290)				\$ (31,290)
A	UNC1990	Contingency	5063		Designated for Fund Balance	To Offset Restoration of Above Items	10,000,000	7,000,000	(3,000,000)				\$ (3,000,000)
A	UNC1990	Contingency	1940		Unemployment Ins.		700,000	428,600	(271,400)				\$ (271,400)
A	TRF6625	Contribution to Hospital (EH) Fund	6010		Interfund Transfers	To Balance Fund	16,948,980	16,611,174	(335,806)				\$ (335,806)
GENERAL (A) FUND:													
							\$ 29,940,774	\$ 25,852,474	\$ (4,088,300)	\$ 430,700	\$ 2,243,400	\$ 1,812,700	\$

1150

December 4 2014

COUNTY OF ROCKLAND
2016 BUDGET

AMENDMENTS

Fund	Dept No.	Department Name	Object	Pos #	Account or Title	Account or Title	APPROPRIATIONS			REVENUES				
							2015 PROPOSED BUDGET	2016 LEGISLATIVE APPROVED	2016 INC. (DEC.)	2015 PROPOSED BUDGET	2016 LEGISLATIVE APPROVED	2016 INC. (DEC.)	2016 LOCAL SHARE INC. (DEC.)	
EH	HSP025	Hospital Laundry	1100	X1271	Laundry Wrkr I	Salaries	Full Year's Savings If Done By Resolution	(35,560)	(36,062)	(4,102)				\$ (4,102)
EH	HSP025	Hospital Laundry	1100	X1272	Laundry Wrkr I	Salaries	Full Year's Savings If Done By Resolution	(31,630)	(36,275)	(3,645)				\$ (3,645)
EH	HSP025	Hospital Laundry	1100	X1273	Laundry Wrkr I	Salaries	Full Year's Savings If Done By Resolution	(31,630)	(35,135)	(3,505)				\$ (3,505)
EH	HSP025	Hospital Laundry	1100	X1277	Laundry Wrkr I	Salaries	Full Year's Savings If Done By Resolution	(32,570)	(36,324)	(3,754)				\$ (3,754)
EH	HSP025	Hospital Laundry	1100	X1278	Laundry Wrkr I	Salaries	Full Year's Savings If Done By Resolution	(32,570)	(36,324)	(3,754)				\$ (3,754)
EH	HSP025	Hospital Laundry	1100	X1780	Laundry Wrkr III	Salaries	Full Year's Savings If Done By Resolution	(40,900)	(45,610)	(4,710)				\$ (4,710)
EH	HSP025	Hospital Laundry	1100	X2097	Laundry Wrkr I	Salaries	Full Year's Savings If Done By Resolution	(30,940)	(34,506)	(3,566)				\$ (3,566)
EH	HSP025	Hospital Laundry	1100	X5045	Laundry Wrkr I	Salaries	Full Year's Savings If Done By Resolution	(32,570)	(36,324)	(3,754)				\$ (3,754)
EH	HSP025	Hospital Laundry	1100	X7046	Laundry Wrkr III	Salaries	Full Year's Savings If Done By Resolution	(45,000)	(50,260)	(5,200)				\$ (5,200)
EH	HSP025	Hospital Laundry	1100	X7795	Laundry Wrkr I	Salaries	Full Year's Savings If Done By Resolution	(32,570)	(36,324)	(3,754)				\$ (3,754)
EH	HSP025	Hospital Laundry	1100	X8797	Laundry Wrkr I	Salaries	Full Year's Savings If Done By Resolution	(30,940)	(34,506)	(3,566)				\$ (3,566)
EH	HSP025	Hospital Laundry	1930		Re-Calculation of Above	Social Security	Re-Calculation	21,620	7,835	(13,685)				\$ (13,685)
EH	HSP025	Hospital Laundry	1980		Re-Calculation of Above	MTA Tax	Re-Calculation	2,500	350	(2,150)				\$ (2,150)
EH	HSP025	Hospital Laundry	1100	Z866	Accrual Buyout	Salaries	Full Year's Savings If Done By Resolution	213,000		(213,000)				\$ (213,000)
EH	HSP031	Hospital Radiology	1100	X2620	Radiological Tech-A	Salaries	Full Year's Savings If Done By Resolution	(62,430)	(69,636)	(7,206)				\$ (7,206)
EH	HSP031	Hospital Radiology	1100	X5430	Supervising Radiological Tech	Salaries	Full Year's Savings If Done By Resolution	(86,525)	(96,286)	(9,661)				\$ (9,661)
EH	HSP031	Hospital Radiology	1100	X7272	Radiological Technologist 1/2	Salaries	Full Year's Savings If Done By Resolution	(28,040)	(31,274)	(3,234)				\$ (3,234)
EH	HSP031	Hospital Radiology	1930		Re-Calculation of Above	Social Security	Re-Calculation	4,470	-	(4,470)				\$ (4,470)
EH	HSP031	Hospital Radiology	1980		Re-Calculation of Above	MTA Tax	Re-Calculation	790	-	(790)				\$ (790)
EH	HSP031	Hospital Radiology	1100	Z866	Accrual Buyout	Salaries	Full Year's Savings If Done By Resolution	38,000		(38,000)				\$ (38,000)
EH	UNC482	Contribution from General (A) Fund	R2810		Contribution from General (A) Fund	To Balance Fund				16,946,980	16,611,174	(335,806)		\$ 335,806
HOSPITAL (EH) FUND								\$ (273,455)	\$ (609,261)	\$ (335,806)	\$ 16,946,980	\$ 16,611,174	\$ (335,806)	\$ 335,806

1151

December 4 2014

COUNTY OF ROCKLAND
2016 BUDGET

AMENDMENTS

Fund	Dept. No.	Department Name	Object	Pos #	Account or Title	Account or Title	APPROPRIATIONS			REVENUES				
							2015 PROPOSED BUDGET	2015 LEGISLATIVE APPROVED	2015 INC. (DEC.)	2015 PROPOSED BUDGET	2015 LEGISLATIVE APPROVED	2015 INC. (DEC.)	2015 LOCAL SHARE INC. (DEC.)	
M	DGS247	Security	1100	X1674	Security Aide	Salaries	Full Year's Savings If Done By Resolution	(54,205)	(60,463)	(6,258)			\$ (6,258)	
M	DGS247	Security	1100	X2289	Watchman PT	Salaries	Full Year's Savings If Done By Resolution	(15,000)	(16,740)	(1,740)			\$ (1,740)	
M	DGS247	Security	1100	X2290	Watchman PT	Salaries	Full Year's Savings If Done By Resolution	(15,000)	(16,740)	(1,740)			\$ (1,740)	
M	DGS247	Security	1100	X2320	Security Aide	Salaries	Full Year's Savings If Done By Resolution	(54,205)	(60,463)	(6,258)			\$ (6,258)	
M	DGS247	Security	1100	X2624B	Security Aide	Salaries	Full Year's Savings If Done By Resolution	(26,700)	(33,130)	(6,430)			\$ (6,430)	
M	DGS247	Security	1100	X3022	Security Aide	Salaries	Full Year's Savings If Done By Resolution	(49,606)	(55,220)	(5,614)			\$ (5,614)	
M	DGS247	Security	1100	X5048	Security Aide	Salaries	Full Year's Savings If Done By Resolution	(51,790)	(57,766)	(5,976)			\$ (5,976)	
M	DGS247	Security	1100	X5049	Security Aide	Salaries	Full Year's Savings If Done By Resolution	(51,790)	(57,766)	(5,976)			\$ (5,976)	
M	DGS247	Security	1100	X5226	Sr Watchman PT	Salaries	Full Year's Savings If Done By Resolution	(22,786)	(25,416)	(2,631)			\$ (2,631)	
M	DGS247	Security	1100	X7757	Security Aide	Salaries	Full Year's Savings If Done By Resolution	(49,606)	(55,220)	(5,614)			\$ (5,614)	
M	DGS247	Security	1100	X7758	Security Aide	Salaries	Full Year's Savings If Done By Resolution	(51,790)	(57,766)	(5,976)			\$ (5,976)	
M	DGS247	Security	1100	X8076A	Security Aide	Salaries	Full Year's Savings If Done By Resolution	(29,390)	(32,785)	(3,395)			\$ (3,395)	
M	DGS247	Security	1100	X8078B	Security Aide	Salaries	Full Year's Savings If Done By Resolution	(19,800)	(21,859)	(2,059)			\$ (2,059)	
M	DGS247	Security	1100	X8422	Security Aide	Salaries	Full Year's Savings If Done By Resolution	(49,606)	(55,220)	(5,614)			\$ (5,614)	
M	DGS247	Security	1800	RX23722	Watchman Relief	Relief	Full Year's Savings If Done By Resolution	(28,010)	(31,250)	(3,240)			\$ (3,240)	
M	DGS247	Security	1800	FX8283Z	Security Aide Relief	Relief	Full Year's Savings If Done By Resolution	(330,600)	(368,750)	(38,150)			\$ (38,150)	
M	DGS247	Security	1930		Re-Calculation of Social Security	Social Security	Re-Calculation	14,435	11,206	(3,229)			\$ (3,229)	
M	DGS247	Security	1980		Re-Calculation of MTA Tax	MTA Tax	Re-Calculation	4,820	498	(4,322)			\$ (4,322)	
M	DGS247	Security	1100	Z666	Accrual Buyout	Salaries	Full Year's Savings If Done By Resolution	247,800	-	(247,800)			\$ (247,800)	
M	DGS222	Purchasing	3700		Clearing A/C - Copiers	To Balance Restoration in SHF-Patrol		290,000	291,700	1,700	280,000	291,700	1,700	\$ 1,700
M	DGS222	Purchasing	R2899		Clearing A/C - Copiers	To Balance Restoration in SHF-Patrol								\$ (1,700)
M	DGS244	Automotive	3112		Clearing A/C - Motor Fuel	To Balance Restoration in SHF-Patrol		499,700	620,300	120,600	499,700	620,300	120,600	\$ 120,600
M	DGS244	Automotive	R2886		Clearing A/C - Motor Fuel Rev.	To Balance Restoration in SHF-Patrol								\$ (120,600)
M	DGS244	Automotive	4212		Clearing A/C - Vehicle Repairs	To Balance Restoration in SHF-Patrol		178,000	192,700	14,700	178,000	192,700	14,700	\$ 14,700
M	DGS244	Automotive	R2820		Clearing A/C - Vehicle Repairs Rev.	To Balance Restoration in SHF-Patrol								\$ (14,700)
M	DGS244	Automotive	3122		Clearing A/C - Auto Maint Supplies	To Balance Restoration in SHF-Patrol		95,000	118,500	23,500	95,000	118,500	23,500	\$ 23,500
M	DGS244	Automotive	R2857		Clearing A/C - Auto Maint Supplies Rev.	To Balance Restoration in SHF-Patrol								\$ (23,500)
M	DGS252	Mail	3774		Clearing A/C - Postage	To Balance Restoration in SHF-Patrol		425,000	425,200	200	425,000	425,200	200	\$ 200
M	DGS252	Mail	R2977		Clearing A/C - Postage Rev.	To Balance Restoration in SHF-Patrol								\$ (200)
M	DGS253	Telephone	3773		Clearing A/C - Telephone	To Balance Restoration in SHF-Patrol		936,450	948,250	9,800	936,450	948,250	9,800	\$ 9,800
M	DGS253	Telephone	R2822		Clearing A/C - Telephone Rev.	To Balance Restoration in SHF-Patrol								\$ (9,800)
M	DGS253	Telephone	3771		Clearing A/C - Cell Phones	To Balance Restoration in SHF-Patrol		105,200	108,200	3,000	105,200	108,200	3,000	\$ 3,000
M	DGS253	Telephone	R2857		Clearing A/C - Cell Phones	To Balance Restoration in SHF-Patrol								\$ (3,000)
M	DGS2800	Unallocable Revenue Dept	R2809		Interfund Revenues	To Balance Fund					23,240,000	22,860,375	(379,625)	\$ (379,625)
INTERNAL SERVICES (M) FUND								\$ 1,994,125	\$ 1,794,000	\$ (200,125)	\$ 23,788,350	\$ 23,585,225	\$ (203,125)	\$ (203,125)

COUNTY OF ROCKLAND
2015 BUDGET
AMENDMENTS

Fund - DEPT/AG - Department Name	OBJ#	PRG#	Account or TRB	Account or TRB	APPROPRIATIONS				REVENUES			
					2014 PROPOSED BUDGET	2014 LEGISLATIVE APPROPRIATED	2015 (INCL. DECS)	2015 (INCL. DECS)	2014 PROPOSED BUDGET	2014 LEGISLATIVE APPROPRIATED	2015 (INCL. DECS)	2015 (INCL. DECS)
Q PER950 Unemployment Ins.	8010		Employee Benefits		700,000	403,200	(298,800)		700,000	403,200	(298,800)	\$ (298,800)
Q PER900 Unemployment Ins.	R2909		Inland Revenues									\$ 298,800
UNEMPLOYMENT INSUR.					700,000	403,200	(298,800)		700,000	403,200	(298,800)	
TOTAL ALL FUNDS					1,177,421	2,118,415	987,942		43,917,040	14,640,798	(933,189)	

LEGISLATOR CAREY'S PROPOSED SCHEDULE OF AMENDMENTS TO THE 2015 BUDGET
FAILED BY A VOTE OF 2 AYES TO 15 NAYS

Introduced by:

Referral No. 9286

Hon. Philip Soskin, Sponsor
Hon. Aney Paul, Co-Sponsor
Hon. Barry S. Kantrowitz, Co-Sponsor
Hon. Douglas J. Jobson, Co-Sponsor
Hon. Patrick J. Moroney, Co-Sponsor
Hon. Toney L. Earl, Co-Sponsor
Hon. Aron B. Wieder, Co-Sponsor

**RESOLUTION NO. 515 OF 2014
APPOINTMENT OF A MEMBER OF THE COUNTY LEGISLATURE
TO THE ROCKLAND COUNTY JURY BOARD**

Mr. Soskin offered the following resolution, which was seconded by Mrs. Paul and Mr. Wieder and unanimously adopted:

WHEREAS, the New York State Judiciary Law mandates the establishment for each county in the State of a jury board; and

WHEREAS, in counties outside cities having a population of one million or more, except Albany, Westchester, Suffolk and Nassau, the County Jury Board shall be composed of one justice of the Supreme Court residing in the County, who shall act as Chairman, the Senior Judge of the County Court and one member of the County Legislature; and

WHEREAS, the designation of the one County Legislator shall be by the County Legislature; and

WHEREAS, the State Law mandates that the County Legislature member shall not be a party who is engaged in the practice of law; and

WHEREAS, the Multi Services Committee has met, considered and by a unanimous vote approved this resolution; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, that in accordance with Section 503 (A) (1). the Legislature of Rockland County hereby appoints Legislator Ilan S. Schoenberger as the Legislator appointed to the Rockland County Jury Board, who shall serve at the pleasure of the Legislature.

Introduced by:

Referral No. 3120

- Hon. Ilan S. Schoenberger, Sponsor
- Hon. Alden H. Wolfe, Sponsor
- Hon. Michael M. Grant, Sponsor
- Hon. Douglas J. Jobson, Sponsor
- Hon. Aney Paul, Sponsor
- Hon. Patrick J. Moroney, Sponsor

**RESOLUTION NO. 516 OF 2014
CONFIRMING THE APPOINTMENTS BY THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE
OF LEGISLATORS NANCY LOW-HOGAN, PHILIP SOSKIN, AND PATRICK J. MORONEY
TO HEAR THE APPEAL OF MICHAEL OAKLEY FROM AN ACTION BY THE
ROCKLAND COUNTY BOARD OF PLUMBING, HEATING AND COOLING EXAMINERS**

Mr. Schoenberger offered the following resolution, which was seconded by Mr. Carey and Mrs. Paul and unanimously adopted:

WHEREAS, On or about October 24, 2014, Mr. Michael Oakley, appealed to the Legislature pursuant to Section 319-13(A) of the Laws of Rockland County from an action by the Board of Plumbing, Heating and Cooling at its May 16, 2014 meeting, denying Mr. Oakley application, for a license due to lack of work experience; and

WHEREAS, Section 319-13 (D) of Chapter 286 of the Laws of Rockland County provides for the designation of three (3) members of the Legislature to hear appeals by persons aggrieved by an action of the Board of Plumbing, Heating and Cooling in making any decision or determination; and

WHEREAS, Section C3.02 of the Charter Law of Rockland County provides that, subject to confirmation by the Legislature of Rockland County, the County Executive shall appoint members of County boards and commissions, who shall serve at his pleasure; and

WHEREAS, The County Executive has appointed Legislators Nancy Low-Hogan., Philip Soskin, and Patrick J. Moroney, to hear the appeal of Mr. Michael Oakley; and

WHEREAS, The Planning & Public Works Committee of the Legislature has met, considered and unanimously approved this resolution, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That, pursuant to Section C3.02 of the Charter Law and Section 319-13 (D) of the Laws of Rockland County, the Legislature of Rockland County hereby confirms the appointments of Nancy Low-Hogan, Philip Soskin, and Patrick J. Moroney to hear the appeal of Mr. Michael Oakley filed on or about October 24, 2014 from an action by the Board of Plumbing, Heating and Cooling at its May 16, 2014 meeting, denying Mr. Oakley, application for a license due to lack of work experience.

**RESOLUTION NO. 517 OF 2014
WAIVE THE RULES OF THE LEGISLATURE
TO CONSIDER PROPOSED RESOLUTIONS
AS AN IMMEDIATE NEED**

Mr. Grant offered the following resolution, which was seconded by Chairman Wolfe and unanimously adopted:

RESOLVED, that the Rules of the Legislature be waived to consider the following proposed Resolution No.'s 518 and 519 of 2014, as an immediate need.

Introduced by:

Referral No. 6698

Hon. Michael M. Grant, Sponsor
Hon. Alden H. Wolfe, Sponsor
Hon. Ilan S. Schoenberger, Sponsor
Hon. Harriet D. Cornell, Sponsor
Hon. Toney L. Earl, Sponsor
Hon. Jay Hood, Jr., Sponsor
Hon. Philip Soskin, Sponsor
Hon. Frank Sparaco, Sponsor

**RESOLUTION NO. 518 OF 2014
ACCEPTING AND APPROVING THE REPORT OF
THE BUDGET & FINANCE COMMITTEE WITH
REFERENCE TO MORTGAGE TAXES
FOR THE PERIOD APRIL 1, 2014 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30, 2014
[DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET & FINANCE]**

Chairman Wolfe offered the following resolution, which was seconded by Mr. Grant and unanimously adopted:

WHEREAS, The Budget & Finance Department has rendered its report distributing mortgage taxes among the various municipalities in the County of Rockland, and establishing the rate of distribution of such taxes, which has been submitted to the Legislature; and

WHEREAS, A true copy of such report is hereto annexed; and

WHEREAS, The mortgage taxes are distributed to the various municipalities on the basis of the amount of mortgage taxes collected from the properties in the various townships by a formula which utilizes the assessed valuation of the towns and villages, after deducting the amounts which go to the State of New York, the County of Rockland, and the amounts that are kept by the County Clerk as his processing fees; and

WHEREAS, The Budget and Finance Committee of the Legislature has met, considered and by a unanimous vote approved this resolution; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the attached report, with reference to the mortgage taxes, be and is hereby accepted and approved, and the Clerk is directed to spread the same upon the minutes of this meeting.

REPORT OF COMMITTEE
MORTGAGE TAX

TO THE HONORABLE LEGISLATURE OF ROCKLAND COUNTY

The Budget and Finance Committee has reviewed the apportionment arising from tax on mortgages

Collected from April 1, 2014 through September 30, 2014 among the several towns and villages of this

County as follows:

STATEMENT OF MORTGAGE TAX
FOR PERIOD OF SIX MONTHS
ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2014

One Quarter of the Tax collected by recording officer pursuant to report to the Legislature of Rockland County	\$3,811,043.91
Total disbursement of Recording Officer	108,295.32
Interest Earned for Period (County Clerk)	98.75
Amount Paid to Commissioner of Finance	3,702,847.34
Interest Earned for Period (Commissioner of Finance)	2,693.91
Net Amount for Distribution to Tax Districts	3,705,541.25

AMOUNT ALLOCATED TO EACH TOWN

CLARKSTOWN	1,121,492.81
HAVERSTRAW	368,841.01
ORANGETOWN	646,444.29
RAMAPO	1,418,652.52
STONY POINT	150,110.62

ASSESED VALUATION OF TOWNS

CLARKSTOWN	4,411,066,816
HAVERSTRAW	3,386,306,215
ORANGETOWN	4,753,583,520
RAMAPO	2,055,408,570
STONY POINT	298,131,655

We have also prepared a warrant which we submit herewith directing the Commissioner of Finance to pay to the Supervisors of the several towns and to village Treasurers, the sums apportioned pursuant to Section 261 of the Tax Law, as amended.

DISTRIBUTION OF TAX TO TOWNS AND VILLAGES

TOWN OF CLARKSTOWN	\$1,091,653.68
Village of Upper Nyack	20,240.03
Village of Spring Valley	7,653.64
Village of Nyack	1,945.46
TOWN OF HAVERSTRAW	275,374.73
Village of Haverstraw	37,822.04
Village of West Haverstraw	39,642.09
Village of Pomona	16,002.15
TOWN OF ORANGETOWN	580,190.75
Village of Grandview	3,474.71
Village of Nyack	32,153.85
Village of Piermont	17,429.02
Village of South Nyack	13,195.96
TOWN OF RAMAPO	943,920.58
Village of Hillburn	8,614.27
Village of New Hempstead	35,906.36
Village of New Square	19,247.38
Village of Pomona	10,171.97
Village of Sloatsburg	19,048.35
Village of Spring Valley	68,177.99
Village of Suffern	72,705.15
Village of Wesley Hills	44,918.01
Village of Chestnut Ridge	64,071.43
Village of Montebello	55,282.61
Village of Kaser	10,441.76
Village of Airmont	66,136.66
TOWN OF STONY POINT	150,110.62

RATE OF DISTRIBUTION OF TAX TO INCORPORATED VILLAGES

Upper Nyack in the Town of Clarkstown	0.01804740
Spring Valley in the Town of Clarkstown	0.00682451
Nyack in the Town of Clarkstown	0.00173471
Haverstraw in the Town of Haverstraw	0.10254294
West Haverstraw in the Town of Haverstraw	0.10747746
Pomona in the Town of Haverstraw	0.04338496
Grandview in the Town of Orangetown	0.00537511
Nyack in the Town of Orangetown	0.04973956
Piermont in the Town of Orangetown	0.02696137
South Nyack in the Town of Orangetown	0.02041314
Hillburn in the Town of Ramapo	0.00607215
New Hempstead in the Town of Ramapo	0.02531019
New Square in the Town of Ramapo	0.01356737
Pomona in the Town of Ramapo	0.00717016
Sloatsburg in the Town of Ramapo	0.01342707
Spring Valley in the Town of Ramapo	0.04805827
Suffern in the Town of Ramapo	0.05124944
Wesley Hills in the Town of Ramapo	0.03166245
Chestnut Ridge in the Town of Ramapo	0.04516358
Montebello in the Town of Ramapo	0.03897544
Kaser in the Town of Ramapo	0.00736034
Airmont in the Town of Ramapo	0.04661935

ASSESSED VALUATIONS OF VILLAGES

Upper Nyack in the Town of Clarkstown	159,216,578
Spring Valley in the Town of Clarkstown	60,206,720
Nyack in the Town of Clarkstown	15,303,872
Haverstraw in the Town of Haverstraw	694,483,570
West Haverstraw in the Town of Haverstraw	727,903,175
Pomona in the Town of Haverstraw	293,829,536
Grandview in the Town of Orangetown	51,102,112
Nyack in the Town of Orangetown	472,882,301
Piermont in the Town of Orangetown	256,326,221
South Nyack in the Town of Orangetown	194,071,175
Hillburn in the Town of Ramapo	24,961,507
New Hempstead in the Town of Ramapo	104,045,552
New Square in the Town of Ramapo	55,772,971
Pomona in the Town of Ramapo	29,475,224
Sloatsburg in the Town of Ramapo	55,196,223
Spring Valley in the Town of Ramapo	197,558,752
Suffern in the Town of Ramapo	210,677,085
Wesley Hills in the Town of Ramapo	130,158,550
Chestnut Ridge in the Town of Ramapo	185,659,210
Montebello in the Town of Ramapo	160,220,912
Kaser in the Town of Ramapo	30,257,028
Airmont in the Town of Ramapo	191,643,621

Introduced by:

Referral No. 6698

Hon. Michael M. Grant, Sponsor
Hon. Alden H. Wolfe, Sponsor
Hon. Ilan S. Schoenberger, Sponsor
Hon. Harriet D. Cornell, Sponsor
Hon. Toney L. Earl, Sponsor
Hon. Jay Hood, Jr., Sponsor
Hon. Philip Soskin, Sponsor
Hon. Frank Sparaco, Sponsor

**RESOLUTION NO. 519 OF 2014
AUTHORIZING THE CLERK TO THE LEGISLATURE TO
EXECUTE A WARRANT TO THE COMMISSIONER OF FINANCE
DIRECTING THE PAYMENT OF MORTGAGE TAX MONIES
FOR THE PERIOD APRIL 1, 2014 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30, 2014
[DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET & FINANCE]**

Chairman Wolfe offered the following resolution, which was seconded by Mr. Grant and unanimously adopted:

WHEREAS, The Budget & Finance Committee of the Rockland County Legislature has rendered a report to the County Legislature setting forth the distribution and allocation of mortgage taxes to the various municipalities, and

WHEREAS, Said report has been adopted by the Rockland County Legislature and spread upon its minutes, and

WHEREAS, The Budget and Finance Committee of the Legislature has met, considered and approved this resolution; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the Clerk to the Legislature be and he is hereby authorized and directed to execute a warrant to the Commissioner of Finance directing the payment of mortgage tax money, as apportioned by the Budget & Finance Committee of this Legislature, and approved by Resolution No. 518 of 2014, pursuant to the provisions of the Tax Law.

STATE OF NEW YORK >
COUNTY OF ROCKLAND >

WARRANT OF THE COMMISSIONER OF FINANCE OF ROCKLAND COUNTY

TO: STEPHEN F. DEGROAT
COMMISSIONER OF FINANCE
BUDGET DIRECTOR
NEW CITY, N.Y. 10956

You are hereby notified by the Legislature of Rockland County, State of New York, that the sum of Three Million, Eight Hundred Eleven Thousand, Forty-Three and 91/100 (\$3,811,043.91) Dollars arising from tax on Mortgages and interest earned in the amount of Two Thousand, Seven Hundred Ninety-Two and 66/100 (\$2,792.66) Dollars for the period between April 1, 2014 and September 30, 2014 inclusive, as reported by the recording officer to the Rockland County Legislature, has this day been apportioned to the several towns and incorporated villages, pursuant to Section 261 of the Tax Law, as amended, having deducted One Hundred Eight Thousand, Two Hundred Ninety-Five and 32/100 (\$108,295.32) Dollars expenses of the County Clerk, as allowed by the State Board of Tax Commissioners, which leaves a net balance of Three Million, Seven Hundred Five Thousand, Five Hundred Forty-One and 25/100 (\$3,705,541.25) Dollars, which has been apportioned as follows:

To the Supervisor of the Town of Clarkstown for Town purposes	\$ 1,091,653.68
To the Treasurer of Upper Nyack for Village purposes	20,240.03
To the Treasurer of Spring Valley for Village purposes	7,653.64
To the Treasurer of Nyack for Village purposes	1,945.46
To the Supervisor of the Town of Haverstraw for Town purposes	275,374.73
To the Treasurer of Haverstraw for Village purposes	37,822.04
To the Treasurer of West Haverstraw for Village purposes	39,642.09
To the Treasurer of Pomona for Village purposes	16,002.15
To the Supervisor of the Town of Orangetown for Town purposes	580,190.75
To the Treasurer of Grand View for Village purposes	3,474.71
To the Treasurer of Nyack for Village purposes	32,153.85
To the Treasurer of Piermont for Village purposes	17,429.02

To the Treasurer of South Nyack for Village purposes	13,195.96
To the Supervisor of Ramapo for Town purposes	943,920.58
To the Treasurer of Hillburn for Village purposes	8,614.27
To the Treasurer of New Hempstead for Village purposes	35,906.36
To the Treasurer of New Square for Village purposes	19,247.38
To the Treasurer of Pomona for Village purposes	10,171.97
To the Treasurer of Sloatsburg for Village purposes	19,048.35
To the Treasurer of Spring Valley for Village purposes	68,177.99
To the Treasurer of Suffern for Village purposes	72,705.15
To the Treasurer of Wesley Hills for Village purposes	44,918.01
To the Treasurer of Chestnut Ridge for Village purposes	64,071.43
To the Treasurer of Montebello for Village purposes	55,292.61
To the Treasurer of Kaser for Village purposes	10,441.76
To the Treasurer of Airmont for Village purposes	66,136.66
To the Supervisor of Stony Point for Town purposes	150,110.62

You are hereby directed to pay to the respective qualified officers of the several towns and incorporated villages, the sum apportioned to them as appears in the foregoing schedule and for the purposes specified.

Given under our hands and the seal of the Legislature of Rockland County, State of New York,

this day of New City, New York.

Laurence O. Toole, Clerk

Chairman

**RESOLUTION NO. 520 OF 2014
WAIVE THE RULES OF THE LEGISLATURE
TO CONSIDER PROPOSED RESOLUTIONS
UNDER NEW BUSINESS**

Mr. Schoenberger offered the following resolution, which was seconded by Mr. Sparaco and unanimously adopted:

RESOLVED, that the Rules of the Legislature be waived to consider Item 13 A, Resolution No. 521 of 2014 and 13 B, Resolution No. 522 of 2014 under New Business.

NEW BUSINESS

Referral No. 2867/5906

Introduced by:
Hon. Alden H. Wolfe, Sponsor

**RESOLUTION NO. 521 OF 2014
APPROVING AUTHORIZATION OF TRANSFER OF FUNDS
FOR PAYMENT OF SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT
UNDER THE CLEAN WATER ACT
IN THE AMOUNT OF \$950,000.00
[ROCKLAND COUNTY SEWER DISTRICT NO. 1]
(\$950,000.00)**

Chairman Wolfe offered the following resolution, which was seconded by Mr. Grant and unanimously adopted:

WHEREAS, The Rockland County Sewer District No. 1 (hereinafter referred to as the "District") requests that the County Executive and the Legislature of Rockland County authorize the transfer of funds in the amount of \$950,000.00 to the District's Operating Budget, account G-SWR-8110-E4090, from the District's Undesignated Fund Balance, G-SWR-9952-R5990, for payment of a settlement of a lawsuit brought against the District under the Clean Water Act (CWA); and

WHEREAS, in 2007, the Borough of Upper Saddle River and certain of its residents sued the District in the United States District Court of the Southern District of New York under the CWA for alleged sanitary sewer overflows from the District's collection system; and

WHEREAS, the District was successful in defending against the vast majority of sanitary sewer overflow allegations and many of Plaintiff's State Law claims, but was found liable under the CWA for a small number of spills; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the CWA, Plaintiffs were awarded attorneys fees and expert costs; and

WHEREAS, the District participated in mediation resulting in \$600,000 in attorneys fees and expert costs and \$350,000 to be placed into a fund for environmental projects aimed at cleaning or otherwise bettering the Saddle River; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Sewer Commissioners, on October 23, 2014 by Sewer Resolution No. 78 of 2014, is requesting the Legislature of Rockland County approve this request; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Legislature of Rockland County hereby authorizes the transfer of funds in the amount of \$950,000.00 to the District's Operating Budget, account G-SWR-8110-E4090, from the District's Undesignated Fund Balance, G-SWR-9952-R5990, for payment of a settlement of a lawsuit brought against the Sewer District under the CWA; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Commissioner of Finance is hereby authorized to increase the following accounts in the amounts indicated:

Sewer Fund

Increase Approp. Acct.:

G-SWR-8110-E4090 Fees For Services - Non Employees \$950,000

Increase Approp. Fund Balance:

G-SWR-9952-R5990 Undesignated Fund Balance \$950,000



Debate:

Mr. Hood, Jr.

I would like confirmation that this is not Rockland County tax dollars.

Chairman Wolfe

This is a settlement of a lawsuit under the Clean Water Act that the Rockland County Sewer District was involved in. It is from their surplus. No direct County tax dollars.

Mr. Schoenberger

It is direct County tax dollars for the residents of the towns of Clarkstown, Ramapo, with the exception of Suffern, and for the contracted connectors from the Town of Orangetown.

NEW BUSINESS
Referral No. 6724

Introduced by:
Hon. Aney Paul, Sponsor
Hon. Ilan S. Schoenberger, Sponsor

**RESOLUTION NO. 522 OF 2014
CONVEYING THE ROCKLAND COUNTY LEGISLATURE'S INTENSE OPPOSITION
TO ANY PROPOSAL THAT WOULD RESULT IN GRANTING EXECUTIVE
COMMUTATION TO JUDITH CLARK**

Mrs. Paul offered the following resolution, which was seconded by Mr. Kantrowitz, Mr. Schoenberger, Mr. Soskin and Mr. Hood, Jr. and adopted:

WHEREAS, on October 20, 1981, in an attempt to fund the formation of the "Republic of New Afrika" on US soil, Judith Clark and fellow terrorists murdered three innocent men while stealing about \$1.6 million from a Brinks armored car; and

WHEREAS, Clark and two accomplices were convicted in 1983 of six counts of robbery in the first degree and three counts of murder in the second degree for the shooting deaths of Nyack Sgt. Edward O'Grady, Officer Waverly "Chipper" Brown, and Brinks guard Peter Paige; and

WHEREAS, the evidence presented at trial demonstrated that Clark fully participated in the planning and execution of this atrocious crime. Clark was the getaway driver in this despicable crime and forensic evidence presented proved that she was present and out from behind the wheel of the car during at least some of the murders. When the police approached to arrest her after she crashed the getaway car (containing a semi-automatic handgun, a bulletproof vest, and about \$800,000 in stolen money), she reached for the loaded pistol and had a spare magazine for the weapon on her when captured; and

WHEREAS, an unrepentant radical terrorist, defendant Clark's anti-government activities continued after her arrest. The police were required to restrain her during a line up with a neck brace and straightjacket. Clark insisted on going to trial, but then refused to participate reasonably in the trial. Clark refused to have an attorney, to participate in her trial or to comply with the trial court's reasonable decorum requests. She eventually absented herself from the courtroom after proclaiming that it had no legitimacy, and heard most of the proceedings from a cell in the courthouse basement. After a jury convicted her and she was sentenced to three consecutive life sentences, she refused to appeal based upon her belief that she was a "freedom fighter" and not subject to the court's jurisdiction; and

WHEREAS, Clark certainly demonstrated no remorse in the years following her conviction, as she tried to escape from prison, resulting in two years in solitary confinement. Perhaps, realizing that escape was not the way to get out of jail, she began her "journey of reflection;" and

Whereas, many years after her crimes and despite her "transformation," Clark continued to show complete lack of remorse by bringing post-conviction motions in state and federal court arguing that she was denied the right to counsel at trial. Incredibly, rather than admit her crimes and truly demonstrate remorse, she argued that the trial court (which she claimed had no jurisdiction over her at the time of trial) should have protected her right to counsel despite the fact that she asked to proceed *pro se* and then caused such disruption that the trial court barred her from the courtroom. Both the state courts and the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit rejected this claim; and

WHEREAS, more than anything else, Clark's efforts twenty years after the crime to cast blame on others, particularly the trial court, for her lack of respect for the rule of law demonstrates her complete lack of true remorse. A person who allegedly takes responsibility for her actions does not at the same time argue to a court that it was not her fault and that she should not be held responsible; and

WHEREAS, whatever Clark has done in prison since her conviction for this heinous crime and aborted prison break, it should not obscure the fact that she participated in the murder of three innocent people as part of a terrorist plot and that we should not negotiate with or reward terrorists. Her crimes and lack of remorse render it inconceivable that she should be allowed to enjoy the freedoms that she and her fellow terrorists so brutally ripped away from Nyack Sgt. Edward O'Grady, Officer Waverly "Chipper" Brown, and Brinks guard Peter Paige; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the Rockland County Legislature wishes to convey the Rockland County Legislature's intense opposition to any proposal that would result in granting executive commutation to Judith Clark; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Clerk to the Legislature be and is hereby authorized and directed to send a certified copy of this resolution to Hon. Andrew Cuomo, Governor of the State of New York; Hon. David Carlucci, Hon. William J. Larkin, Jr., New York State Senators; Hon. Kenneth P. Zebrowski, Jr., Hon. Ellen C. Jaffee, and Hon. James G. Skoufis, Members of the New York State Assembly; the President Pro Tem of the New York State Senate; the Speaker of the New York State Assembly; the Majority and Minority Leaders of the New York State Senate and Assembly; and to such other persons as the Clerk, in his discretion, may deem proper in order to effectuate the purpose of this resolution.

The vote resulted as follows:

Ayes: 16 (Legislators Carey, Cornell, Earl, Grant, Jobson, Kantrowitz, Meyers, Moroney, Murphy, Paul, Schoenberger, Soskin, Sparaco, Wieder, Hood, Jr., Wolfe)
Nays: 01 (Legislators Low-Hogan)

Debate:

Mrs. Paul

Tonight I would like to bring forward an item of new business for the legislature to consider concerning an issue that has become part of the very fabric of our county. Tomorrow morning, the file of Judith Clark will be placed on the desk of Governor Andrew Cuomo, and he alone will rule on her request for clemency for the murders committed in this county in 1981.

Over 30 years have passed, but time has not erased the tragedies that Judith Clark's act of terrorism caused in our county, and any positive actions she may have taken in prison will never diminish the devastation she left behind in Rockland. The families of the police officers and guard who were killed that day will need to live with the consequences of her actions for the rest of their lives, and so should she.

This issue comes on the heels of the county executive's proposal to decimate the Sheriff's Patrol unit when we could face similar attacks again in the future. We must resolve ourselves never to gamble with the safety of the public by slashing law enforcement in this county, and to always stand behind law enforcement and the families that are sometimes tragically left behind.

That is why it is so timely that tonight, after voting to restore the Sheriff's Department in its entirety, this legislature should pass a resolution opposing in the strongest terms the appeal for clemency of Judith Clark - and we will do so on behalf of our law enforcement officers, their families, and the families of those who were murdered right here in Rockland 33 years ago.

**ADJOURNMENT IN MEMORY OF
ROBERT A. "BEANS" CAPELLO**

Mrs. Cornell offered the following memorial, which was seconded by the entire Legislature and unanimously approved:

RESOLVED, that the Legislature of Rockland County adjourn this meeting in memory of Robert A. "Beans" Capello.

**ADJOURNMENT IN MEMORY OF
DVID ROTFUS**

Chairman Wolfe offered the following memorial, which was seconded by Mr. Kantrowitz and unanimously approved:

RESOLVED, that the Legislature of Rockland County adjourn this meeting in memory of Dvid Rotfus.

**ADJOURNMENT IN MEMORY OF
WILLIAM J. HAUSER**

Mr. Murphy offered the following memorial, which was seconded by Mr. Carey and unanimously approved:

RESOLVED, that the Legislature of Rockland County adjourn this meeting in memory of William J. Hauser.

**ADJOURNMENT IN MEMORY OF
PRIMITIVO (PETE) J. MUNIZ**

Mrs. Cornell offered the following memorial, which was seconded by entire Legislature and unanimously approved:

RESOLVED, that the Legislature of Rockland County adjourn this meeting in memory of Primitivo (Pete) J. Muniz.

**ADJOURNMENT IN MEMORY OF
DIANE HOENEVELD**

Mrs. Cornell offered the following memorial, which was seconded by entire Legislature and unanimously approved:

RESOLVED, that the Legislature of Rockland County adjourn this meeting in memory of Diane Hoeneveld.

**ADJOURNMENT IN MEMORY OF
BARBARA ROTH**

Chairman Wolfe offered the following memorial, which was seconded by Mr. Schoenberger and unanimously approved:

RESOLVED, that the Legislature of Rockland County adjourn this meeting in memory of Barbara Roth.

**ADJOURNMENT IN MEMORY OF
JULIANNE WILEY**

Mr. Murphy offered the following memorial, which was seconded by Mr. Moroney and unanimously approved:

RESOLVED, that the Legislature of Rockland County adjourn this meeting in memory of Julianne Wiley.

**ADJOURNMENT IN MEMORY OF
YOLANDA MATRANGA**

Mr. Carey offered the following memorial, which was seconded by Mr. Schoenberger and unanimously approved:

RESOLVED, that the Legislature of Rockland County adjourn this meeting in memory of Yolanda Matranga.

**RESOLUTION NO. 523 OF 2014
ADJOURNMENT**

Mr. Murphy offered the following resolution, which was seconded by Mr. Jobson and unanimously adopted (11:10 p.m.)

RESOLVED, that the meeting of the Legislature is hereby adjourned to Monday, December 15, 2014 at 7:00 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,

Darcy Shapin-Greenberg
Proceedings Clerk